Beam Losses, Collision Debris and Machine Detector Interface at FCC-hh

Nikolai Mokhov

Fermilab

First Annual Meeting of the Future Circular Collider Study
Washington, DC
March 23-27, 2015

Outline

- IP and Machine-Induced Backgrounds and Radiation Loads
- Protecting Detector and Collider Components
- 50x50 TeV pp Collision Characteristics
- Loads on Machine and Detector: FCC-hh vs HL-LHC
- Summary

IP Backgrounds and Radiation Loads in IR

Collision debris from IP are the major source (>99%) of background and radiation load in collider detectors and IR components at nominal parameters with a well-tuned machine (Tevatron and LHC experience). Challenging at HL-LHC and FCC-hh

All particles



20x20 TeV pp-event in a CMS-like detector

No neutrons



Peak Radiation Loads In Detector MARS-calculated in 2002

Machine	E (TeV)	I, 10^{14}	Q (GJ)	$\sqrt{S}$	L, 10^{34}	σ_p(mb)	10^{16} (int/10yr)
Tevatron	0.98	0.1	0.0016	1.96	0.01	60	
LHC	7	3.1	0.35	14	1	80	4
LHC-2	7	4.8	0.54	14	4.7	80	19
SLHC	7	9.6	1.08	14	10	80	40
VLHC-1	20	9.7	3.20	40	1	90	4.5
VLHC-2	100	2.0	3.20	200	2	105	10.5

Peak 10-year fluence (cm^{-2}) and dose (Gy) in inner tracker and HF calorimeter at 14, 40 and 200 TeV (preliminary)

Detector	Value	SLHC	VLHC-1	VLHC-2
SVX	F_n	2×10^{15}	2×10^{14}	8×10^{14}
	F_{chh}	8×10^{16}	8×10^{15}	1×10^{16}
	D	1.5×10^{7}	1.5×10^{6}	3×10^{6}
Tracker	F_n	1.5×10^{15}	2×10^{14}	6×10^{14}
	F_{chh}	1.5×10^{15}	2.5×10^{14}	6×10^{14}
	D	8×10^{5}	8×10^{4}	2×10^{5}
Fin	F_n	1.8×10^{16}	2×10^{15}	4×10^{15}
	F_{chh}	8×10^{14}	1×10^{14}	2.5×10^{14}
	D	2×10^{6}	3×10^{5}	5×10^{5}
HF	F_n	1.5×10^{17}	2.1×10^{16}	4.8×10^{16}
	F_{chh}	7×10^{15}	1.2×10^{15}	2.5×10^{15}
	D	2.5×10^{7}	3.5×10^{6}	1×10^{7}

Peak values in collider detectors scale with luminosity, with only weak dependence on $\sqrt{S}$

Additionally, scale with energy in very forward region (machine)

Machine-Induced Backgrounds and Radiation Loads

Machine-induced backgrounds (MIB) can be a serious issue at a low luminosity. The collimation system takes care of "slow" losses with a very high efficiency. Still the following processes contribute to backgrounds and radiation loads to IR and detector components:

1. **Beam-gas:** products of beam-gas interactions <u>in straight sections</u> and arcs upstream of the experiments and after the cleaning insertions
2. **Tertiary beam halo** escaping the collimation systems ("collimation tails")
3. **Cross-talk between experiments at different IPs**
4. **"Kicker prefire"**: any remnants of a mis-steered beam uncaptured in the beam dump system
5. **FCC-hh:** synchrotron photons

MIB vs IP: Neutron Flux in CMS

LHC, 7x7 TeV, 10^{34} cm^{-2}s^{-1}

pp

Tertiary halo, Beam2





Barrel Si tracker at r=4 cm: **Φ_n(pp) ≈ 10^5 Φ_n(MIB$_{total}$)**, but can differ by only a factor of 10 or so at startup conditions

SyncRad Modeling in FCC-hh Arcs





16-T dual-aperture Nb_3Sn dipole with Ti-collar, in 1-m diameter cryostat envelope (A. Zlobin)

MARS15-modelled synchrotron photon emission: ~30 W/m/aperture deposited by keV electrons in dipole beam-pipe (slits in dipoles and photon absorbers in interconnect regions, see my talk at the magnet session)

Detector and Collider Protecting Components

- **IP Collision Debris:**
  - 0.95 kW LHC, 4.76 kW HL-LHC and 43.2 kW FCC on each side of IP
  - Beampipe and innermost detector component design
  - Detector forward region shielding and sealing tunnel/hall interface
  - Inner triplet (IT): front absorber (TAS, L~20m), large-aperture quads with tungsten inner absorbers, absorbers in interconnect regions
  - Neutral beam dump (TAN, L~147m) and Single-Diffraction collimators in dispersion suppression regions (TCL, L~149 and 190m)
- **Beam Loss:**

L is a distance from IP1/IP5 at LHC and HL-LHC

  - Energy stored in each beam: ~0.3 GJ LHC and >8 GJ FCC-hh
  - Betatron and momentum multi-stage collimation systems (L=1/4 C)
  - Beam abort system (L=1/8 and 3/8 Circumference)
  - Tungsten tertiary collimators (TCT, L~150m) and TAS (L~20m)
  - Detector forward region shielding and sealing tunnel/hall interface
  - FCC-hh: intercepting synchrotron photons at elevated temperature

