Amplitudes in High-Energy-Factorization via BCFW recursion

Mirko Serino

Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Cracow, Poland

DIS2015@Dallas-Texas-USA, 29 April 2015

Supported by NCN grant DEC-2013/10/E/ST2/00656 of Krzysztof Kutak

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

1 Motivation

Off-shell gluons and fermions in a gauge invariant way

BCFW recursion relations



< □ > < 큔 > < 클 > < 클 > = ♡ < ♡ 2/22

High-Energy-Factorization

High-Energy-Factorization (Catani, Ciafaloni, Hautmann, 1991 / Collins, Ellis, 1991)



$$\sigma_{h_1,h_2 \to q\bar{q}} = \int d^2 k_{1\perp} d^2 k_{2\perp} \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \frac{dx_2}{x_2} f_g(x_1,k_{1\perp}) f_g(x_2,k_{2\perp}) \hat{\sigma}_{gg}\left(\frac{m^2}{x_1 x_2 s},\frac{k_{1\perp}}{m},\frac{k_{2\perp}}{m}\right)$$

where the f_g 's are the gluon densities, obeying BFKL, BK, CCFM evolution equations.

Non neglectable transverse momentum is associated to small-x physics.

Momentum parameterization:

$$k_1^{\mu} = x_1 p_1^{\mu} + k_{1\perp}^{\mu}$$
, $k_2^{\mu} = x_2 p_2^{\mu} + k_{2\perp}^{\mu}$ for $p_i \cdot k_i = 0$ $k_i^2 = -k_{i\perp}^2$ $i = 1, 2$

To be applied in the regime: $s >> M^2 \sim k_\perp^2$

3/22

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・



 $\text{Possible applications:} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{production of forward dijets initiated with gluons} : gg^* \to gg \\ \text{production of forward dijets initiated with quarks} : q\bar{q}^* \to gg \\ \text{production of a } Z \text{ boson by a quark-antiquark pair} : q\bar{q}^* \to Z \end{array} \right.$

Problem: general partonic processes must be described by gauge invariant amplitudes \Rightarrow ordinary Feynman rules are not enough !

Is there a general method to compute such gauge-invariant amplitudes ?

Just for the formalism: Weyl spinors

High energy limit \Rightarrow massless particles \Rightarrow Weyl basis for spinors.

If $p^2 = 0$, it can be cast in the Pauli matrices language,

$$p \cong p^{\mu} \sigma_{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} p^0 - p^3 & -p^1 + i p^2 \\ -p^1 - i p^2 & p^0 + p^3 \end{pmatrix} = |p] \langle p|$$

$$\begin{aligned} |p] &= \begin{pmatrix} L(p) \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \qquad L(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|p^0 + p^3|}} \begin{pmatrix} -p^1 + i p^2 \\ p^0 + p^3 \end{pmatrix} \\ |p\rangle &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ R(p) \end{pmatrix} \qquad R(p) = \frac{\sqrt{|p^0 + p^3|}}{p^0 + p^3} \begin{pmatrix} p^0 + p^3 \\ p^1 + i p^2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and the charge-conjugated spinors

$$[p] = ((\mathcal{E}L(p))^T, \mathbf{0}) \qquad \langle p| = (\mathbf{0} (\mathcal{E}^T R(p))^T) \qquad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{E} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □

Prescription for off-shell gluons

ONE IDEA:

on-shell amplitudes are gauge invariant, so off-shell gauge-invariant amplitudes could be got by embedding them into on-shell processes...

