

## Minutes of HSF – iFB: 3<sup>rd</sup> discussion

Meeting agenda: <http://indico.cern.ch/event/340943>

**Attendees:** John Harvey, Ian Bird, Richard Mount, Pere Mato, Peter Elmer, David Britton, Michel Jouvin, Jeff Tseng, David Rousseau, Paolo Califiura, Oxana Smirnova, Jeff Templon, David Malon, Francesco Giacomini, Tom LeCompte, Gunter Quast, Panagiotis Spentzouris

### Introduction:

John Harvey introduced the meeting and reminded people that the notes from the last meeting were available on the Indico page.

There have been several updates to the mailing list, particularly adding CMS members. Reminded people that this is a regular bi-weekly meeting, and should last 1 hour at most.

John also noted that the next CHEP conference is scheduled for next April, and is a very important opportunity for us to communicate with the rest of the HEP community and also to engage with other potential collaborators. Since it will be held in Japan, it will be helpful to engage Asian groups. CHEP will also be a chance to explore ways to collaborate with other disciplines, so we may want to foresee dedicated sessions at CHEP.

For these reasons it will be important to try and hold our second workshop before CHEP.

### Leadership Discussion

John suggested that we should be pragmatic and try to find 2 people who are able to devote enough of their time in order to get the foundation started. Of course, they will need to be assisted by other people who are willing to participate, and able to act as contacts with their projects and communities. People are encouraged to volunteer, and to indicate at which level they are able to act.

This principle **was agreed** by the meeting.

The two people who can form the initial leadership team need a good knowledge of HEP software and related issues, and must have well established relationships with the HEP software community.

John proposed Torre Wenaus and Pere Mato to take these initial roles. He noted that they are both well placed and have excellent experience and backgrounds and would work together in the necessary pragmatic way.

He asked for comments and suggestions:

Panagiotis: mentioned that they have discussed internally at FNAL and they would propose Daniel Elvira from CMS, who also leads a group at FNAL on core software activities.

David Rousseau: proposed Pere Mato and Richard Mount as possible leaders, noting that their vision would be in line with the goals of the foundation.

Dario Menasce of INFN, and Michel Jouvin from IN2P3, had also volunteered to help.

Jeff Tseng: noted that perhaps this was too LHC focused, questioned whether someone from a broader community could be found, or that the proposed team should be tasked to ensure the broadening to a larger community.

John Harvey also proposed Frank Gaide, from DESY, part of the linear collider community. Gunter Quast had discussed this with DESY, who would have no objections to Frank's participation provided the ILC team agreed.

There were several expressions of support for Torre and Pere, noting that both would give credibility to the overall effort.

**It was agreed** that we would try and confirm this at the next meeting in 2 weeks, meanwhile people are invited to comment on the proposal, and that Torre and Pere are asked to confirm that they could commit some time to this activity.

### Next Workshop

In order to keep momentum, it was suggested that the next workshop should be early next year. Proposals for hosting it had been requested. Orsay have offered to do it, as have the US, following earlier suggestions that the second workshop should be outside Europe. Richard commented that the US would undertake to host the workshop, although the location is still to be determined. The likely time would be January/February 2015.

The meeting discussed the possibility of a BoF session or similar at CHEP.

### Actions

- Conclude on the nominations for the leadership team and the 2<sup>nd</sup> workshop at the next meeting. Feedback is needed from the community – either privately or via the mailing list.
- Next meeting should perhaps also define the scope of the workshop, and avoid it being a repeat of the first.

Next meeting: 1<sup>st</sup> October, 15:00 CEST.