

Exercises in Flavor Physics

A. Consider a model with three quark flavors, u, d, s : u_R^I, d_R^I and s_R^I are each an $SU(2)$ -singlet representation (as in the SM); u_L^I and d_L^I form an $SU(2)$ -doublet (as in the SM), but s_L^I is an $SU(2)$ -singlet (differently from the SM). (The super-index I denotes interaction eigenstates, and distinguish them from the mass eigenstates u, d, s .)

1. Write the most general Yukawa and mass terms.
2. What is the size of the quark mixing matrix (characterizing the W -couplings)?
3. Show that the Z -boson has flavor-changing couplings.
4. Find a relation between the $Z\bar{d}_L s_L$ coupling and the $W\bar{u}_L s_L$ coupling.
5. Show that the Higgs-boson has flavor-changing couplings.
6. Are there tree-level contributions to $K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ decay? Draw the diagram and estimate the ratio $\Gamma(K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma(K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu)$. Based on the measured rates, is the model viable?

B. We here investigate CP violation in B decays. (The quark content of the relevant meson states are the following: $B^0 = \bar{b}d$, $K^0 = \bar{s}d$, $\psi = \bar{c}c$, $\phi = \bar{s}s$.)

1. Write down the underlying quark process for the $B \rightarrow \psi K_S$ decay. Draw the tree level diagram and find the leading CKM dependence.
2. Write down the underlying quark process for the $B \rightarrow \phi K_S$ decay. Argue that there is no tree level contribution.
3. Draw the diagram(s) and find the leading CKM dependence.
4. Find $S_{\psi K_S}$ and $S_{\phi K_S}$. Obtain the relation between them.
5. If the relation is violated, which of the following explanations is (im)plausible:
 - There are large SM corrections to the leading CKM dependence.
 - There is a new physics contribution to $B^0 - \bar{B}^0$ mixing.
 - There is a new physics contribution to $B \rightarrow \psi K_S$.
 - There is a new physics contribution to $B \rightarrow \phi K_S$.