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see e.g. Low, Vichi, Rattazzi
we actually measure:

$$
\propto \lim _{p \rightarrow 0}|\mathrm{SM}+\mathrm{NP}|^{2}
$$



## $19=8+3+8$ change Higgs

kin. term:

Operator not visible in vacuum (redefinition of input parameter)

But can affect h physics:

affects $\mathrm{GG} \rightarrow \mathrm{h}$ !

## Higgs fit




## beyond inclusive Higgs production

- So far mostly produced on-shell Higgs at a characteristic scale $\mu \approx m_{H}$
- want to test Higgs couplings at large energy
- analog to LEP1 (on-shell $Z=>S, T$ ) vs. LEP2 (offshell $Z=>W, Y$ )


## Higgs EFT

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}_{t} & =\frac{y_{t}}{v^{2}}|H|^{2} \bar{Q}_{L} \tilde{H} t_{R}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{g}=\frac{\alpha_{s}}{12 \pi v^{2}}|H|^{2} G_{\mu \nu}^{a} G^{a \mu \nu}, \\
\mathcal{L} & =\mathcal{L}_{S M}+\left(1-c_{t}\right) \mathcal{O}_{t}+k_{g} \mathcal{O}_{g} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{incl}}\left(\kappa_{t}, \kappa_{g}\right)}{\sigma_{\mathrm{incl}}^{\mathrm{SM}}} \simeq\left(\kappa_{t}+\kappa_{g}\right)^{2}\left(1-\frac{7}{15} \frac{\kappa_{g}}{\kappa_{t}+\kappa_{g}} \frac{m_{h}^{2}}{4 m_{t}^{2}}\right) \simeq\left(\kappa_{t}+\kappa_{g}\right)^{2}
$$
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## Higgs EFT

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{O}_{t}=\frac{y_{t}}{v^{2}}|H|^{2} \bar{Q}_{L} \tilde{H} t_{R}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{g}=\frac{\alpha_{s}}{12 \pi v^{2}}|H|^{2} G_{\mu \nu}^{a} G^{a \mu \nu}, \\
\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}_{S M}+\left(1-c_{t}\right) \mathcal{O}_{t}+k_{g} \mathcal{O}_{g} . & \begin{array}{c}
\text { top-partners in } \\
\text { composite Higgs } \\
\Delta c_{t}=\Delta c_{g}=\frac{9}{4} \Delta c_{\gamma}
\end{array} \\
\mu_{\text {incl }}\left(c_{t}, k_{g}\right)=\frac{\sigma_{\text {incl }}^{\mathrm{BSM}}\left(c_{t}, k_{g}\right)}{\sigma_{\text {incl }}^{\text {SM }}}=\left(c_{t}+k_{g}\right)^{2}
\end{array}
$$

Degeneracy ‘long-distance’ vs ‘short-distance’

fermionic top-partners in composite Higgs models exactly lead to $\Delta c_{t}=\Delta c_{g}=\frac{9}{4} \Delta c_{\gamma}$.
having access to ht〒 final state will resolve this degeneracy but notoriously difficult channel
$14 \%-4 \%$ @ $L H C_{300}^{14}-L H C_{3000}^{14}$ vs $10 \%-4 \%$ @ ILC $C_{500}^{500}-$ ILC $_{1000}^{1000}$

## $\sigma(p p \rightarrow H+X)_{\text {inclusive }}$

Does not resolve short-distance physics


| $m_{H}(\mathrm{GeV})$ | $\frac{\sigma_{N L O}\left(m_{t}\right)}{\sigma_{N L O}\left(m_{t} \rightarrow \infty\right)}$ | $\frac{\sigma_{N L O}\left(m_{t}, m_{b}\right)}{\sigma_{N L O}\left(m_{t} \rightarrow \infty\right)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 125 | 1.061 | 0.988 |
| 150 | 1.093 | 1.028 |
| 200 | 1.185 | 1.134 |
| e.g. $\mid 306.458 ।$ |  |  |

## Beyond current observables

Resolve the loop, recoil against hard jet


## IR



$$
\hat{\sigma}_{p_{T}^{\text {min }}}\left(c_{t}, k_{g}, \hat{s}\right) \propto \frac{1}{16 \pi \hat{s}^{2}} \int_{t_{\text {min }}}^{t_{\text {max }}} \mathrm{d} t\left|c_{t} \mathcal{M}_{I R}+k_{g} \mathcal{M}_{U V}\right|^{2}
$$

