A unified description of the reaction dynamics from pp to AA collisions

Comparing pPb and PbPb collisions

(pp: work in progress, problem of statistics)

K.W. in collaboration with B. Guiot, Iu. Karpenko, T. Pierog

Motivation :

Similarities in pPb and PbPb data

31stWWND, Keystone, Jan 2015 – Klaus Werner – Subatech, Nantes 0-2 N 0.3 **CMS: Centrality** Ntrack 120-150 (r) pPb Ntrack 150-185 (g) dependence of v_2 0.2 0.1 $\mathbf{v}_2 \ \mathbf{vs} \ \mathbf{p}_t$ 0 in pPb and PbPb charged ptls data CMS -0.1 **pPb**: 2 4 6 () p_t (GeV/c) * Little change with multiplicity

* Large v_2 at large p_t





with Ntrack

 v_3 becomes small at large p_t





$\mathbf{v}_2 \ \mathbf{vs} \ \mathbf{p}_t$ for identified particles



 \Box splitting of π , K, p (ALICE) $> {}^{\circ}$

 \Box splitting of K_s , Λ (CMS) (increases with Ntrack)



Comparing pPb and PbPb simulations (EPOS)

Basic quantity to characterize the geometry: The number N_{Pom} of Pomerons

N_{Pom} strongly correlated with multiplicity

dn/dn(0)



$N_{\rm Pom}$ distributions in pPb and PbPb

Prob 10 10 -3 10 **Quite** PbPb -4 different! 10 -5 10 pPb -6 10 500 1000 1500 2000 0

number of Pomerons

Only peripheral PbPb has overlap w. pPb



Only peripheral PbPb has overlap w. pPb



pPb - PbPb same Ntrack

Differences :

 $\Box Relation$ $multiplicity - N_{Pom}$

□ Radial flow



pPb comparable with central PbPb!



Universal approach: pp, pA, AA

For ALL reactions: Same procedure, several stages

- □ Initial conditions: Gribov-Regge multiple scattering approach, elementary object = Pomeron = parton ladder, using saturation scale $Q_s \propto N_{part} \hat{s}^{\lambda}$ (CGC)
- Core-corona approach
 to separate fluid and jet hadrons
- \Box Viscous hydrodynamic expansion, $\eta/s = 0.08$
- □ Statistical hadronization, final state hadronic cascade

Realization: EPOS3, <u>arXiv:1312.1233</u>, arXix:1307.4379, B. Guiot, Y. Karpenko, T. Pierog, M. Bleicher, K. W.

Initial conditions: Marriage pQCD+GRT+energy sharing

(Drescher, Hladik, Ostapchenko, Pierog, and Werner, Phys. Rept. 350, 2001)



$$\operatorname{cut}\operatorname{Pom}: G = \frac{1}{2\hat{s}} 2\operatorname{Im}\left\{\mathcal{FT}\left\{T\right\}\right\}(\hat{s}, b), \ T = i\hat{s} \,\sigma_{hard}(\hat{s}) \,\exp(R_{hard}^2 t)$$

Nonlinear effects considered via saturation scale $Q_s \propto N_{part}\,\hat{s}^\lambda$

$$\begin{split} \sigma^{\text{tot}} &= \int d^2 b \int \prod_{i=1}^A d^2 b_i^A \, dz_i^A \, \rho_A(\sqrt{(b_i^A)^2 + (z_i^A)^2}) \\ &\prod_{j=1}^B d^2 b_j^B \, dz_j^B \, \rho_B(\sqrt{(b_j^B)^2 + (z_j^B)^2}) \\ &\sum_{m_1 l_1} \dots \sum_{m_{AB} l_{AB}} (1 - \delta_{0\Sigma m_k}) \int \prod_{k=1}^{AB} \left(\prod_{\mu=1}^{m_k} dx_{k,\mu}^+ dx_{k,\mu}^- \prod_{\lambda=1}^{l_k} d\tilde{x}_{k,\lambda}^+ d\tilde{x}_{k,\lambda}^-\right) \bigg\{ \\ &\prod_{k=1}^{AB} \left(\frac{1}{m_k!} \frac{1}{l_k!} \prod_{\mu=1}^{m_k} G(x_{k,\mu}^+, x_{k,\mu}^-, s, |\vec{b} + \vec{b}_{\pi(k)}^A - \vec{b}_{\tau(k)}^B|) \right) \\ &\prod_{\lambda=1}^{l_k} -G(\tilde{x}_{k,\lambda}^+, \tilde{x}_{k,\lambda}^-, s, |\vec{b} + \vec{b}_{\pi(k)}^A - \vec{b}_{\tau(k)}^B|) \bigg) \\ &\prod_{i=1}^A \left(1 - \sum_{\pi(k)=i} x_{k,\mu}^+ - \sum_{\pi(k)=i} \tilde{x}_{k,\lambda}^+\right)^\alpha \prod_{j=1}^B \left(1 - \sum_{\tau(k)=j} x_{k,\mu}^- - \sum_{\tau(k)=j} \tilde{x}_{k,\lambda}^-\right)^\alpha \bigg\} \end{split}$$

Remark

GR multiple scattering gives (automatically)

$$N_{\rm hard} \propto N_{\rm charged} \propto N_{\rm Poms}$$

 $N_{\rm hard}$ stands for multiplicity of "hard" particle production.

Example: D^+ mesons

Plot from B. Guiot

Core-corona procedure (for pp, pA, AA):

Pomeron => parton ladder => flux tube (kinky string)

String segments with high pt escape => **corona**, the others form the **core** = initial condition for hydro

depending on the local string density



Back to the centrality dependence of v_n

 \mathbf{v}_2 in pPb





... better check the correlation function



EPOS with hydro

$\mathbf{v}_2 \ \mathbf{vs} \ \mathbf{p}_t$ in pPb and PbPb

- Little change with multiplicity
- \Box Large v_2 at large p_t
- **Similar magnitude**
- **Different shape**



Similar magnitude: Smaller flow in PbPb compensated by bigger excentricities



$\mathbf{v}_3 \ \mathbf{vs} \ \mathbf{p}_t$ in pPb and PbPb

- Little change with multiplicity
- $\Box v_3 \rightarrow 0$ at large p_t
- 🗆 Similar magnitude
- Similar shape



Mass splitting



in pPb bigger than in PbPb

Summary

Comparing **pPb and PbPb**, for the same multiplicities, using EPOS 3.111 (optimized to get pt spectra) :

 \Box v2, v3 are quite similar (as in the data)

Microscopic properties are different:

- more flow in pPb
- bigger excentricities in PbPb

Plans : Better statistics, pp

Hydro (Yuri Karpenko)

Israel-Stewart formulation, $\eta - \tau$ coordinates, $\eta/S = 0.08$, $\zeta/S = 0$



Freeze out: at 164 MeV, Cooper-Frye, equilibrium distr **Hadronic afterburner: UrQMD**

Marcus Bleicher Jan Steinheimer : implementing new update (Ω)