# Precision Tests of Fundamental Interactions with the LBNE/LBNF Near Detector

R. Petti

University of South Carolina, Columbia SC, USA

for the LBNE/LBNF Collaboration

DIS 2015 April 29th, 2015, Dallas, TX, USA

## HIGH RESOLUTION NEAR DETECTOR FOR LBNE/LBNF

- ← The Long-Baseline Neutrino Experiment/Facility (LBNE/LBNF) designed for high sensitivity measurements of Long-Baseline (LBL)  $\nu_{\mu}(\bar{\nu}_{\mu}) \rightarrow \nu_{e}(\bar{\nu}_{e})$  oscillations with  $\nu_{e}(\bar{\nu})$  appearance and  $\nu_{\mu}(\bar{\nu}_{\mu})$  disappearance (LBNE Collaboration, arXiv:1307.7335 [hep-ex])
  - High intensity  $\nu$  and  $\bar{\nu}$  beams from Fermilab to Homestake mine in SD ( $L \sim 1300 \text{ km}$ )
  - Look for CP violation, neutrino mass hierarchy, searches beyond PNMS, etc.
- ♦ Need a high resolution near detector (ND) complex to address LBL systematics:
  - Beyond the naive "identical" paradigm;
  - Measurement of  $\nu_{\mu}, \bar{\nu}, |\nu_{e}|, |\bar{\nu}_{e}|$  content vs.  $E_{\nu}$  and  $\theta_{\nu}$ ;
  - Measurement of  $\nu$ -induced  $\pi^{\pm}/K^{\pm}/p/\pi^0$  in CC and NC interactions;
  - Quantitative determination of  $E_{\nu}$  absolute energy scale;
  - Measurement of detailed event topologies in CC & NC.
  - ⇒ <u>Provide an 'Event-Generator' measurement for LBL</u>
- A fine grained near detector operating in the LBNF (anti)neutrino beam is a natural candidate to study neutrino scattering physics.

Can it achieve a substantial physics potential for non-oscillation physics?

# REQUIREMENTS FOR $\nu(\bar{\nu})$ scattering physics

#### STATISTICS

- Limiting factor for old experiments;
- Need increase  $\times 10 \div \times 100$  with respect to current/past experiments;
- Detector mass not critical at the LBNF due to the large fluxes;
- ⇒ Shift focus from measurements of cross-sections to precision tests of foundamental interactions & structure of matter
- Reduction of systematic uncertainties:
  - Flux, energy & momentum scales, backgrounds, theoretical modeling etc.;
  - Start to limit current *v*-scattering experiments;
  - Need fine-grained detectors & **REDUNDANCY** through multiple measurements

 $\implies$  A major physics program requires

HIGH RESOLUTION

## LBNE/LBNF NEAR DETECTOR



Based upon the NOMAD concept/experience

- Straw Tube Tracker 3.5m×3.5m×6.5m
   (ρ ~ 0.1 g/cm<sup>3</sup>) with target embedded
- + Target mass  $\sim$ 7t:  $(C_3H_6)_n$ , C, Ar, Ca, etc.
- +  $4\pi$  ECAL in dipole B field (0.4 T)
- 4π μ-Detector (RPC) in return yoke and downstream
- + Pressurized Ar target  $\sim \times 10$  FD Stat.
- Precise measurement of 4-momenta

Combined tracking and particle ID

- igstarrow Transition Radiation  $\Longrightarrow e^-/e^+$  ID,  $\gamma$
- $dE/dx \implies$  Proton ID,  $\pi^{+/-}$ ,  $K^{+/-}$
- Magnet/Muon detector  $\Longrightarrow \mu^+/\mu^-$

