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Undulators and wigglers

Periodic array of magnetic poles providing a sinusoidal magnetic field on axis:

\[ B = (0, B_0 \sin(k_u z), 0,) \]

Solution of equation of motions:

\[
\bar{r}(t) = -\frac{\lambda_u}{2\pi\gamma} \sin \omega_u t \cdot \hat{x} + \left( \beta_z c t + \frac{\lambda_u K^2}{16\pi\gamma^2} \cos(2\omega_u t) \right) \cdot \hat{z}
\]

\[
\beta_z = 1 - \frac{1}{2\gamma^2} \left( 1 + \frac{K^2}{2} \right)
\]

Constructive interference of radiation emitted at different poles

\[
d = \frac{\lambda_u}{\beta} - \lambda_u \cos \theta = n\lambda
\]

\[
\lambda_n = \frac{\lambda_u}{2\gamma^2 n \left( 1 + \frac{K^2}{2} + \gamma^2 \theta^2 \right)}
\]

Undulator parameter
From the lecture on synchrotron radiation

Continuous spectrum characterized by $\varepsilon_c = \text{critical energy}$

$\varepsilon_c(\text{keV}) = 0.665 \times B(\text{T})E^2(\text{GeV})$

*eg*: for $B = 1.4\text{T}$, $E = 3\text{GeV}$, $\varepsilon_c = 8.4\text{ keV}$

(bending magnet fields are usually lower ~ 1 – 1.5T)

Quasi-monochromatic spectrum with peaks at lower energy than a wiggler

$$\lambda_n = \frac{\lambda_u}{2n\gamma^2} \left(1 + \frac{K^2}{2}\right) \approx \frac{\lambda_u}{n\gamma^2}$$

$$\varepsilon_n(eV) = 9.496 \frac{nE[GeV]^2}{\lambda_u[m] \left(1 + \frac{K^2}{2}\right)}$$

R. Bartolini, John Adams Institute, 13 November 2014
Radiation integral for a linear undulator (I)

The angular and frequency distribution of the energy emitted by a wiggler is computed again with the radiation integral:

$$\frac{d^3W}{d\Omega d\omega} = \frac{e^2 \omega^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 4\pi^2 c} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{n} \times (\hat{n} \times \bar{\beta}) e^{i\omega(t-\hat{n}\cdot\bar{r}/c)} dt \right|^2$$

Using the periodicity of the trajectory we can split the radiation integral into a sum over $N_u$ terms

$$\frac{d^3W}{d\Omega d\omega} = \frac{e^2 \omega^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 4\pi^2 c} \left| \int_{-\lambda_u/2\bar{c}}^{\lambda_u/2\bar{c}} \hat{n} \times (\hat{n} \times \bar{\beta}) e^{i\omega(t-\hat{n}\cdot\bar{r}/c)} dt \right|^2 \left| 1 + e^{i\delta} + e^{i2\delta} + \ldots + e^{i(N_u-1)\delta} \right|^2$$

where

$$\delta = \frac{2\pi\omega}{\omega_{res}(\theta)} \quad \omega_{res}(\theta) = \frac{2\pi c}{\lambda_{res}(\theta)} \quad \lambda_{res}(\theta) = \frac{\lambda_u}{2\gamma^2 \left( 1 + \frac{K^2}{2} + \gamma^2 \theta^2 \right)}$$
Radiation integral for a linear undulator (II)

The radiation integral in an undulator or a wiggler can be written as

\[
\frac{d^3W}{d\Omega \, d\omega} = \frac{e^2 \gamma^2 N^2}{4\pi \epsilon_0 c} L \left( N \frac{\Delta \omega}{\omega_{res}(\theta)} \right) F_n(K, \theta, \phi)
\]

\[
\Delta \omega = \omega - n \omega_{res}(\theta)
\]

The sum on \( \delta \) generates a series of sharp peaks in the frequency spectrum harmonics of the fundamental wavelength

\[
L \left( N \frac{\Delta \omega}{\omega_{res}(\theta)} \right) = \frac{\sin^2 (N \pi \Delta \omega / \omega_{res}(\theta))}{N^2 \sin^2 (\pi \Delta \omega / \omega_{res}(\theta))}
\]