UV
9 Mnsnonn-mororon $g$
$g$ romororn $\cdots, \ldots .{ }_{h}$

$$
t_{\text {min }}^{\substack{\text { max }}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(m_{h}^{2}-\hat{s} \mp \sqrt{m_{h}^{4}-2 \hat{s}\left(m_{h}^{2}+2\left(p_{T}^{\text {min }}\right)^{2}\right)+\hat{s}^{2}}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\sigma_{p_{T}^{\text {min }}}\left(c_{t}, k_{g}\right)}{\sigma_{p_{T}^{\text {min }}}^{S M}}=\left(c_{t}+k_{g}\right)^{2}+\delta c_{t} k_{g}+\kappa k_{g}^{2} \\
& \sigma_{p_{T}^{\text {min }}}\left(c_{t}, k_{g}\right)=\int_{s_{\text {min }} / s}^{1} \mathrm{~d} \tau \mathcal{L}_{\text {part }}(\tau) \hat{\sigma}_{p_{T}^{\text {min }}}\left(c_{t}, k_{g}, \tau s\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\tilde{\kappa}_{g} \frac{\alpha_{s}}{12 \pi} \frac{h}{v} G_{\mu \nu}^{a} \widetilde{G}^{\mu \nu a} \quad \imath \frac{m_{t}}{v} \bar{u} \gamma_{5} u A^{0}
$$

$\frac{\sigma_{p_{T}^{\text {min }}}\left(c_{t}, k_{g}\right)}{\sigma_{p_{T}^{\text {min }}}^{S M}}=\left(c_{t}+k_{g}\right)^{2}+\delta c_{t} k_{g}+\kappa k_{g}^{2} \quad$ add CPV coupling

| $\sqrt{s}[\mathrm{TeV}]$ | $p_{T}^{\min }[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | $\sigma_{p_{T}^{\text {min }}}^{\text {SM }}[\mathrm{fb}]$ | $\delta$ | $\epsilon$ | $g g, q g$ [\%] | $\tilde{\gamma}$ | $\tilde{\delta}$ | $\tilde{\epsilon}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 100 | 2200 | 0.016 | 0.023 | 67, 31 | 2.3 | -3.8 | 2.3 |
|  | 150 | 830 | 0.069 | 0.13 | 66, 32 | 2.3 | -4.0 | 2.5 |
|  | 200 | 350 | 0.20 | 0.31 | 65, 34 | 2.3 | -4.4 | 2.9 |
|  | 250 | 160 | 0.39 | 0.56 | 63, 36 | 2.3 | -4.9 | 3.5 |
|  | 300 | 75 | 0.61 | 0.89 | 61, 38 | 2.3 | -5.7 | 4.2 |
|  | 350 | 38 | 0.86 | 1.3 | 58, 41 | 2.3 | -6.6 | 5.1 |
|  | 400 | 20 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 56, 43 | 2.3 | -7.6 | 6.2 |
|  | 450 | 11 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 54, 45 | 2.4 | -8.9 | 7.4 |
|  | 500 | 6.3 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 52, 47 | 2.4 | -10 | 8.8 |
|  | 550 | 3.7 | 2.0 | 3.6 | 50, 49 | 2.4 | -12 | 10 |
|  | 600 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 4.4 | 48, 51 | 2.4 | -14 | 12 |
|  | 650 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 5.2 | 46, 53 | 2.4 | -16 | 14 |
|  | 700 | 0.87 | 3.0 | 6.2 | 45, 54 | 2.4 | -18 | 16 |
|  | 750 | 0.56 | 3.3 | 7.2 | 43, 56 | 2.4 | -20 | 18 |
|  | 800 | 0.37 | 3.7 | 8.4 | 42,57 | 2.5 | -23 | 21 |
| 100 | 500 | 970 | 1.8 | 3.1 | 72, 28 |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 1.0 | 14 | 78 | 56, 43 |  |  |  |

$\frac{\sigma_{p_{T}^{\text {min }}}}{\sigma_{p_{T}^{\text {min }}}^{S M}}=\tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\kappa}_{t}^{2}+\tilde{\delta} \tilde{\kappa}_{t} \tilde{\kappa}_{g}+\tilde{\epsilon} \tilde{\kappa}_{g}^{2}$

## рт dependence resolves CP odd couplings

## Top partner models

- Supersymmetry (stops)
- Composite Higgs (MCHM5)


