# Multi-scale modelling of Pulsar Glitches

# Brynmor Haskell



THE UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE







# Vortex dynamics





# Vortex dynamics





# What triggers a glitch?

Starquakes (Ruderman 69, 76)

### Hydrodynamical instabilities

(Andersson et al. 2003, Glampedakis & Andersson 2009)

Vortex avalanches (Cheng et al. 88, Alpar et al. 96)

See Haskell & Melatos 2015 for a review





# Gross Pitaevskii simulations:

$$(i-\gamma)\bar{h}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\bar{h}^2}{2m}\nabla^2\psi - (\mu - V - g|\psi|^2)\psi - \Omega\hat{L}_z\psi,$$
$$I_c\frac{d\Omega}{dt} = -\frac{d<\hat{L}_z>}{dt} + N_{EM}, \quad V = V_{\text{trap}} + \sum V_i[1 + \tanh(\Theta(r-R_i))]$$

Good description of BEC dynamics in which interactions are weak

- Predict power-law distributions for event sizes, and exponentials for waiting times (Warszawski & Melatos, 2008, 2013)
- Consistent with most pulsars (Melatos et al. 2008) but not the Crab! (Espinoza et al. 2014)















# Can an avalanche propagate in a NS?





### Can an avalanche propagate in a NS?













in the core

Multi-scale modelling of Pulsar Glitches







N-body (Barnes-Hut) code [Douglass, Melatos & BH, in preparation]

$$V(r) = -E_p \exp\left(-\frac{|r - r_p|^2}{2\xi^2}\right)$$

Analytic cross section

(Sedrakian 95, BH & Melatos, 2015)

$$V(r) = \frac{E_p}{2} (r - r_p)^2$$
 for  $|r - r_p| < R_r$ 







 $\mathcal{R} \approx 0.3$ 





(Douglass, Melatos & BH in preparation)







# Equations of motion

$$\dot{\Omega}_{n}(\tilde{r}) = \kappa n_{v} \frac{\mathcal{B}(\Omega_{p} - \Omega_{n})}{(1 - \varepsilon_{n} - \varepsilon_{p})} - f(\varepsilon_{p}) \mathcal{A}\Omega_{p}^{3}$$
$$\dot{\Omega}_{p}(\tilde{r}) = -\kappa n_{v} \frac{\rho_{n}}{\rho_{p}} \frac{\mathcal{B}(\Omega_{p} - \Omega_{n})}{(1 - \varepsilon_{n} - \varepsilon_{p})} - \mathcal{A}\Omega_{p}^{3}$$

$$\kappa n_v = f(T, \Omega_p - \Omega_n)$$
$$\mathcal{B} = \frac{\mathcal{R}}{1 + \mathcal{R}^2}$$



## Equations of motion

$$\begin{split} \dot{\Omega}_{n}(\tilde{r}) &= \kappa n_{v} \frac{\mathcal{B}(\Omega_{p} - \Omega_{n})}{(1 - \varepsilon_{n} - \varepsilon_{p})} - (f(\varepsilon_{p})\mathcal{A}\Omega_{p}^{3}) \\ \dot{\Omega}_{p}(\tilde{r}) &= -\kappa n_{v} \frac{\rho_{n}}{\rho_{p}} \frac{\mathcal{B}(\Omega_{p} - \Omega_{n})}{(1 - \varepsilon_{n} - \varepsilon_{p})} - \mathcal{A}\Omega_{p}^{3} \\ \kappa n_{v} &= f(T, \Omega_{p} - \Omega_{n}) \\ \mathcal{B} &= \frac{\mathcal{R}}{1 + \mathcal{R}^{2}} \qquad \text{Important in the crust!} \end{split}$$

(Chamel 2012, Andersson et al. 2012) (Newton, Berger & BH 2015)







# Hydrodynamical Response:

- Assume realistic profile for pinning force
- I draw number of unpinned vortices and size of unpinning region from a power-law distribution  $\gamma \kappa n_v \mathcal{B} \qquad \qquad I_u \approx I \frac{\gamma 1}{\gamma_{MAX}}$
- draw waiting time between unpinning events from an exponential distribution



# Hydrodynamical Response:

- Assume realistic profile for pinning force
- draw number of unpinned vortices and size of unpinning region from a power-law distribution  $\int \gamma \kappa n_v \mathcal{B} \qquad \qquad I_u \approx I \frac{(\gamma - 1)}{\gamma_{MAX}}$
- draw waiting time between unpinning events from an exponential distribution

#### Multi-scale modelling of Pulsar Glitches





- Size distributions deviate from power-laws for low sizes, consistent with distribution of Crab glitches (Espinoza et al. 2014)
- Steeper microscopic power-law indices lead to larger glitches



#### Higher mass stars have more small glitches



Brynmor Haskell





(BH, in preparation)



# **Conclusions**

#### Vortex avalanches <u>can</u> propagate in NS interiors

(need better constraints on superfluid drag and the role of tension)

### Coupling of the fluid to vortex motion is crucial

(size distributions deviate from power-laws)

#### Multi-scale modelling of Pulsar Glitches









[BH & Melatos, in preparation]	Region	1	2	3	4
	$\rho/(10^{14}\mathrm{g/cm^3})$	0.015	0.096	0.34	0.78
$\mathcal{R}_{ext}=\mathcal{R}_{in}$	$\Delta = 0.3$				
	$\lambda/a$	-	$3.4  imes 10^{-7}$	-	$1.1  imes 10^{-5}$
	$\Delta=0.05$				
	$\lambda/a$	-	> 1	_	$7.0  imes 10^{-4}$
	$\Delta=0.01$				
	$\lambda/a$	-	> 1	-	0.42
	$\Delta=0.001$				
	$\lambda/a$	$2.3  imes 10^{-8}$	> 1	$3.2\times 10^{-8}$	>1