#### LOW-DENSITY "ELECTRONIC BUBBLE CHAMBER"



Event candidate from NOMAD data  $\implies$  STT has  $\times 10$  granularity



Event candidate from NOMAD data  $\implies$  STT has  $\times 10$  granularity

## **NUCLEAR TARGETS**



MAIN target  $(C_3H_6)_n$  radiators: fiducial mass ~5t

- Multiple nuclear targets in STT:  $(C_3H_6)_n$  radiators, C, Ar gas, Ca, Fe,  $H_2O$ ,  $D_2O$ , etc.  $\implies$  Separation from excellent vertex (~ 100µm) and angular (< 2 mrad) resolutions
- ← Subtraction of C TARGET (0.5 tons) from polypropylene  $(C_3H_6)_n$  RADIATORS provides  $5.0(1.5) \times 10^6 \pm 13(6.6) \times 10^3(sub.) \nu(\bar{\nu})$  CC interactions on free proton  $\implies$  Absolute  $\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$  flux from QE  $\implies$  Model-independent measurement of nuclear effects and FSI from RATIOS A/H
- Pressurized Ar GAS target (~ 140 atm) inside AI/C tubes and solid Ca TARGET provide detailed understanding of the FD A = 40 target
   ⇒ Collect ×10 unoscillated FD statistics on Ar target
   ⇒ Study of flavor dependence & isospin physics

## BEAM AND EVENT RATES

- ♦ New high intensity (PIP-II) 1.2 MW proton beam at E = 120 GeV delivering 11 × 10<sup>20</sup> pot/year for 5 (v)+5(v̄) years
   ⇒ Upgradable to 2.4 MW
- Different energy tuning possible
- At ND location (459m from proton target) expect to collect  $90(40) \times 10^6 \nu_{\mu}(\bar{\nu}_{\mu})$  CC inclusive interactions



## EVENT KINEMATICS & $\nu(\bar{\nu})$ ENERGY SCALES



## SHORT BASELINE PHYSICS IN LBNE/LBNF

#### PRECISION MEASUREMENTS

(LBNE Collaboration, arXiv:1307.7335 [hep-ex])

- Measurement of  $\sin^2 \theta_W$  and electroweak physics;
- Measurement of strange sea contribution to the nucleon spin  $\Delta s$ ;
- Precision tests of isospin symmetry;
- Precision tests of the structure of the weak current: <u>PCAC, CVC</u>;
- <u>Adler sum rule;</u>
- Studies of QCD and hadron structure of nucleons and nuclei;
- Strange sea and charm production;
- Measurement of Nuclear effects in neutrino interactions;
- Precision measurements of cross-sections and particle production; etc. .....

Deep synergy with the LBL oscillation program: same requirements and mutual feedback

#### SEARCHES FOR NEW PHYSICS

- Search for weakly interacting massive particles (e.g.  $\nu$ MSM sterile neutrinos);
- Search for high  $\Delta m^2$  neutrino oscillations (e.g. LSND, MiniBooNE)
- Search for light (sub-GeV) Dark Matter; etc. .....
- $\implies$  The combination of high resolution and unprecedented statistics (×100) may led to discoveries of new physics in fundamental interactions / structure of matter!
- $\implies$  More than 200 physics papers and > 100 Ph.D. thesis expected

#### PRECISION ELECTROWEAK MEASUREMENTS

- Sensitivity from  $\nu$  scattering in LBNE/LBNF comparable to the Collider precision:
  - FIRST single experiment to directly check the running of  $\sin^2 \theta_W$ : elastic  $\nu$ -e scattering and  $\nu N$  DIS have different scales
  - <u>Different scale</u> of momentum transfer with respect to LEP/SLD (off  $Z^0$  pole)
  - Direct measurement of neutrino couplings to  $Z^0$  $\implies$  Only other measurement LEP  $\Gamma_{\nu\nu}$
  - Independent cross-check of the NuTeV  $\sin^2 \theta_W$  anomaly (~  $3\sigma$  in  $\nu$  data) in a similar  $Q^2$  range



- ◆ Different independent channels:
  R<sup>ν</sup> = σ<sup>ν</sup><sub>NC</sub>/σ<sup>ν</sup><sub>CC</sub> in ν-N DIS (~0.35%)
  R<sub>νe</sub> = σ<sup>ν</sup><sub>NC</sub>/σ<sup>ν</sup><sub>NC</sub> in ν-e<sup>-</sup> NC elastic (~1%)
  NC/CC ratio (νp → νp)/(νn → μ<sup>-</sup>p) in (quasi)-elastic interactions
  NC/CC ratio ρ<sup>0</sup>/ρ<sup>+</sup> in coherent processes
  ⇒ Combined EW fits like LEP
- ♦ Reduction of uncertainties to ~ 0.2% with 1-2 yr run in high energy mode