The integral over one undulator period generates a modulation term \( F_n \) which depends on the angles of observations and \( K \)

\[
F_n(K, \theta, \phi) \propto \left| \int_{-\lambda_0/2\beta c}^{\lambda_0/2\beta c} \hat{n} \times (\hat{n} \times \hat{\beta}) e^{i\omega(1-\hat{n} \cdot \hat{r} / c)} \, dt \right|^2
\]
Radiation integral for a linear undulator (II)

e.g. on axis \((\theta = 0, \phi = 0)\):

\[
\frac{d^3W}{d\Omega d\omega} = \frac{e^2 \gamma^2 N^2}{4\pi \varepsilon_0 c} L \left( N \frac{\Delta \omega}{\omega_{res}(0)} \right) F_n(K,0,0)
\]

\[
F_n(K,0,0) = \frac{n^2 K^2}{(1 + K^2 / 2)} \left[ J_{n+1}(Z) - J_{n-1}(Z) \right]^2
\]

\[
Z = \frac{nK^2}{4(1 + K^2 / 2)}
\]

Only odd harmonic are radiated on-axis; as \(K\) increases the higher harmonic becomes stronger

Off-axis radiation contains many harmonics

R. Bartolini, John Adams Institute, 13 November 2014
Angular patterns of the radiation emitted on harmonics – on axis

Angular spectral flux as a function of frequency for a linear undulator; linear polarisation solid, vertical polarisation dashed (K = 2)

\[ \lambda_1 = \frac{\lambda_u}{2\gamma^2} \left( 1 + \frac{K^2}{2} \right) \]

Fundamental wavelength emitted by the undulator

\[ \lambda_2 = \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \]

2\textsuperscript{nd} harmonic, not emitted on-axis!

\[ \lambda_2 = \frac{\lambda_1}{3} \]

3\textsuperscript{rd} harmonic, emitted on-axis!

R. Bartolini, John Adams Institute, 13 November 2014
Angular patterns of the radiation emitted on harmonics

Angular spectral flux as a function of frequency for a linear undulator; linear polarisation ($K = 2$)

The fundamental frequency is also emitted off axis as part of the “second harmonic” according to the relation

$$\lambda_{\text{res}}(\theta) = \frac{\lambda_u}{2\gamma^2} \left(1 + \frac{K^2}{2} + \gamma^2 \theta^2\right)$$
Synchrotron radiation emission from a bending magnet

Dependence of the frequency distribution of the energy radiated via synchrotron emission on the electron beam energy

Critical frequency

$$\omega_c = \frac{3}{2} \frac{c}{\rho} \gamma^3$$

Critical angle

$$\theta_c = \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{\omega_c}{\omega}\right)^{1/3}$$

Critical energy

$$\epsilon_c = \frac{\hbar \omega_c}{2} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\hbar c}{\rho} \gamma^3$$

R. Bartolini, John Adams Institute, 13 November 2014
Undulators and wigglers (large K)

Radiated intensity emitted vs K

For large K the wiggler spectrum becomes similar to the bending magnet spectrum, $2N_u$ times larger.

Fixed $B_0$, to reach the bending magnet critical wavelength we need:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>3015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R. Bartolini, John Adams Institute, 13 November 2014
Undulator tuning curve (with $K$)

Brightness of a 5 m undulator 42 mm period with maximum $K = 2.42$ (ESRF)
Varying $K$ one varies the wavelength emitted at various harmonics
(not all wavelengths of this graph are emitted at a single time)

$K = \frac{eB_0 \lambda_u}{2 \pi mc}$

Undulator parameter

$K$ decreases by opening the gap of the undulator (reducing $B$)
Spectral brightness of undulators of wiggler

Comparison of undulators for a 1.5 GeV ring for three harmonics (solid, dashed and dotted) compared with a wiggler and a bending magnet (ALS)
Diamond undulators and wiggler

Spectral brightness for undulators and wigglers in state-of-the-art 3rd generation light sources

R. Bartolini, John Adams Institute, 28 November 2013
Summary of radiation characteristics of undulators or wiggler

Undulators have weaker field or shorter periods ($K < 1$)