...first result...: 1) For off-shell gluons: represent g^* as coming from a $\bar{q}qg$ vertex, with the quarks taken to be on-shell



- embed the scattering of the off-shell gluons in the scattering of two quark pairs carrying momenta $p_A^\mu = k_1^\mu$, $p_B^\mu = k_2^\mu$, $p_{A'}^\mu = 0$, $p_{B'}^\mu = 0$
- Assign the spinors $|p_1\rangle$, $|p_1]$ to the A-quark and the propagator $\frac{i\not p_1}{p_1\cdot k}$ instead of $\frac{i\not k}{k^2}$ to the propagators of the A-quark carrying momentum k; the same goes for the B-quark line.
- multiply the amplitude by $g_s^{-1}x_1\sqrt{-2\,k_{1\perp}^2} imes g_s^{-1}x_2\sqrt{-2\,k_{2\perp}^2}$.
- ordinary Feynman rules must be used everywhere else and the procedure holds for any number of off-shell gluons (including 1).

K. Kutak, P. Kotko, A. van Hameren, JHEP 1301 (2013) 078

Prescription for off-shell quarks

... and second result:

2) for off-shell quarks: represent q^* as coming from a $\gamma \bar{q}q$ vertex, with a 0 momentum and \bar{q} on shell (and vice-versa)



- embed the scattering of the quark with whatever set of particles in the scattering of an auxiliary quark-photon pair, q_A and γ_A carrying momenta $p_{q_A}^{\mu} = k_1^{\mu}$, $p_{\gamma_A}^{\mu} = 0$
- Let q_A -propagators of momentum k be $\frac{i \not p_1}{p_1 \cdot k}$ and assign the spinors $|p_1\rangle, |p_1|$ to the A-quark.
- Assign the polarization vectors $\epsilon^{\mu}_{+} = \frac{\langle q | \gamma^{\mu} | p_1]}{\sqrt{2} \langle p_1 q \rangle}, \ \epsilon^{\mu}_{-} = \frac{\langle p_1 | \gamma^{\mu} | q]}{\sqrt{2} [p_1 q]}$ to the auxiliary photon, with q a light-like auxiliary momentum.
- Multiply the amplitude by $x_1 \sqrt{-k_{1\perp}^2/2}$ and use ordinary Feynman rules everywhere else.

K. Kutak, T. Salwa, A. van Hameren, Phys.Lett. B727 (2013) 226-233

Prescription for off-shell gluons: derivation 1

Auxiliary vectors (complex in general):
$$\begin{cases} p_3^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \langle p_2 | \gamma^{\mu} | p_1] \\ p_4^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \langle p_1 | \gamma^{\mu} | p_2] \\ p_1^2 = p_2^2 = p_3^2 = p_4^2 = 0 \\ p_{1,2} \cdot p_{3,4} = 0 , \quad p_1 \cdot p_2 = -p_3 \cdot p_4 \end{cases}$$

Auxiliary momenta:
$$\begin{cases} p_{A}^{\mu} = (\Lambda + x_{1})p_{1}^{\mu} - \frac{p_{4} \cdot k_{1\perp}}{p1 \cdot p_{2}}p_{3}^{\mu}, & p_{A'}^{\mu} = \Lambda p_{1}^{\mu} + \frac{p_{3} \cdot k_{1\perp}}{p1 \cdot p_{2}}p_{4}^{\mu} \\ p_{B}^{\mu} = (\Lambda + x_{2})p_{2}^{\mu} - \frac{p_{3} \cdot k_{2\perp}}{p1 \cdot p_{2}}p_{4}^{\mu}, & p_{B'}^{\mu} = \Lambda p_{2}^{\mu} + \frac{p_{4} \cdot k_{2\perp}}{p1 \cdot p_{2}}p_{3}^{\mu} \end{cases}$$

Prescription for off-shell gluons: derivation 2

Momentum flowing through a propagator of an auxiliary quark line:

$$k^\mu = (\Lambda + x_k) p_1^\mu + y_k \, p_2^\mu + k_\perp$$

Final step: remove complex components taking the $\Lambda \to \infty$ limit.

$$\frac{\cancel{k}}{k^2} = \frac{(\Lambda + x_k)\cancel{p}_1 + y_k \cancel{p}_2 + \cancel{k}}{2(\Lambda + x_k)y_k p_1 \cdot p_2 + k_\perp^2} \xrightarrow{\Lambda \to \infty} \frac{\cancel{p}_1}{2 y_k p_1 \cdot p_2} = \frac{\cancel{p}_1}{2p_1 \cdot k}$$
...and the factor $x_1 \sqrt{-k_\perp^2/2}$ is to match the collinear limit.