## FLUX MEASUREMENTS

## ABSOLUTE FLUXES

NC elastic scattering  $\nu_{\mu} + e^- \rightarrow \nu_{\mu} + e^-$ 

 $\implies$  Expect a  $\sim 2\%$  precision in the absolute flux for  $0.5 \leq E_{\nu} \leq 10$  GeV

CC Inverse Muon Decay  $u_{\mu} + e^{-} \rightarrow \nu_{e} + \mu$ 

 $\implies$  Expect a  $\sim 2.5\%$  precision in the absolute flux for  $E_{\nu} \geq 11$  GeV

Using quasi-elastic CC scattering off free proton (hydrogen) target  $\bar{\nu}_{\mu} + p \rightarrow \mu + n$ 

 $\implies$  Estimate a  $\sim 3\%$  precision in the absolute flux for  $0.5 \leq E_{\nu} \leq 20$  GeV

#### RELATIVE FLUXES

Use low- $\nu_0$  method to extract parent meson distributions and predict FD/ND  $\implies$  Expect FD/ND to ~ 1-2% in fluxes vs.  $E_{\nu}$  (bin-to-bin) for  $0.5 \le E_{\nu} \le 20$  GeV Use coherent  $\pi^{\pm}$  production to determine  $\bar{\nu}/\nu$  flux ratio  $\implies$  Expect ~ 1% precision on the flux ratio

## PRECISION TESTS OF THE ADLER SUM RULE

- High statistics event samples on H target from the subtraction between  $(C_3H_6)_n$ radiators and the C target allow high precision tests of the Adler sum rule
- ◆ The Adler integral provides the ISOSPIN of the target:  $S_A = \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{2x} \left( F_2^{\bar{\nu}p} F_2^{\nu p} \right) = I_z$ 
  - Exact sum rule from current algebra;
  - At large  $Q^2$  (quarks) sensitive to  $(s \bar{s})$  asymmetry, isospin violations;
  - At low  $Q^2$  cancellation QE, Res, DIS;
  - Only measurement from BEBC with 5,000 (9,000)  $\nu(\bar{\nu})$  events on H (Z.Phys.C28 (1985) 321).
  - Expect  $5.0(1.5) \times 10^6 \pm 13(6.6) \times 10^3 (sub.) \nu(\bar{\nu})$  CC interactions on free proton

 $\implies$  A measurement on H at the percent level at LBNF could bring to discoveries!

◆ Interesting to measure the Adler sum rule in nuclei  $S_A = (Z - N)/A$  like C, Ca and Ar to test possible isospin violations or flavor dependencies of nuclear effects

## TESTS OF ISOSPIN (CHARGE) SYMMETRY

◆ Experimental check of isospin symmetry in nucleon,  $u_{p(n)} \neq d_{n(p)}$ . Fine grained ND in LBNE/LBNF with  $\nu$  AND  $\bar{\nu}$  on isoscalar C TARGET :  $\frac{F_2^{\nu C}}{F_2^{\bar{\nu}C}}(x,Q^2) - 1$ 

- Structure function ratio reduces systematic uncertainties;
- Need to take into account charm quark effects  $\propto \sin^2 \theta_C$ . Sensitivity to  $m_c$ ;
- A non-vanishing strange sea asymmetry  $s(x) \bar{s}(x)$  would affect the result. Need combined analysis with charm production in  $\nu$  and  $\bar{\nu}$  interactions;
- Potential effect of nuclear environment e.g. with Coulomb field.
- Collect  $\nu$  and  $\bar{\nu}$  interactions on both Ca AND Ar TARGETS to disentangle nuclear effects from isospin effects in nucleon structure functions.
  - Measure ratios  $F_2^{\nu A}/F_2^{\bar{\nu}A}(x,Q^2)$ ;
  - Use heavier isoscalar target,  ${}^{40}_{20}Ca$ , to verify nuclear effects in  ${}^{12}_{6}C$ ;
  - Use second target with isovector component but same A as Ca:  $^{40}_{18}$ Ar.

