



## Simulations of ultralight axion dark matter halos

Jens Niemeyer University of Göttingen

Collaborators:

Christoph Behrens, Xiaolong Du, Jan Frederik Engels, Bodo Schwabe, Jan Veltmaat



 Alternatively to the often considered WIMPs (m ~ 100 GeV), dark matter may consist of ultralight (pseudo)scalar particles (WISPs). Extensive literature on scalar field dark matter (SFDM), e.g. Guzman, Urena-Lopez, Suarez, Matos, Rindler-Daller,...

**Ultralight Axion (ULA) Dark Matter** 

- Prominent candidate: axion, originally proposed to solve the strong CP problem in QCD via the Peccei-Quinn symmetry breaking mechanism.
- String theory suggests the existence of *many* light pseudoscalar fields (axion-like particles, ALPs) (Arvanitaki et al. 2010)
- In a broad mass range, cosmology yields the strongest constraints on these ultralight axions (ULAs):





### **ULA Cosmology**

- See David Marsh's recent review (arXiv:1510.07633) for details and references
- Production by *misalignment* (non-thermal)  $\rightarrow$  cold condensate
- Frozen for  $H \gg m$  ( $\rightarrow$ dark energy), oscillating for  $H \ll m$  ( $\rightarrow$ dark matter)
- Change background expansion and growth of structure → constraints from
  - CMB, LSS (Hlozek et al. 2015)
  - reionization (Bozek et al. 2015)
  - halo density profiles and substructure (Marsh & Silk 2013, Schive et al. 2014, Marsh & Pop 2015, ...)



### **ULAs and small-scale structure**

 "Quantum pressure" prevents gravitational collapse of structures ~ below de Broglie wavelength (e.g., Hu et al. 2000):

$$v \sim (G\rho)^{1/2} r \implies \lambda \sim (mv)^{-1} \sim m^{-1} (G\rho)^{-1/2} r^{-1}$$

• This introduces a "Jeans length"  $r_J = \lambda \rightleftharpoons r$ 

$$r_J = 2\pi/k_J = \pi^{3/4} (G\rho)^{-1/4} m^{-1/2} ,$$
  
=  $55m_{22}^{-1/2} (\rho/\rho_b)^{-1/4} (\Omega_m h^2)^{-1/4} \text{kpc} \qquad m_{22} = m/10^{-22} \text{eV}$ 

• This mass range may solve some of the small-scale problems (missing satellites, cusp-core, too-big-to-fail) (Marsh & Silk 2013), but is already under pressure from high-z UV sources (Bozek et al. 2014).



### Cosmological simulations with ULA dark matter

In the newtonian limit, ULAs obey the Schrödinger-Poisson (SP) equations:

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2a^2m}\nabla^2\psi + mV\psi$$

$$\nabla^2 V = 4\pi G a^2 \delta \rho = \frac{4\pi G}{a} \rho_0(|\psi|^2 - 1)$$

(SP equations also proposed for numerical solution of coarse-grained Vlasov equation for CDM by Widrow & Kaiser 1993)

• First simulations recently published by Schive et al. 2014:







- cosmology code developed at LBNL (Berkeley)
- C++ / fortran, MPI + OpenMP parallelized
- block-structured adaptive mesh refinement (AMR)
- unsplit PPM hydro scheme + particles + particle-mesh gravity
- star particles with feedback + multi-phase ISM model

#### additional physics:

- ULA dark matter (alternative methods):
  - 1. Schrödinger solver (implicit or explicit)
  - 2. particle-mesh solver for Madelung equations:

 $\dot{\rho} + \nabla(\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0$   $\dot{\mathbf{v}} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v} = -\nabla(Q + V)$ 

$$\mathbf{v} = m^{-1} \nabla S$$
  $Q = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m^2} \frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{v}}}{\sqrt{2}}$ 

"quantum pressure"



### **Boson star (or halo) collisions**

- Individual halos are newtonian oscillaton solutions (Guzman & Urena-Lopez 2004), i.e. equilibrium configurations of SP
- Schrödinger equation:



• Madelung equation:





### Halo merger simulations with Schrödinger-Poisson solver



stationary "boson halo" solutions



### Halo merger simulations with Schrödinger-Poisson solver



stationary "boson halo" solutions



### Halo merger simulations with PM solver (Madelung picture)



stationary "boson halo" solutions



### Halo merger simulations with PM solver (Madelung picture)



stationary "boson halo" solutions





### Halo profiles and core masses





### First cosmological simulation with Madelung PM method





#### **Stochastic merger trees** for ULA halos

- quantum Jeans length  $\rightarrow$ modifications w.r.t. CDM (Marsh & Silk 2013):
  - transfer function with smallscale cutoff
  - critical density for collapse higher near Jeans mass

  - idea: use modified stochastic merger tree (à la Lacey & Cole 1993) in semi- $\frac{1}{5}$  0.001 analytic model for galaxy formation, including small-scale cutoff and solitonic core profile
- implemented into semi-analytic code for galaxy evolution Galacticus (Benson 2010)
- plan: compute constraints from early structure formation and reionization (Du, JN, Behrens, in prep.)





 $10^{2}$ 

 $10^{1}$ 

 $10^{\circ}$ 

<sup>c</sup> Ju<sup>-1</sup> <sup>c</sup> Ju<sup>-1</sup> <sup>c</sup> Ju<sup>-1</sup> <sup>c</sup> Ju<sup>-2</sup>

 $10^{-2}$ 

 $10^{-3}$ 

 $10^{-4}$ 

 $10^{-5}$ 

 $10^{9}$ 

### **Stochastic merger trees** for ULA halos: substructure

 $10^{-2}$ 

 $10^{-3}$ 

 $10^{-4}$ 

 $10^{-5}$ 

 $10^{9}$ 

- Halo substructure models from parameter study of
  - dynamical friction

 $10^{10}$ 

- tidal stripping
- tidal heating
- computational challenge: have to solve excursion set barrier distribution function numerically

Halo Mass Function at z = 7

1011

 $M [M_{\odot}]$ 

CDM (semi)

Axion (semi)

CDM (exc.)

Axion (exc.)

 $10^{12}$ 

1013



 $10^{10}$ 

 $10^{11}$ 

 $M [M_{\odot}]$ 

 $10^{12}$ 

 $10^{13}$ 



#### **Summary**

- Ultra-light axions can be some or all of dark matter
- Interesting nonlinear phenomenology for LSS if de Broglie wavelength is of order several kpc (i.e. m ~ 10<sup>-22</sup> eV)
- Constraints from nonlinear clustering, degeneracies with neutrinos, etc. (e.g. from Lyman alpha forest) require simulations
- May or may not affect "CDM small scale crisis" (missing satellites, cuspcore, too-big-to-fail)
- Newtonian dynamics described by Schrödinger-Poisson equations
- Madelung (fluid) picture appears to be more efficient and robust for cosmological simulations, but resolution issues remain
- Semi-analytic models with modified halo merger trees for constraints from early structure formation and reionization