



CLIC Re-baselining: Physics Arguments

Mark Thomson on behalf of CLICdp







CLIC is foreseen as a staged machine:

- ★ First stage focuses on precision SM physics
 - ~350-375 GeV : Higgs and top



- ★ Not the peak of Higgs cross section
 But, luminosity scales with √s
- ★ 250 GeV and 350 GeV give similar precision for coupling measurements
- ★ With >350 GeV as a first stage:
 - provides access to top physics

★ Energies of subsequent stages motivated by physics

- results from ~14 TeV LHC operation
- direct dark matter searches,



The Physics Landscape



- ★ For example, illustrative SUSY "Model III*" of Vol.3 of CLIC CDR
 - Gauginos and sleptons at \sqrt{s} ~ 1.5 TeV
 - Squarks at √s ~2.5 TeV

Precision measurements at CLIC



*mSUGRA with non-universal squark masses with $tan\beta = 10$, Allanach *et al.*, CERN LCD-Note 2012-003



The Physics Landscape

★ For example, illustrative SUSY "Model III*" of Vol.3 of CLIC CDR

- Gauginos and sleptons at \s ~ 1.5 TeV
- Squarks at \sqrt{s} ~2.5 TeV









★ A number of SM Higgs processes accessible at CLIC



★ At √s ~ 350-450 GeV both contribute



Why Does it Matter







Why Does it Matter









- **★** Mandatory measurement: HZ cross section
 - Fixes scale of all other Higgs cross sections: Model Independence
 - Cross section falls away at higher energies





Top Physics





- fermion mass at the electroweak scale
- Yukawa coupling suspiciously close to 1



★ CLIC @ $\sqrt{s} > 350$ GeV \Rightarrow precision top physics

e.g. top quark mass from threshold scan



★ Scan with modest lumi (10 fb⁻¹/pt):

 m_t : ±33 MeV (stat.)

- measurement relatively easy to interpret – "know what you are measuring"
- theory uncertainties relatively small





HZ production $\Rightarrow \sqrt{s} \sim 250-450 \text{ GeV}$ Top at theshold $\Rightarrow \sqrt{s} > 350 \text{ GeV}$

What is optimal ?



HZ Cross Section revisited



★ During first stage of CLIC: study the Higgs-strahlung process



★ Measure Higgs production cross section independent of Higgs decay

- Sensitive to invisible Higgs decay modes
- Absolute measurement of HZ coupling

★ Recent studies demonstrated:

MI measurements with $Z \rightarrow q\overline{q}$







* Recoil mass
$$m_{\text{rec}}^2 = (\sqrt{s} - E_Z)^2 - (-\mathbf{p}_Z)^2$$

 $= s - 2\sqrt{s} E_Z + E_Z^2 - \mathbf{p}_Z^2$
 $= s + m_Z^2 - 2\sqrt{s}(E_1 + E_2)$ $\mathbf{E}_1 \& \mathbf{E}_2 \text{ are jet energies}$
 $\Rightarrow \sigma_{m_{\text{rec}}} = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{m_{\text{rec}}} \left(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$
for PFA: $\sigma_{E_{\text{jet}}} \sim \alpha E_{\text{jet}}$
 $\sigma_{m_{\text{rec}}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}m_{\text{rec}}} \alpha \left(s - m_H^2 + m_Z^2\right)$
 $\Rightarrow \sigma_{m_{\text{rec}}} \sim s$

★ Recoil mass resolution approximately scales with squared C.o.M. energy



Simulation







Full Simulation





Mark Thomson

CERN, January 30, 2015



Summary: 350 GeV vs 420 GeV



e^+ Z Z H		invisible	Z +	Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z
		350 GeV	420 GeV	
500 fb ⁻¹	$\Delta \sigma (HZ)_{vis}$	1.7 %	2.4 %	x 1.4
	$\Delta \sigma (HZ)_{invis}$	0.6 %	1.0 %	x 1.8
	$\Delta\sigma(HZ)$	1.8 %	2.6 %	x 1.5

★ Big hit in going from 350 GeV \rightarrow 420 GeV: ~factor 2 in luminosity





HZ production $\Rightarrow \sqrt{s} \sim 250-450 \text{ GeV}$ Top at theshold $\Rightarrow \sqrt{s} > 350 \text{ GeV}$ Recoil Mass $\Rightarrow \sqrt{s} < 400 \text{ GeV}$



Mark Thomson

What about top?

New: see Marcel Vos's talk from yesterday

★ The top quark appears to be special

- fermion mass at the electroweak scale
- Yukawa coupling suspiciously close to 1

★ Various BSM models

- e.g. composite top
- predict modifications to top quark coupling to photon and Z
- probe through top quark production above threshold











- **★** Can only effectively study top pair production in first CLIC energy stage
 - s-channel, so 1/s dependency
 - single top production becomes increasingly important



- ★ Probe top vertex through cross section & A_{FB} (with e⁻ polarisation)
 - measure form factors [general parameterisation]
 - and/or interpret as effective operators: BSM sensitivity





- **\star** Compare form factor sensitivity for different \sqrt{s}
 - fixed luminosity: 250 fb⁻¹ (-80%, +30%) + 250 fb⁻¹ (+80%, -30%)
 - centre-of-mass energy dependence varies with parameter



★ 360 GeV looks marginal



★ Close to threshold – theoretical uncertainties increase

- QCD
- parametric uncertainties (top mass, width)



\star another argument for $\sqrt{s} > 360 \text{ GeV}$





HZ production → √s ~ 250-450 GeV Top at theshold → √s > 350 GeV **Recoil Mass** → √s < 400 GeV **Top pair production** → √s > 360 GeV



Top at higher energies

★ Can top physics be deferred to high-energy running???

- does higher BSM sensitivity outweigh loss in cross section
- no full analysis at this stage
- but...



★ Centre-of-mass dependence is different for different anom. couplings

- in some cases higher \sqrt{s} helps
- for others the much higher cross section at low energies wins



Shown another way...





★ Centre-of-mass dependence is different for different anom. couplings

- in some cases higher \sqrt{s} helps
- for others the much higher cross section at low energies wins







★ At this stage not confident that higher √s helps would need a full study (including beam-strahlung)

and a full study will take time...



Conclusions



HZ production

→ √s ~ 250-450 GeV

Top at theshold

⇒ √s > 350 GeV

Recoil Mass

📫 √s < 400 GeV



Top pair production

→ √s > 360 GeV

Top pair BSM

Still good for HZ Provides valid top quark program



Thank you for your attention