## Composite pGB Higgs

$$
c_{t}+k_{g}=v\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial h} \log \operatorname{det} \mathcal{M}_{t}(h)\right)_{\langle h\rangle}
$$

$\mathrm{MCHM}_{5}$

$$
c_{t}+k_{g}=(1-2 \xi) / \sqrt{1-\xi} \quad \sqrt{\xi}=v / f
$$

see e.g. Low Vichi \& Azatov, Galloway
independent of top partner spectrum and couplings

high-pt tail "sees" the top partners that are missed by the inclusive rate


## Supersymmetry

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{h}^{2}= & m_{Z}^{2} \cos ^{2} \beta+\frac{3 y_{t}^{2} m_{t}^{2}}{(4 \pi)^{2}}\left[\log \left(\frac{m_{S}^{2}}{m_{t}^{2}}\right)+X_{t}^{2}\left(1-\frac{X_{t}^{2}}{12}\right)\right] \\
& \frac{\Gamma(g g \rightarrow h)}{\Gamma(g g \rightarrow h)_{S M}}=\left(1+\Delta_{t}\right)^{2}, \\
\Delta_{t} \approx & \frac{m_{t}^{2}}{4}\left(\frac{1}{m_{\tilde{t}_{1}}^{2}}+\frac{1}{m_{\tilde{t}_{2}}^{2}}-\frac{A_{t}-\frac{\mu}{\tan \beta}}{m_{\tilde{t}_{1}}^{2} m_{\tilde{t}_{2}}^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

flat direction

## flat direction

## Real soft masses



Charge-color breaking vacua

$$
A_{t}^{2}+3 \mu^{2}>a \cdot\left(m_{\tilde{t}_{1}}^{2}+m_{\tilde{t}_{2}}^{2}\right) \quad h A_{t} \tilde{t}_{L} \tilde{t}_{R}^{*}
$$

## Break flat direction



## $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}$ dependent shift mostly from $A_{t}$ independent diagrams



## Ratio of cross-sections

$$
\mathcal{R}\left(c_{t}, k_{g}\right)=\frac{\sigma_{650 \mathrm{GeV}}}{\sigma_{150 \mathrm{GeV}}}\left(c_{t}, k_{g}\right) \frac{K_{650}}{K_{150}}
$$

reduced th-uncertainty (estimate using MCFM, LO $\rightarrow$ NLO large $m_{t}$ )

Fit assuming estimated stat. \& sys. errors

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi^{2}\left(c_{t}, k_{g}\right) & =\left(\frac{\mathcal{R}\left(c_{t}, k_{g}\right)-\mathcal{R}^{*}}{\delta \mathcal{R}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\mu_{\mathrm{incl}}\left(c_{t}, k_{g}\right)-\mu_{\mathrm{incl}}^{*}}{\delta \mu_{\mathrm{incl}}}\right)^{2} \\
\delta \mathcal{R} & =\mathcal{R}^{*} \sqrt{\frac{1}{N_{150 \mathrm{GeV}}}+\frac{1}{N_{650 \mathrm{GeV}}}+2 \cdot 0.1^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

high pt tail discriminates short and long distance physics contribution to $g g \rightarrow h$

$$
\sqrt{s}=14 \mathrm{TeV}, \int d t \mathcal{L}=3 \mathrm{ab}^{-1}, p_{T}>650 \mathrm{GeV}
$$

(partonic analysis in the boosted "ditau-jets" channel)


- $\mathrm{NLO}_{m t}$ recently calculated (1410.5806), uncertainty still unknown, will it spoil the sensitivity ?
- Realistic study with backgrounds at reco-level


## (HL)-LHC14

try to resolve worst case: inclusive cross-section = SM


## BOOSTED $H \rightarrow 2 \ell+\boldsymbol{p}_{T}$

M. Schlaffer, M. Spannowsky, M. Takeuchi, AW

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H \rightarrow W W^{*} \rightarrow 2 \ell+2 \downarrow \\
& H \rightarrow \tau_{\ell} \tau_{\ell}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Collinear mass




$$
\mathbf{p}_{T}=\mathbf{p}_{T, \nu_{1}, \mathrm{col}}+\mathbf{p}_{T, \nu_{2}, \mathrm{col}}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{\nu_{1}, \mathrm{col}}=\alpha_{1} \mathbf{p}_{\ell_{1}}, \quad \mathbf{p}_{\nu_{2}, \mathrm{col}}=\alpha_{2} \mathbf{p}_{\ell_{2}}
$$

$$
p_{\mathrm{col}}=p_{\nu_{1}, \mathrm{col}}+p_{\nu_{2}, \mathrm{col}}+p_{\ell_{1}}+p_{\ell_{2}}, \quad M_{\mathrm{col}}^{2}=p_{\mathrm{col}}^{2}
$$