Produce narrow band radiation and harmonics

Intensity is proportional to $N_u^2$

Wigglers have higher magnetic field ($K > 1$)

Produce a broadband radiation

Intensity is proportional to $N_u$
Type of undulators and wigglers

**Electromagnetic undulators**: the field is generated by current carrying coils; they may have iron poles;

**Permanent magnet undulators**: the field is generated by permanent magnets Samarium Cobalt (SmCo; 1T) and Neodymium Iron Boron (NdFeB; 1.4T); they may have iron poles (hybrid undulators);

**APPLE-II**: permanent magnets arrays which can slide allowing the polarisation of the magnetic field to be changed from linear to circular

**In-vacuum**: permanent magnets arrays which are located in-vacuum and whose gap can be closed to very small values (< 5 mm gap!)

**Superconducting wigglers**: the field is generated by superconducting coils and can reach very high peak fields (several T, 3.5 T at Diamond)
Electromagnetic undulators (I)

HU64 at SOLEIL:
variable polarisation
electromagnetic undulator

- Period 64 mm
- 14 periods
- Min gap 19 mm
- Photon energy < 40 eV (1 keV with EM undulators)

R. Bartolini, John Adams Institute, 13 November 2014
Electromagnetic undulators (II)

Depending on the way the coil power supplies are powered it can generate linear H, linear V or circular polarisations.

- 3 sets of coils (RGB)
- R and B are shifted by a quarter of period

\[ B_x(s) = B_y \cos\left(\frac{2\pi s}{\lambda_0}\right) \]

\[ B_z(s) = B_B \cos\left(\frac{2\pi s}{\lambda_0}\right) + B_R \sin\left(\frac{2\pi s}{\lambda_0}\right) = B_{z0} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi s}{\lambda_0} + \phi\right) \]
Permanent magnet undulators

Halback configuration

hybrid configuration with steel poles
In-vacuum undulators

U27 at Diamond
27 mm, 73 periods 7 mm gap,
B = 0.79 T; K = 2
Apple-II type undulators (I)

HU64 at Diamond; 33 period of 64 mm; B = 0.96 T; gap 15 mm; Kmax = 5.3
Apple-II type undulators (II)

Four independent arrays of permanent magnets

Diagonally opposite arrays move longitudinal, all arrays move vertically

Sliding the arrays of magnetic pole it is possible to control the polarisation of the radiation emitted
Superconducting wigglers are used when a high magnetic field is required 3 - 10 T. They need a cryogenic system to keep the coil superconductive. Nb$_3$Sn and NbTi wires SCMPW60 at Diamond 3.5 T coils cooled at 4 K 24 period of 64 mm gap 10 mm Undulator K = 21
Equations of motion in an undulator

- Assume electrons travelling on the s axis
- Paraxial approximation (small angular deflection)
- In case of a linear wiggler with $B_y \neq 0$  
  $$\overline{B} = B_0 \left( 0, \cos(k_u z), 0 \right)$$

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dp_x}{dt} &= -\frac{eB_0}{c} v_z \cos(k_u z) \\
\frac{dp_y}{dt} &= 0 \\
\frac{dp_z}{dt} &= \frac{eB_0}{c} v_x \cos(k_u z)
\end{align*}
\]

The solution reads ($\gamma$ = constant)

\[
\begin{align*}
v_x &= -\frac{cK}{\gamma} \sin(k_u \beta_{z0} ct) \\
v_y &= 0 \\
v_z &\approx c \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2\gamma^2} \left( 1 + \frac{K^2}{2} \right) + \frac{K^2}{4\gamma^2} \cos(2k_u z) \right]
\end{align*}
\]

Max amplitude of oscillations $\propto K$

\[
\begin{align*}
x &= \frac{K}{k_u \beta_{z0} \gamma} \cos(k_u \beta_{z0} ct) + x_0 \\
y &= y_0 \\
z &= \beta_{z0} ct + \frac{K^2}{8\gamma^2 k_u \beta_{z0}} \sin(2k_u \beta_{z0} ct) + z_0
\end{align*}
\]
Closed Orbit errors induced by an undulator