MDI Principal Design Constraints: Detector

- **Detector component radiation aging and damage:** CMS and ATLAS trackers and endcap calorimeters can currently survive up to ~500 fb^{-1}; will be able to handle ~ 3000 fb^{-1} after Phase II upgrade
- **Reconstruction of background objects** (e.g., tracks) not related to products of pp-collisions; the wish occupancy <1%, although D0 worked with many layers with occupancies above 10%
- **Deterioration of detector resolution,** e.g., jets energy resolution due to extra energy from background hits
- Good progress in detector technologies on all fronts, e.g., picosecond scale time resolution; monitoring beam loss in ATLAS and CMS

MDI Principal Design Constraints: IR Magnets

- **Quench stability**: peak power density in the innermost cable; keep < 40 mW/cm^3 and < 13 mW/cm^3 in Nb_3Sn and NbTi, respectively; *primary criterion at LHC*
- **Dynamic heat loads**: cryo plant capacity and operational cost; keep below 10-15 W/m in cold mass; *FCC-hh additionally: 30 W/m/aperture in dipole beam screen*
- **Radiation damage**: peak dose on the innermost coil layer over system lifetime (3000 fb^{-1} at HL-LHC and FCC-hh): keep below 25-35 MGy in insulation and a fraction of DPA in coil inorganic materials; *primary criterion at HL-LHC and FCC-hh*

Backgrounds: FLUKA-MARS15 Comparison

Backgrounds at CMS from 3.5 and 7-TeV beam-halo





HL-LHC 150-mm IT-CP-D1



Particle Tracks in HL-LHC IT



Thirty 7x7 TeV pp-events at IP1: Tracks > 10 GeV (vertical plane)

There were no detector and its field in this run

HL-LHC 150-mm Coil ID Inner Triplet with 6 to 16mm Thick Tungsten Inserts

Q1



MCBX3



D1



Sextupole



Loads in IT Superconducting Coils: HL-LHC vs LHC



CERN (FLUKA) - FNAL (MARS) coherent simulation/design for HL-LHC:

- Peak power density safely below the quench limit
- Average dynamic heat load on cold mass ~14 W/m
- The peak dose on insulation over 3000 fb^{-1} is at the common limits; more R&D is needed
- **FCC-hh:** further R&D on coil insulation and inner absorbers is needed

With the protection system implemented in the HL-LHC IT 150-mm coil ID magnets, the peak dose in the coils at integrated luminosity of 3000 fb^{-1} is about same as in the LHC 70-mm aperture quads (with modest SS inserts) at integrated luminosity of 300 fb^{-1}

Comparing HL-LHC and FCC-hh at 5×10^{34} cm^{-2}s^{-1}

1. Modeling 14 and 100 TeV pp events at IP (z=0)
2. Scoring particle and energy fluxes on a R=5mm sphere
3. Modeling particle and energy loads on detector, TAS and collider
4. Simulations here are done with DPMJET-III and MARS15

	HL-LHC	FCC-hh
$\sqrt{S}$ (TeV)	14 TeV	100 TeV
σ_{in} (mb)	85	108
Int. rate (s^{-1})	4.25×10^9	5.4×10^9
TAS ID (mm)	60	22
TAS Length (m)	2	3
TAS L_{non-IP} (m)	22 (L*=23m)	35 (L*=36m)

50x50 TeV pp at IP: dN/dη, dN/dp$_t$ and dN/dE$_{kin}$



50x50 TeV: Multiplicity at IP and dE/dη at 5 mm





HL-LHC vs FCC-hh: Total Yield & Energy at 5mm from IP and through TAS

	HL-LHC	FCC-hh
$\langle N_{tot} \rangle$ at IP	120	181
N at 5mm⁺	151	228
N_{tot} at $L_{non\text{-}IP}$ *	5.9	7.72
E at 5mm (TeV) ⁺	13.28	94.75
E_{tot} at $L_{non\text{-}IP}$ (TeV) *	5.53	42.45

⁺ Hyperons not included

* Thru TAS on each side of IP

HL-LHC vs FCC-hh: Particle Yields at 5mm from IP and through TAS

14-TeV pp HL-LHC



100-TeV pp FCC-hh



HL-LHC vs FCC-hh: Energy Flux at 5mm from IP and through TAS

14-TeV pp HL-LHC



100-TeV pp FCC-hh



Dynamic Heat Loads on Each Side of IP (kW)

	HL-LHC	FCC-hh
½ Detector w/shield	0.385	0.77
TAS	0.615	5.75
Collider	3.76*	36.68
Total	4.76	43.20

* IT(cold mass)+IT(W/screen)+rest = 0.63 + 0.61 + 2.52 = 3.76 kW

Summary

- **IP collision debris**: dominant at multi-TeV pp colliders; hard to deal with but manageable up to HL-LHC. Challenging at FCC-hh – especially in its Phase II - for inner triplet, neutral beam dump and beyond. The FCC-hh inner triplet based on large-aperture cos-theta Nb_3Sn quads with a room for thick tungsten inserts is a solution with R&D on rad-hard insulation! 20-T HTS schemes also deserve consideration for IT quads

- **Machine-induced backgrounds**: manageable for multi-TeV proton beams with appropriate multi-component collimation systems far from IP and in the IP vicinity

- **Full simulations for FCC-hh** are needed in iterations with detector, IR lattice and magnet designers