

# Single Beam Collective Effects



TECHNISCHE  
UNIVERSITÄT  
DARMSTADT

## *Impedances and Collective Effects for FCC-hh*


Uwe Niedermayer  
Institut für Theorie Elektromagnetischer Felder  
Technische Universität Darmstadt, Germany  
[niedermayer@temf.tu-darmstadt.de](mailto:niedermayer@temf.tu-darmstadt.de)



# Contents

- Beam pipe impedance
- Other impedance sources
- Coupled bunch instability
- Transverse Mode Coupling Instability (TMCI) threshold
- Electron clouds (briefly)
  - More details in the talk by K. Ohmi


# The beam pipe




Design by  
R. Kersevan,  
CERN

So far only this  
design considered

D. Schulte



# Discretization



GMSH (Geuzaine et al.)  
triangular mesh

Meshing the whole  
structure is required  
only for extremely  
low frequency!

Otherwise: **S**urface  
**I**mpedance **B**oundary  
**C**ondition (SIBC)





Thilo Egenolf, TU Darmstadt

# 2D Simulations in the Frequency Domain



- BeamImpedance2D, PYTHON code using FEniCS finite element toolbox (U. Niedermayer et al., to appear in PRSTAB)

$\text{Re}\{E_z\}$

Horizontal



Vertical



$f=100\text{Hz}$

$f=1\text{MHz}$



- Beam Screen: Titanium
- Coating: Copper (80  $\mu\text{m}$  or maybe higher)
- Outer pipe, synchr. rad. reflector: Stainless Steel
  
- Conductivities  $k$  at room temperature  $T=293\text{K}$ 
  - Titanium:  $k_0 = 1.8 \text{ MS/m}$
  - Copper:  $k_0 = 60.0 \text{ MS/m}$
  - Stainless steel:  $k_0 = 1.4 \text{ MS/m}$
  
- $\text{RRR} = k(T=4\text{K}) / k(293\text{K})$  usually  $\text{RRR} \sim 300$
- Temperatures for the FCC pipe:
  - Scenario 1: 40-60 K (roughly...  $k = 100 k_0$ )
  - Scenario 2: 120-160 K (roughly...  $k = 10 k_0$ )
- Magnetoresistance at 16T ?

# Penetration depth

- Surface impedance for coated surface



$$\delta = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\mu\kappa\omega}}$$

$$Z_s(\omega) = \left. \frac{E_x}{H_y} \right|_{z=0} = \frac{1+i}{\kappa_1\delta_1} \frac{Me^{ik_z1d} + Ne^{-ik_z1d}}{Me^{ik_z1d} - Ne^{-ik_z1d}}$$

$$k_z = \frac{1-i}{\delta}$$

$$M = 1 + \sqrt{\frac{\mu_1\kappa_2}{\mu_2\kappa_1}}$$


$$N = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{\mu_1\kappa_2}{\mu_2\kappa_1}}$$



# Comparison with round pipe impedance → Horizontal

(1 meter pipe)


Coating with Copper at 50K,  $k=6e9$  S/m






# Comparison with round pipe impedance → Vertical

(1 meter pipe)



# Pumping Holes, Collimators, ...



Pumping holes with resonator model, ...

$$f_{\text{res}} = f_{\text{cutoff}} \sim 6 \text{ GHz}$$

$$Q = 1 \text{ (Broadband)}$$

$$\frac{R}{Q} = 2Z_0\eta \frac{\alpha_e + \alpha_m}{\pi Ab^3} \propto \frac{1}{b^4}$$

S. Kurennoy, *Part. Accel.*, 1995, Vol. 50, pp. 167-175

Collimators scaled from LHC, see also talk by M. Fiassaris (this morning)


Collimators closed only at top energy!

Maybe LHC-like carbon collimators are not the best choice...

# Scenario Data

- $M=13344$  (25ns)
  - rms bunch length 8 cm
  - $N_b=1.0e11$
  - $Q_x=120.31$
  - $Q_y=120.32$
  - Chroma=0
- 
- $E=3\text{TeV}$
  - $Q_s=0.0028$
- $E=50\text{TeV}$
  - $Q_s=0.0078$

# Coupled bunch resistive instability




Most critical at injection due to less stiff beam!

Pipe only,  
solid Cu 50K  
E=3TeV

Growth rate by factor  
1.6 higher for 80  $\mu\text{m}$   
coating


Required thickness  
for “thick wall”  
150  $\mu\text{m}$  for 50K  
450  $\mu\text{m}$  for 140K

# TMCI intensity threshold 3TeV



B. Salvant and  
X. Buffat, CERN

# TMCI intensity threshold 50TeV



More stiff beam,  
but higher  
impedance due to  
closed collimators

Pipe + holes  
+ collimators

B. Salvant and  
X. Buffat, CERN




# Electron Cloud effects

## Electron clouds lead to

- Tune shift / spread
- Synchronous phase shift
- Instabilities

## Difference to LHC

- Syncr. Rad.
  - Asymmetry
  - Small aperture
- 
- 3D and 2D particle in cell codes for electron cloud simulations
  - community supported beam tracking codes (e.g. PyOrbit)
  - working on coupling the electron cloud simulations to the beam tracking including impedances.



# Computing Resources at TEMF, TU-Darmstadt



TECHNISCHE  
UNIVERSITÄT  
DARMSTADT



Pic. by W.F.O Müller

# Conclusion

- FCC-hh already on the edge of stability only with resistive pipe
- 50 turns feedback possible but maybe insufficient
- 10 turns feedback possible?
- Kickers not yet considered
- Landau damping and Octupoles not yet considered
- Impedance should play an important role in collimator design

# Outlook

- A lot of work to do!
- Pumping hole impedance to be studied in more detail
- Asymmetry → Quadrupolar impedance
- Combination of impedance and electron cloud
- Finally impedance check of all components in the ring

---

# The End

---



TECHNISCHE  
UNIVERSITÄT  
DARMSTADT

# Thank you for your attention!