Anisotropic Spherically Symmetric Collapsing Star From Higher Order Derivative Gravity Theory

Hossein Ghaffarnejad

Faculty of Physics, Semnan University, Semnan, IRAN, Zip code: 35131-19111

E-mail: hghafarnejad@yahoo.com; hghafarnejad@profs.semnan.ac.ir

Abstract. We add linear combinations of quantities R^2 , $R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu}$ and $R_{\mu\nu\eta\delta}R^{\mu\nu\eta\delta}$ with Einstein-Hilbert action and obtain interior metric of an anisotropic spherically symmetric collapsing stellar cloud. We solved linearized metric equation via perturbation method and obtained 12 different kinds of metric solutions $P_1, P_2, \cdots P_{12}$. Calculated Ricci and Kretschmann scalars of our metric solutions are non-singular at beginning of the collapse for 2 kinds of them only. Event and apparent horizons are formed at finite times for two kinds of singular metric solutions while 3 metric solutions exhibit with event horizon only with no formed apparent horizon. There are obtained 3 other kinds of the metric solutions which exhibit with apparent horizon with no formed event horizon. Furthermore 3 kinds of our metric solutions do not exhibit with horizons. Our solutions satisfy different regimes such as domain walls (6 kinds), cosmic string (2 kinds), dark matter (2 kinds), anti-matter (namely negative energy density) (1 kind) and stiff matter (1 kind). Calculated time dependent radial null geodesics expansion parameter $\Theta_i^*(T)$; $i = 1, 2, \cdots 12$ takes positive (negative) values for 4 (8) kinds of our solutions which means the collapse is ended to a naked (covered) singularity at end of the collapsing process.

Higher order derivatives gravity models are made from extension of the Einstein metric equation via 'R²', $\nabla^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu}R$, ' $R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu}$ ' and ' $R_{\mu\nu\gamma\delta}R^{\mu\nu\gamma\delta}$ ', as $G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu} = -(\alpha H^{(1)}_{\mu\nu} + \beta H^{(2)}_{\mu\nu})$ where we used units ' $G = c = \hbar = 1$ ' and defined $\alpha = \zeta - \xi, \beta = \eta + 4\xi, H^{(1)}_{\mu\nu} = 2(\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}R + \xi)$ $RR_{\mu\nu}) - g_{\mu\nu}(2\nabla^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu}R + \frac{1}{2}R^2) \text{ and } H^{(2)}_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}R - \nabla^{\alpha}\nabla_{\alpha}R_{\mu\nu} + 2R^{\alpha\beta}R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}(\nabla^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu}R + \frac{1}{2}R^2)$ $R^{\alpha\beta}R_{\alpha\beta}$). 'R', ' $R_{\mu\nu}$ ' and ' $R_{\mu\nu\gamma\delta}$ ' given in the above equations are Ricci scalar, Ricci tensor and Kretschmann scalar respectively [1]. The coupling constants ' ζ ', ' η ' and ' ξ ' come from dimensional regularization of interacting quantum matter fields. The basic motivation for studying these *Higher Derivative gravity theories* comes from the fact that they provide one possible approach to an as yet unknown quantum theory of gravity [2]. However, the structure of classical solutions of higher derivative gravity may provide a better approximation to some metric solutions with respect to those provided by general relativity. Some applications are studied at classical Robertson-Walker cosmology [3,4] and its quantum approach also [5]. In the present work we want to study physical effects of these higher order terms on collapse of anisotropic spherically symmetric stellar cloud with general from of internal line element as $ds^2 = -e^{a(t)}dt^2 + e^{b(t)}dr^2 + t^2e^{c(t)}(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2)$ where a(t), b(t), c(t) are determined by solving the metric equation. It should be pointed that 2-sphere spatial part of the above metric is inhomogeneous because of absence of r^2 term. Inserting the above line element the components of the Einstein metric equation become nonlinear and so we solve them via perturbative analytical methods. Setting $e^{a(t)} = e^{a_0} \{1 + \epsilon a_1(t) + O(\epsilon^2)\}, e^{b(t)} = e^{b_0} \{1 + \epsilon b_1(t) + O(\epsilon^2)\}$