The integral of the magnetic field seen in the nominal trajectory path must be zero, otherwise the undulator induces an overall angular kick or an overall offset to orbit.

\[ \int_0^L B_y \, ds = 0 \]  
First field integral (angle)

\[ \int_0^L \int_0^s B_y \, ds' = 0 \]  
Second field integral (offset)

End poles and trim coils are used to ensure that the magnetic field integrals are zero.
Roll-off of transverse magnetic field

A more realistic analytical expression for the magnetic field of an undulator with a finite pole transverse width is given by:

\[ B_x = 0 \]
\[ B_y = B_w \cosh(k_w y) \cos(k_w z) \]
\[ B_z = -B_w \sinh(k_w y) \sin(k_w z) \]
\[ \bar{B} = B_0 \left( 0, \cos(k_w z), 0 \right) \]

The magnetic fields in real structures exhibit an even more complicated transverse dependence.

Linear focusing and non-linear term appear in the equations of motion and have to be integrated numerically.

e.g. numerically computed field roll-off for an in-vacuum undulator (U23) at Diamond
Quadrupole effect of an undulator (I)

Analytical calculation of the motion can be still performed by keeping the lowest order in \( y \) in the expansion of the magnetic field around the nominal oscillatory trajectory

\[
B_x = 0
\]

\[
B_y = B_w \cosh(k_w y) \cos(k_w z) \approx B_w \left[ 1 + \frac{(k_w y)^2}{2} \right] \cos(k_w z)
\]

\[
B_z = -B_w \sinh(k_w y) \sin(k_w z) \approx -B_w k_w y \cdot \sin(k_w z)
\]

Expanding the generic trajectory \((x, y)\) as

\[
x = x_r + x_{\text{ref}} \quad y = y_r + y_{\text{ref}}
\]

and averaging over one undulator period we end up with

\[
x_r''' = 0 \quad y_r''' = -2 \left( \frac{\pi K}{\lambda_u \gamma} \right)^2 y_r
\]

An undulator behaves as a focussing quadrupole in the vertical plane and as a drift in the horizontal plane, to the lowest order in the deviation form the reference trajectory.
Quadrupole effect of an undulator (II)

Unlike a true vertically focussing quadrupole, an ideal undulator does not have a corresponding defocussing effect in the horizontal plane in first order; in the horizontal plane it may have a weak defocussing due to the finite width of the magnetic poles;

The quadrupole associated to the undulator generated a tune shift and a beta-beating

\[ \Delta Q_y = \frac{K_y L_w}{2\pi} \beta_y \]

\[ \frac{\Delta \beta_y}{\beta_y} = -\frac{K_y L \beta_y}{2\sin(2\pi Q_y)} \]

e.g. Diamond Superconducting wiggler

\[ \Delta Q_y = 0.012 \]

\[ \Delta \beta / \beta \sim 10\% \]
Effect of undulators and wigglers on beam dynamics and cures

Principal effects of undulators and wigglers on beam dynamics
Closed orbit distortion
Betatron Tune shift
Optics variation (β - beating)
Dynamic aperture reduction
Variation of damping times; Emittances; Energy spread

Remedies improving field qualities
Correction of the field integral + Trim coil for closed orbit distortion
Wide transverse gap (reduced roll-off) for linear optics
“Magic fingers” to decrease the multipole component of the wiggler

Remedies using beam optics methods
Feed forward tables for trim coil orbit corrections
Local correction of optics functions (alpha matching schemes, LOCO)
Non-linear beam dynamics optimisation with wiggler
Summary and additional bibliography

Undulators and Wigglers enhance synchrotron radiation

Undulators produce a narrow band series of harmonics
Wigglers produce a broadband radiation

Radiation can have linear or elliptical polarisation

Undulators and wiggler perturb the beam dynamics in the storage ring

Field quality must be excellent
Effective correction schemes for orbit and linear optics are available

R.P. Walker: CAS 98-04, pg. 129
A. Ropert: CAS 98-04, pg. 91
P. Elleaume in Undulators, Wigglers and their applications, pg. 69-107