In agreement with other approaches (e.g. Lipatov's effective action)

One left issue: huge slowness

The diagrammatic approach is too slow to allow for the computation of amplitudes containing more than 4 particles in a reasonable time.

Computing scattering amplitudes in Yang-Mills theories via ordinary Feynman diagrams: soon overwhelming !

Number of Feynman diagrams at tree level on-shell:

# of gluons	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
# of diagrams	4	25	220	2485	34300	559405	10525900

And there are even more with the proposed method for amplitudes with off-shell particles due to the gauge-restoring terms.

A method to efficiently compute helicity amplitudes: BCFW recursion relation

Britto, Cachazo, Feng, Nucl.Phys. B715 (2005) 499-522 Britto, Cachazo, Feng, Witten, Phys.Rev.Lett. 94 (2005) 181602

BCFW recursion relation

Two very simple ideas for tree level amplitudes:

Query's residue theorem: if the amplitude is formally treated as a function of a complex variable z and if it is rational and vanishes for z → ∞, then the integral extended to an infinite contour enclosing all poles vanishes

$$\lim_{z\to\infty}\mathcal{A}(z)=0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint dz\,\frac{\mathcal{A}(z)}{z}=0$$

implying that the value at z = 0 (physical amplitude) can be determined as a sum of the residues at the poles:

$$\mathcal{A}(0) = -\sum_{i} \frac{\lim_{z \to z_i} [(z - z_i) f(z)]}{z_i}$$

where z_i is the location of the *i*-th pole

Output: Poles in Yang-Mills tree level amplitudes can only be due to gluon propagators dividing the n-point amplitude into two on-shell sub-amplitudes with k + 1 and n − k + 1 gluons ⇒ it is all about finding the proper way to "complexify" an amplitude.

To properly "complexify" A: for helicities $(h_1, h_n) = (-, +)$ (no loss of generality...)

$$\begin{aligned} |1] & \to & |\hat{1}] \equiv |1] - z \, |n] \Rightarrow p_1 \to \hat{p}_1 = |1] \langle 1| - z |1] \langle n| \\ |n\rangle & \to & |\hat{n}\rangle \equiv |n\rangle + z |1\rangle \Rightarrow p_n \to \hat{p}_n = |n] \langle n| + z |1] \langle n| \end{aligned}$$

With such a choice

- On-shellness, gauge invariance and momentum conservation preserved throughout.
- the most serious issue is the behaviour for z → ∞, but either a result derived with twistor methods (*Cachazo,Svrcek and Witten JHEP 0409 (2004) 006*) or a smart choice of reference lines always allow to overcome the problem, so that lim_{z→∞} A(z) = 0 holds

BCFW applies to color-ordered partial amplitudes, for which the kinematics and gauge structure are factorised like

$$\mathcal{M}_n = g^{n-2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n/\mathbb{Z}_n} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{T}_{\sigma(1)} \dots \mathcal{T}_{\sigma(n)}) \mathcal{A}(g_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, g_{\sigma(n)})$$

◆□ → < 部 → < 差 → < 差 → 差 < の へ ペ 12/22 The result is an amazingly simple recursive relation:

any tree-level color-ordered amplitude is the sum of residues of the poles it develops when it is made dependent on a complex variable as above.