and $e^{c(t)} = e^{c_0} \{1 + \epsilon c_1(t) + O(\epsilon^2)\}$ where a_0, b_0, c_0 are constants and ϵ is a suitable dimensionless order parameter of the series expansion, zero order approximation of the metric equation become $e^{a_0} = -e^{c_0}$ and first order part of nonzero $tt, rr, \theta\theta$, components of the metric equation leads to linear differential equations which has solutions as: $a_1(T) \simeq AT^{\mu}$, $b_1(T) \simeq BT^{\mu}$, and $c_1(T) \simeq ET^{\mu}$ where $T = t/\sqrt{\alpha}$ and numerical values of the parameters A, B, E and μ and $\omega = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}$ are given at table 1 for 12 kinds of our metric solutions $P_i = (\mu_i, \omega_i); i = 1, 2, \cdots 12$ with fluid characters as: $\frac{\rho(T)}{\rho(\sqrt{\alpha})} \approx T^{\mu-2} = \frac{p_r(T)}{p_r(\sqrt{\alpha})} = \frac{p(T)}{p_t(\sqrt{\alpha})} = \frac{R_{\lambda}^{\lambda}(T)}{P(\sqrt{\alpha})} = \sqrt{\frac{K(T)}{K(\sqrt{\alpha})}}$. Here

 ρ , p_r, p_t, p are density, and pressures (radial, tangential and hydrostatic). R_{λ}^{λ} and K are Ricci and Kretschmann scalar respectively. Barotropic index $\gamma(T) = p(T)/\rho(T)$, and anisotropy index $\Delta(T) = (p_t - p_r)/\rho$, is obtained to be constants. Also we obtained time dependence of formation of horizons (apparent and event) and also possible trapped surfaces for all 12 kinds of our metric solutions (details of the calculations are given at ref. [7]). Our numerical results are collected at the following tables. They present that the geometrical source (higher order terms) treats as domain walls (6 kinds), cosmic string (2 kinds), dark matter (2 kinds), stiff matter (1 kind) and anti-matter (negative energy density) with 1 kinds. In summary 7 kinds of our solutions reach to compact object with covered singularity (the black hole) but 5 solutions reach to naked singular metric at end of the collapsing process and hence cosmic censorship conjecture is maintained as valid for 7 kinds of our 12 metric solutions.

| P_i | μ_i | ω_i | $(A/B)_i$ | $(E/B)_i$ | R_i^* | K_i^* |
|----------|---------|------------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------|
| P_1 | +2.433 | -0.719 | +1.450 | +1.019 | -25.619 | +210.786 |
| P_2 | +2.057 | +0.968 | +0.029 | -0.015 | +6.392 | +13.345 |
| P_3 | +1.888 | -0.607 | -0.174 | -0.188 | +9.583 | +14.424 |
| P_4 | +1.616 | -1.648 | +1.633 | +1.568 | -25.774 | +199.272 |
| P_5 | +0.644 | -0.086 | -0.001 | +0.295 | -0.531 | +6.690 |
| P_6 | +0.521 | +1.883 | +1.478 | -4.782 | +18.432 | +1875.170 |
| P_7 | +0.508 | -1.713 | -0.304 | +1.086 | -3.257 | +92.697 |
| P_8 | -0.041 | +3.285 | -0.028 | -0.009 | -0.002 | +0.039 |
| P_9 | -0.201 | +0.850 | +0.389 | -0.672 | +0.076 | +20.787 |
| P_{10} | -0.270 | -1.986 | -0.044 | -0.047 | -0.083 | +0.269 |
| P_{11} | -3.284 | -2.013 | +3.467 | -1.339 | +37.305 | +456.394 |
| P_{12} | -6.206 | +0.645 | -9.146 | -2.433 | +68.973 | +21412.731 |