### **MEASUREMENT OF** $\Delta s$

**NC ELASTIC SCATTERING** *neutrino-nucleus is sensitive to the strange quark* contribution to nucleon spin,  $\Delta s$ , through axial-vector form factor  $G_1$ :

$$G_1 = \left[ -\frac{G_A}{2}\tau_z + \frac{G_A^s}{2} \right]$$

At  $Q^2 \to 0$  we have  $d\sigma/dQ^2 \propto G_1^2$  and the strange axial form factor  $G_A^s \to \Delta s$ .

• Measure NC/CC RATIOS as a function of  $Q^2$  to reduce systematics ( $\sin^2 \theta_W$  as well):

$$R_{\nu} = \frac{\sigma(\nu p \to \nu p)}{\sigma(\nu n \to \mu^{-} p)}; \qquad R_{\bar{\nu}} = \frac{\sigma(\bar{\nu} p \to \bar{\nu} p)}{\sigma(\bar{\nu} p \to \mu^{+} n)}$$

- Statistical precison in LBNE/LBNF ND will be at the  $10^{-3}$  level:  $\sim 2.0 (1.2) \times 10^6 \nu (\bar{\nu})$  NC events (best measurement BNL E734 with 951 (776)  $\nu(\bar{\nu})$  NC events, PRD 35 (1987) 785);
- A precision measurement over an extended  $Q^2$  range reduces systematic uncertainties from the  $Q^2$  dependence of vector  $(F_{1,2}^s)$  and axial  $(G_A^s)$  strange form factors;
- Need to check background subtraction (e.g. neutrons etc.);

#### SEARCH FOR NEUTRAL LEPTONS



## **SUMMARY**

- ◆ High resolution low density (ρ ~ 0.1 g/cm<sup>3</sup>) & magnetized (B=0.4T) near detector important to constrain systematics in LBNE/LBNF and fully achieve physics potential of long-baseline oscillation analyses
- Multiple nuclear targets in LBNE/LBNF ND will provide a rich Short Baseline physics program, characterized by a deep synergy with long-baseline oscillation analyses, offering a generational advance in precision measurements and searches

 $\implies$  Discovery potential within short-baseline physics

- The availability of unprecedented neutrino fluxes at LBNF, coupled with a high resolution near detector, can elevate neutrino physics to the same level of precision of e+e physics (LEP/SLD)
  - ⇒ Sensitivities comparable with other complementary dedicated programs
  - $\implies$  Exploit the uniqueness of the (anti)neutrino probe

# **Backup slides**

## VERTEX RESOLUTION AND ENERGY SCALES



- ♦ NOMAD: charged track momentum scale known to < 0.2% hardonic energy scale known to < 0.5%</p>
- DUNE ND:  $\sim 100 \times$  more statistics and  $12 \times$  higher segmentation

Source of uncertainty	$\delta R^{ u}/R^{ u}$		Comments
	NuTeV	LBNE	
Data statistics	0.00176	0.00074	
Monte Carlo statistics	0.00015		
Total Statistics	0.00176	0.00074	
$ u_e, \overline{ u}_e  ext{ flux } (\sim 1.7\%)$	0.00064	0.00010	$e^{-}/e^{+}$ identification
<b>Energy measurement</b>	0.00038	0.00040	
Shower length model	0.00054	n.a.	
Counter efficiency, noise	0.00036	n.a.	
<b>Interaction vertex</b>	0.00056	n.a.	
$\overline{ u}_{\mu}$ flux	n.a.	0.00070	Large $\bar{\nu}$ contamination
<b>Kinematic selection</b>	n.a.	0.00060	Kinematic identification of NC
Experimental systematics	0.00112	0.00102	
d,s→c, s-sea	0.00227	0.00140	Based on existing knowledge
Charm sea	0.00013	n.a.	
$r=\sigma^{\overline{ u}}/\sigma^{ u}$	0.00018	n.a.	
<b>Radiative corrections</b>	0.00013	0.00013	
Non-isoscalar target	0.00010	N.A.	
Higher twists	0.00031	0.00070	Lower $Q^2$ values
$R_L\left(F_2,F_T,xF_3 ight)$	0.00115	0.00140	Lower $Q^2$ values
<b>Nuclear correction</b>		0.00020	
Model systematics	0.00258	0.00212	
Total	0.00332	0.00247	