## Cut flow for H -> tau tau

| Event rate [fb] | $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$ | $H \rightarrow W W^{*}$ | $W_{\ell} W_{\ell}+$ jets | $Z_{\rightarrow \tau \tau}+$ jets | $t_{\ell} \bar{t}_{\ell}+$ jets | $S / B$ | $S / \sqrt{B}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0. Nominal cross section | 3149.779 | 10719.207 | 580.000 | $1.01 \cdot 10^{4}$ | $1.02 \cdot 10^{5}$ | - | - |
| 1. $n_{\ell}=2$, opposite-sign | 118.043 | 323.531 | 195.033 | 347.516 | $3.72 \cdot 10^{4}$ | - | - |
| 2. $m_{\ell \ell}>20 \mathrm{GeV}$ | 117.733 | 264.723 | 189.522 | 315.201 | $3.57 \cdot 10^{4}$ | - | - |
| 3. $p_{T, H}^{\text {rec }}>200 \mathrm{GeV}$ | 1.987 | 3.834 | 91.273 | 104.434 | $1.28 \cdot 10^{3}$ | 0.004 | 2.62 |
| 4. $n_{j}^{\text {fat }}=1\left(p_{T, j}>200 \mathrm{GeV}\right)$ | 0.957 | 1.858 | 50.443 | 58.810 | 395.602 | 0.006 | 2.17 |
| 5. $n_{b}=0$ | 0.940 | 1.825 | 48.855 | 57.068 | 105.851 | 0.01 | 3.29 |
| 6. $\boldsymbol{p}_{T}$ inside the two leptons | 0.923 | 0.533 | 20.215 | 55.551 | 44.050 | 0.01 | 2.30 |
| 7. $m_{\ell \ell}<70 \mathrm{GeV}$ | 0.796 | 0.490 | 3.860 | 53.985 | 8.511 | 0.02 | 2.73 |
| 8. $\left\|M_{\text {col }}-m_{H}\right\|<10 \mathrm{GeV}$ | 0.749 | 0.046 | 0.298 | 1.019 | 0.758 | 0.38 | 9.56 |
| $p_{T, H}^{\text {rec }}>300 \mathrm{GeV}$ | 0.234 | 0.012 | 0.115 | 0.343 | 0.166 | 0.39 | 5.40 |
| $p_{T, H}^{\text {rec }}>400 \mathrm{GeV}$ | 0.068 | 0.006 | 0.042 | 0.106 | 0.049 | 0.38 | 2.88 |
| $p_{T, H}^{\text {rec }}>500 \mathrm{GeV}$ | 0.021 | 0.001 | 0.014 | 0.038 | 0.010 | 0.36 | 1.55 |
| $p_{T, H}^{\text {rec }}>600 \mathrm{GeV}$ | 0.008 | 0.001 | 0.006 | 0.014 | 0.005 | 0.32 | 0.89 |

## Example: $\mathrm{kg}_{\mathrm{g}}=0.5$



Boosted Higgs breaks degeneracy!

## Conclusion

- Boosted gluon fusion gives additional insights
- Resolves loop dynamics, complementary to ttH and off-shell Higgs (H* -> ZZ->4I)
- Breaks degeneracies in EFT, Susy and MCHM
- $\mathrm{NLO}_{m t}$ desirable


## pdf uncertainties

PDF Uncertainty parton lumis - LHC 8 TeV - Ratio to NNPDF2.3 NNLO



Figure 4: Ratio of the boosted Higgs cross section computed within the effective theory to the exact cross section computed retaining the complete form factors, versus the mass of the lightest top partner, for a sample set of points in the parameter space of $\mathrm{MCHM}_{5}$. A transverse momentum cut $p_{T}>650 \mathrm{GeV}$ is applied. The left panel shows the total cross section $p p \rightarrow h+$ jet, whereas the right panel shows the three partonic channels $g g, q g, q \bar{q} \rightarrow h+$ jet individually.