Such residues are simply products of color-ordered lower-point amplitudes evaluated at the pole times an intermediate propagator. Shifted particles are always on opposite sides of the propagator.

$$\mathcal{A}(g_1, \ldots, g_n) = \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} \sum_{h=+,-} \mathcal{A}(g_1, \ldots, g_i, \hat{P}^h) \frac{1}{(p_1 + \cdots + p_i)^2} \mathcal{A}(-\hat{P}^{-h}, g_{i+1}, \ldots, g_n)$$

 $z_i = rac{(p_1 + \dots + p_i)^2}{[1|p_1 + \dots + p_i|n
angle}$ location of the pole corresponding for the "i-th" partition



The inclusion of fermions and MHV amplitudes

The BCFW recursion was promptly extended to Yang-Mills theories with fermions: *M. Luo, C. Wen, JHEP 0503 (2005) 004*



It is natural to ask whether something like a BCFW recursion relation exists with off-shell particles. For off shell, gluons, the answer was first found in *A. van Hameren, JHEP 1407 (2014) 138*

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{0}) = \sum_{s=g,f} \left(\sum_{p} \sum_{h=+,-} \mathbf{A}^{s}_{p,h} + \sum_{i} \mathbf{B}^{s}_{i} + \mathbf{C}^{s} + \mathbf{D}^{s} \right) \,,$$

- $A_{p,h}^{g/f}$ are due to the poles which appear in the original BCFW recursion for on-shell amplitudes. The pole appears because one of the intermediate virtual gluon, whose shifted momentum squared $K^2(z)$ goes on-shell.
- $B_i^{g/f}$ are due to the poles appearing in the propagator of auxiliary eikonal quarks. This means $p_i \cdot \hat{K}(z) = 0$ for $z = -\frac{2 p_i \cdot K}{2 p_i \cdot e}$. \hat{K} is the momentum flowing through the eikonal propagator.
- C^{g/f} and D^{g/f} show up us the first/last shifted particle is off-shell and their external propagator develops a pole.

The external propagator for off-shell particles is necessary to ensure

$$\lim_{z\to\infty}\mathcal{A}(z)=0$$

Classification of poles in the gluon case







Classification of poles in the fermion case





h



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

General outline of the results

- It is necessary to understand which shifts are legitimate in the off-shell case, i.e. for which choices $\lim_{z\to\infty} \mathcal{A}(z) = 0$. We provide a full classification of the possibilities.
- It turns out that amplitudes which are MHV in the on-shell case (2 of the partons have different helicity sign w.r.t. all the others) preserve a similar structure in the off-shell case.
- 5-point amplitudes exhibit some non-MHV structures, which have been calculated for the first time
- Numerical cross-checks are always successful. They were performed cross checked with a program implementing Berends-Giele recursion relation, *A. van Hameren*, *M. Bury, arXiv:1503.08612*

So 4-point amplitudes are always MHV , juts as in the on-shell case. On the other hand 5-point amplitudes are not always MHV , differently both from the on-shell case and the purely gluonic case with some of the gluons off the mass-shell

Explicit results are presented and discussed thoroughly in

A. van Hameren, M.S. http://arxiv.org/abs/1504.00315.

MHV amplitudes

Transverse momentum parameterization:
$$\begin{cases} k_{T\,i}^{\mu} = -\frac{\kappa_i}{2} \frac{\langle p_i | \gamma^{\mu} | q]}{[p_i q]} - \frac{\kappa_i^*}{2} \frac{\langle q | \gamma^{\mu} | p_i \rangle}{\langle q p_i \rangle} \\ \\ \kappa_i \equiv \frac{\langle q | k_i | p_i]}{\langle q p_i \rangle} \quad \kappa_i^* \equiv \frac{\langle p_i | k_i | q]}{[p_i q]} \\ \\ q^2 = 0 \quad \text{auxiliary momentum} \end{cases}$$

Subleading contribution: it is zero in the on-shell case !

$$\mathcal{A}(g_1^+, g_2^+, \dots, g_{n-1}^+, \bar{q}, q, g_n^+) = \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^3}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \dots \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle qn \rangle \langle n1 \rangle}$$