Table 1. Characteristics of metric parameters

| P_i | ${\rho_i}^*$ | p_{ir}^{*} | p_{it}^* | p_i^* | $\Delta_i(t)$ |
|----------|--------------|--------------|------------|---------|---------------|
| P_1 | +3.049 | -7.033 | -5.452 | -7.298 | +1.069 |
| P_2 | +0.925 | +0.230 | -2.038 | -1.317 | -0.616 |
| P_3 | +0.633 | +1.061 | -1.435 | -0.498 | -1.198 |
| P_4 | +3.468 | -6.456 | -3.300 | -5.253 | +1.081 |
| P_5 | +1.486 | -0.799 | -0.364 | -0.410 | +0.207 |
| P_6 | -7.755 | +13.316 | +2.145 | +3.525 | +1.117 |
| P_7 | +2.942 | -2.928 | -0.622 | -0.876 | +0.695 |
| P_8 | +1.037 | -0.036 | -0.0001 | +0.0002 | -8.917 |
| P_9 | +0.074 | +0.740 | -0.113 | -0.157 | +0.157 |
| P_{10} | +1.010 | -0.007 | -0.035 | -0.031 | -0.527 |
| P_{11} | +0.590 | -0.936 | -6.065 | -2.162 | -0.753 |
| P_{12} | +22.814 | +113.561 | +48.430 | +53.458 | -7.776 |

Table 2. Fluid characteristics of stellar cloud.

| P_i | T_{EH}/\sqrt{lpha} | $T_{AH}/\sqrt{\alpha}$ | R_{EH}/\sqrt{lpha} | $R_{AH}/\sqrt{\alpha}$ |
|----------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| P_1 | - | - | - | - |
| P_2 | - | +5.453 | - | +3.889 |
| P_3 | +2.526 | +1.707 | +2.752 | +1.188 |
| P_4 | - | - | - | - |
| P_5 | 36692.907 | - | +38236.634 | - |
| P_6 | - | +0.032 | - | +0.015 |
| P_7 | +10.427 | - | +3.054 | - |
| P_8 | 1.372×10^{-38} | - | 1.342×10^{-38} | - |
| P_9 | - | 0.082 | - | - |
| P_{10} | 9.473×10^{-6} | 7.099×10^{-6} | $+9.677 \times 10^{-6}$ | - |
| P_{11} | - | - | - | - |
| P_{12} | +1.429 | - | +2.647 | - |

Table 3. Time formation and corresponding radiuses for event and apparent horizons

| P_i | $\gamma_i(t)$ | Trapped surfaces | Phase of fluid | Nakedness |
|----------|---------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| P_1 | -0.669 | Full | Domain walls | Covered |
| P_2 | -0.323 | Quasi | Cosmic sting | Naked |
| P_3 | -0.174 | Full | Dark matter | Covered |
| P_4 | -0.796 | No | Domain walls | Naked |
| P_5 | -0.563 | No | Domain walls | Naked |
| P_6 | -0.822 | Quasi | Anti-matter | Covered |
| P_7 | -0.704 | No | Domain walls | Naked |
| P_8 | +1.092 | No | Stiff matter | Naked |
| P_9 | -0.691 | Quasi | Domain walls | Covered |
| P_{10} | -0.438 | Quasi | Quasi-cosmic string | Covered |
| P_{11} | -0.178 | Quasi | Dark matter | Covered |
| P_{12} | -0.552 | Quasi | Quasi-domain walls | Covered |

Table 4. Nakedness, trapped surfaces and phase of fluid

References

- [1] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, Quantum Fields in Curved space (Cambridge, England, 1982).
- B. Fauser, J.Tolksdorf and E. Zeidler *Quantum Gravity* "Mathematical Models and Experimental Bounds", (Birkhäuser Verlag, P.O.Box 133, CH-4010 Basel, Switzerland, 2007).
- [3] A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Let. B16, 953 (1980).
- [4] V. Müller, H. J. Schmidt and A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Let. B202, 198 (1988).
- [5] S. W. Hawking and J. C. Luttrell, Nucl. Phys. B247, 250 (1984).
- [6] H. Ghaffarnejad, arXiv:1512.03686 [physics.gen-ph] (2015).



Figure 1. Colored figure denotes to positions of the points $P_i(\mu_i, \omega_i)$; $i = 1, 2, 3 \cdots 12$. Noncolored diagrams show radial null geodesics expansion parameter $\Theta_i(T)$ which is plotted against dimensionless time T for 12 kinds of metric solutions $P_i(\mu_i, \omega_i)$ given by the tables. For $\Theta_i(T) < 0$ the trapped surfaces are formed but not for $\Theta_i(T) > 0$. Time of apparent horizon formation is determined by $\Theta_i(T) = 0$.