Structure of MHV amplitudes

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{A}(g_1^+,g_2^+,\ldots,g_{n-1}^+,\bar{q}^*,q^+,g_n^-) & = & \displaystyle \frac{1}{\kappa_{\bar{q}}^*} \frac{\langle \bar{q}n \rangle^3 \langle qn \rangle}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \ldots \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \langle qn \rangle \langle n1 \rangle} \\ \mathcal{A}(g^*,\bar{q}^+,q^-,g_1^+,g_2^+,\ldots,g_n^+) & = & \displaystyle \frac{1}{\kappa_{\bar{g}}^*} \frac{\langle gq \rangle^3 \langle g\bar{q} \rangle}{\langle g\bar{q} \rangle \langle \ldots \langle n-1|n \rangle \langle ng \rangle} \end{array}$$

But not everything is so smooth...



$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}(g^*,\bar{q}^+,q^-,g_1^+,g_2^-) &= \frac{1}{\kappa_g^*} \frac{[\bar{q}1]^3 \langle 2g \rangle^4}{[\bar{q}q] \langle g | \not{p}_2 + \not{k}_g | 1] \langle 2 | \not{k}_g \ (\not{k}_g + \not{p}_2) | g] \langle 2 | \not{k}_g | \bar{q}]} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\kappa_g} \frac{1}{(k_g + p_{\bar{q}})^2} \frac{[g\bar{q}]^2 \langle 2q \rangle^3 \langle 2 | \not{k}_g + \not{p}_{\bar{q}} | g]}{\langle 1q \rangle \langle 12 \rangle \{(k_g + p_{\bar{q}})^2 [\bar{q}g] \langle 2q \rangle - \langle 2 | \not{k}_g + \not{p}_{\bar{q}} | g] \langle q | \not{k}_g | \bar{q}]\}} \\ &+ \frac{\langle gq \rangle^3 [g1]^4}{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle [12] [g2] \langle q | \not{p}_1 + \not{p}_2 | g] \langle g | \not{p}_1 + \not{p}_2 | g] \langle g | \not{k}_g + \not{p}_2 | 1]} \\ &+ \Box \wedge \langle \overline{g} \rangle \wedge \langle \overline{$$

Conclusions and perspectives

- High-energy factorisation requires gauge invariant scattering amplitudes with off-shell partons.
- "Embedding tricks" to provide such amplitudes have been devised recently: they work, but suffer from the same kind of computational slow-down when it comes to amplitudes with many (≥ 5) particles.
- the BCFW construction was extended to Yang Mills with fermions with off-shell particles. This implies identifying a new set of poles in the auxiliary complex variable. A complete set of 5 point amplitudes with 1 off-shell parton has been obtained...**and cross-checked numerically**
- It is possible, in the same way, to obtain the scattering amplitudes with more off-shel partons...just some more work.
- Applications of these results to Multi Parton Scattering processes are being studied right now...more on this topic in the next few months.
- A Mathematica notebook containing our results with numerical examples is going to be published together with the second release of the paper on arXiv

Conclusions and perspectives

- High-energy factorisation requires gauge invariant scattering amplitudes with off-shell partons.
- "Embedding tricks" to provide such amplitudes have been devised recently: they work, but suffer from the same kind of computational slow-down when it comes to amplitudes with many (≥ 5) particles.
- the BCFW construction was extended to Yang Mills with fermions with off-shell particles. This implies identifying a new set of poles in the auxiliary complex variable. A complete set of 5 point amplitudes with 1 off-shell parton has been obtained...**and cross-checked numerically**
- It is possible, in the same way, to obtain the scattering amplitudes with more off-shel partons...just some more work.
- Applications of these results to Multi Parton Scattering processes are being studied right now...more on this topic in the next few months.
- A Mathematica notebook containing our results with numerical examples is going to be published together with the second release of the paper on arXiv

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION