# Higgs Physics



CLASHEP 2015 Ibarra, Ecuador, March 2015

Christophe Grojean

DESY (Hamburg) ICREA@IFAE (Barcelona) ( christophe.grojean@cern.ch )

# Lecture Outline

#### $\bigcirc$ First Lecture $\supset$

- **\*** Standard Model and EW symmetry breaking  $\underline{\supset}$
- **\*** Higgs mechanism custodial symmetry  $\supseteq$
- **\blacksquare** Goldstone equivalence theorem  $\supseteq$
- **\*** What is the Higgs boson the name of?  $\supseteq$
- SM Higgs @ colliders <u>⊃</u>
- **\blacksquare** UV behavior of the Higgs boson (triviality, stability, naturality)  $\underline{\supset}$
- **\*** Symmetries for a natural EWSB  $\supseteq$

#### Second Lecture $\supseteq$

- **The Implications for SUSY**  $\underline{\supset}$
- **\*** Composite Higgs models  $\supseteq$
- **\*** Precision Higgs couplings  $\supseteq$
- Future Higgs channels:
  - **\*** Boosted and off-shell channels  $\supseteq$
  - 🛎 Multi-Higgs 👤

# We all have a Post higgs Depression

For the first time in the history of physics,

we have a \*consistent\* description of the fundamental constituents of matter and their interactions and this description can be extrapolated to very high energy (up M<sub>Planck</sub>?)

# My key message MLM@Aspen'14

- The days of "guaranteed" discoveries or of no-lose theorems in particle physics are over, at least for the time being ....
- .... but the big questions of our field remain wild open (hierarchy problem, flavour, neutrinos, DM, BAU, .... )
- This simply implies that, more than for the past 30 years, future HEP's progress is to be driven by experimental exploration, possibly renouncing/reviewing deeply rooted theoretical bias

#### Where and how does the SM break down? Which machine(s) will reveal this breakdown?

# HEP with a Higgs boson

" If you don't have the ball, you cannot score"

![](_page_3_Picture_2.jpeg)

# HEP with a Higgs boson

" If you don't have the ball, you cannot score

Now with the Higgs boson in their hands, particle physicists can... play as well as the Barca players

Higgs as a target

- observe it in as many channels as possible to measure its properties
- check of the coupling structure of the SM and its deformations
- interpret deviations of Higgs couplings as a sign of NP

Higgs as a tool

- a portal to New Physics
- in initial states: rare decays (BSM Higgs decays)

e.g., h  $\rightarrow \mu \tau,$  h  $\rightarrow$  J/ $\Psi$ + $\gamma$ 

 in final states as an object that can be reconstructed and tagged
 (BSM Higgs productions)

e.g.,  $t \rightarrow$  h+c, H  $\rightarrow$  hh

Profound change in paradigm:

missing SM particle is tool to explore SM and venture into physics landscape beyond

Christophe Grojean

![](_page_5_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Implications for SUSY

## Is SUSY/MSSM Natural?

The Higgs mass is calculable in the MSSM

substantial loop contribution from stops

![](_page_6_Figure_4.jpeg)

## MSSM fine-tuning

Hall, Pinner, Ruderman'11 Higgs Mass vs. Fine Tuning 3000 2500 1000 Suspect FeynHiggs 2000 [GeV] 1500 1000  $m_{\tilde{t}}$ 1000 50 200 500  $\Delta_{m_h}$ -20 2 -44  $X_t/m_{\tilde{t}}$  $-\max\left|\frac{\partial\log m_h^2}{\partial\log m_h^2}\right|$  $\begin{array}{ll} \Lambda & -\max \left| \frac{O \log m_h}{M_G} \right| & \Lambda \\ m_{\tilde{t}}^2(M_Z) \simeq 5.0 M_3^2(M_G) + 0.6 m_{\tilde{t}}^2(M_G) \\ \end{array} \right| \stackrel{h}{\sim} \gtrsim 100 \\ \dots \text{ generic}$ maximal mixing •••• generically  $|A_t/m_{\tilde{t}}| \leq 1$ requires  $A_t(M_Z) \simeq -2.3M_3(M_G) + 0.2A_t(M_G)$ engineering Fermisek, Kim '06  $M_3$ Christophe Grojean Higgs Physics Ibarra, March. 10-12, 2015 73

## Towards precise prediction of MSSM Higgs mass

further improved predictions (full 2-loop QCD corrections)

Bagnaschi et al '14 Degrassi et al '14 Pedro Vega, Villadoro 'to appear

74

![](_page_8_Figure_3.jpeg)

Ibarra, March. 10-12, 2015

# Saving SUSY

SUSY is Natural but not plain vanilla

![](_page_9_Picture_2.jpeg)

NMSSM

 Hide SUSY, e.g. smaller phase space
 reduce production (eg. split families) Mahbubani et al
 reduce MET (e.g. R-parity, compressed spectrum)
 dilute MET (decay to invisible particles with more invisible particles)
 soften MET (stealth susy, stop -top degeneracy) LHC<sub>100fb-1</sub> will tell!

Good coverage of

hidden natural susy

mono-top searches (DM, flavored naturalness - mixing among different squark flavors-, stop-higgsino mixings)

mono-jet searches with ISR

recoil (compressed spectra)

 $\blacktriangleright$  precise tt inclusive measurement+ spin correlations (stop  $\rightarrow$  top +

very soft neutralino)

75

multi-hard-jets (RPV, hidden valleys, long decay chains)

Christophe Grojean

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Composite Higgs models

## Why should you care about compositeness?

Higgs compositeness means new fundamental interactions

Pospelov's 38 years rule...

38 years rule = new forces of nature are discovered every 38 years for the last 150 yrs

- 1860s first papers of Maxwell on EM. Light is EM excitation.
   E & M unification.
- 2. 1897 Becquerel discovers radioactivity first evidence of weak charged currents (in retrospect).
- 1935 Chadwick gets NP for his discovery of neutron with subsequent checks that there exists strong n-p interaction. Strong force is established.
- 4. 1973 Gargamelle experiment sees the evidence for weak neutral currents in nu-N scattering
- 5. 2011/2012 Discovery of the Higgs, i.e. new Yukawa force.
- 6. *Prediction: Discovery of a new dark force 2050?*

(+/- 2 years or so). M. Pospelov, SHiP collab. meeting, Naples '15

Why should you care about compositeness? All SM shortcomings are intimately linked to the Higgs elementary nature  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Higgs}} = V_0 - \mu^2 H^{\dagger} H + \lambda \left( H^{\dagger} H \right)^2 + \left( y_{ij} \bar{\psi}_{Li} \psi_{Rj} H + h.c. \right)$ Vacuum energy cosmological constant  $V_0 \approx (2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV})^4 \ll M_{\rm PL}^4$ hierarchy problem  $m_H \approx 100 \text{ GeV} \ll M_{\text{Pl}}$ triviality/stability of EW Vacuum mass and mixing All these problems because the Higgs hierarchy boson would be the first elementary flavour & CP: particle whose interactions are not no FCNC, small CP endowed with a gauge structure

#### Higgs = Elementary or Composite?

Christophe Grojean

# Probing the Higgs compositeness

Unlikely we'll ever see the fundamental constituents of the Higgs But we can infer that it is not an elementary particle by measuring its couplings to SM particles

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Which composite scenario?

| Minimal Compo                                | site Higgs                |                                  |                                  | ex: SO(5)/SO(4)                         |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| $\xi = \frac{v^2}{f^2} \ll 1$                | 1 Strong                  | Sector<br>(g*, f)<br>PNGB Higgs  | g <sup>2</sup> <sub>SM</sub> /g* | SM<br>(g,g', yt)                        |
| Partly Compos                                | site Higgs                |                                  |                                  |   |
| $\xi = \frac{v^2}{f^2} \ll 1$                | Strong<br>E<br>Higgs      | Sector<br>(g*, f)<br><ew>~0</ew> | 9 <sup>2</sup> /9*               | SM<br>(g,g',Yt)                         |
| Bosonic Techr                                | nicolor                   |                                  | 2 /                              | • |
| Induced EV $\varepsilon = \frac{f}{v} \ll 1$ | VSB Strong<br>E<br>Higgs  | Sector<br>(g*, f)<br><ew>~f</ew> | 9 <sup>2</sup> /9*               | SM<br>(g,g',yt)                         |
| Christophe Grojean                           | • • • • • • • • • • • • • | Higgs Physics                    | 5                                | 0 Ibarra, March. 10-12, 2015            |

## Which composite scenario?

| Minimal Composite Higgs<br>SILH     | $\frac{1}{f^2} \left( \partial_\mu  H ^2 \right)^2$                        | $\frac{\lambda_4}{f^2} H ^6$   |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| $\xi = \frac{v^2}{f^2} \ll 1$       | $\kappa_V \equiv \frac{g_{hVV}}{g_{hVV}^{\rm SM}} = 1 + \xi$               | $\kappa_3 \equiv \frac{g_{hhh}}{g_{hhh}^{\rm SM}} = 1 + \xi$   |
| Partly Composite Higgs              | $\frac{\varepsilon^4}{f^2} \left(\partial_\mu  H ^2\right)^2$              | $\frac{\varepsilon^6}{f^2} H ^6$   |
| $\xi = \frac{v^2}{f^2} \ll 1$       | $\kappa_V \equiv \frac{g_{hVV}}{g_{hVV}^{\rm SM}} = 1 + \varepsilon^4 \xi$ | $\kappa_3 \equiv \frac{g_{hhh}}{g_{hhh}^{\rm SM}} = 1 + \varepsilon^2 \frac{g_*^2 v^2}{m_h^2} \varepsilon^4 \xi$ |
| Bosonic Technicolor<br>Induced EWSB | $\frac{\varepsilon^4}{f^2} \left(\partial_\mu  H ^2\right)^2$              | $\frac{\varepsilon^6}{f^2} H ^6$   |
| $\varepsilon = \frac{f}{v} \ll 1$   | $\kappa_V \equiv \frac{g_{hVV}}{g_{hVV}^{\rm SM}} = 1 + \varepsilon^2$     | $\kappa_3 \equiv \frac{g_{hhh}}{g_{hhh}^{\rm SM}} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(1)$  |
| Christophe Grojean                  | Higgs Physics  | 81 Ibarra, March.  |

# Patterns of Higgs coupling deviations

expected largest relative deviations

|                       | hff          | hVV          | hγγ          | hγZ          | hGG          | h <sup>3</sup> |
|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| MSSM                  | $\checkmark$ |              | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |                |
| NMSSM                 |              | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | √            |                |
| PGB Composite         | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |              | $\checkmark$ |              | $\checkmark$   |
| SUSY Composite        | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$   |
| SUSY partly-composite |              |              | $\checkmark$ |              | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$   |
| "Bosonic TC"          |              |              |              |              |              | $\checkmark$   |
| Higgs as a dilaton    |              |              | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$   |

A. Pomarol, Naturalness '15

# Light composite Higgs from "light" resonances

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

Impossible to compute the details of the potential from first principles but using general properties on the asymptotic behavior of correlators (saturation of Weinberg sum rules with the first few lightest resonances) it is possible to estimate the Higgs mass

Pomarol, Riva '12

$$m_Q \lesssim 700 \,\,\mathrm{GeV}\left(\frac{m_h}{125 \,\,\mathrm{GeV}}\right) \left(\frac{160 \,\,\mathrm{GeV}}{m_t}\right)$$

$$\left(\frac{\text{GeV}}{h_t}\right) \left(\frac{f}{500 \text{ GeV}}\right)$$

Marzocca, Serone, Shu'12

fermionic resonances below ~ 1 TeV vector resonances ~ few TeV (EW precision constraints) ~ for a natural (<20% fine-tuning) set-up ~

$$m_h^2 \approx \frac{3}{\pi^2} \frac{m_t^2 m_Q^2}{f_{G/H}^2}$$

# Light composite Higgs from "light" resonances

true spectrum in explicit realizations

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

Christophe Grojean

# Rich phenomenology of the top partners

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

Higgs Physics

Ibarra, March. 10-12, 2015

# Rich phenomenology of the top partners

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

Christophe Grojean

Higgs Physics

# Top partners & Higgs physics

~ current single higgs processes are insensitive to top partners ~

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### two competing effects that cancel:

- $\ensuremath{\overline{\mathbf{M}}}$  T's run in the loops
- ☑ T's modify top Yukawa coupling

Falkowski '0'7 Azatov, Galloway '11 Delaunay, Grojean, Perez, '13

#### ~ sensitivity in double Higgs production ~

![](_page_21_Figure_8.jpeg)

Gillioz, Grober, Grojean, Muhlleitner, Salvioni '12

Christophe Grojean

Higgs Physics

# Top partners & Higgs physics

direct measurement of top-higgs coupling

htt is important but challenging channel

may be easier channel to look at

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

Farina, Grojean, Maltoni, Salvioni, Thamm '12

|        | $\sigma(pp  ightarrow$ | tjh [fb]   | $\sigma(pp \to tjh\bar{b})$ [fb] |            |  |  |
|--------|------------------------|------------|----------------------------------|------------|--|--|
|        | $c_F = 1$              | $c_F = -1$ | $c_F = 1$                        | $c_F = -1$ |  |  |
| 8 TeV  | 17.3                   | 252.7      | 12.14                            | 181.4      |  |  |
| 14 TeV | 80.6                   | 1042       | 59.6                             | 828.5      |  |  |

look at final states:

 $\mathbf{3}b + 1 \,\mathrm{fwd} \,\mathrm{jet} + l^{\pm} + p^T$ .  $\mathbf{4}b + 1 \,\mathrm{fwd} \,\mathrm{jet} + l^{\pm} + p^T$ .

![](_page_22_Figure_9.jpeg)

ħ

# Top partners & Higgs physics

direct measurement of top-higgs coupling

single-top in association with Higgs

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Precision program in single Higgs processes

(assuming a mass gap between weak scale and new physics scale)

# Higgs/BSM Primaries

Several deformations away from the SM are harmless in the vacuum and need a Higgs field to be probed

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

But can affect h physics:

![](_page_26_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

Christophe Grojean

## Higgs/BSM Primaries How many of these effects can we have?

Pomarol, Riva '13 Elias-Miro et al '13 Gupta, Pomarol, Riva '14

# Almost a 1-to-1 correspondence with the 8 $\kappa$ 's in the Higgs fit

| Coupling       | 300 fb <sup>-1</sup> |      |      | 3000 fb <sup>-1</sup> |      |      |
|----------------|----------------------|------|------|-----------------------|------|------|
|                | Theory unc.:         |      |      | Theory unc.:          |      |      |
|                | All                  | Half | None | All                   | Half | None |
| κ <sub>Z</sub> | 8.1%                 | 7.9% | 7.9% | 4.4%                  | 4.0% | 3.8% |
| ĸw             | 9.0%                 | 8.7% | 8.6% | 5.1%                  | 4.5% | 4.2% |
| κ <sub>t</sub> | 22%                  | 21%  | 20%  | 11%                   | 8.5% | 7.6% |
| кь             | 23%                  | 22%  | 22%  | 12%                   | 11%  | 10%  |
| κ <sub>τ</sub> | 14%                  | 14%  | 13%  | 9.7%                  | 9.0% | 8.8% |
| $\kappa_{\mu}$ | 21%                  | 21%  | 21%  | 7.5%                  | 7.2% | 7.1% |
| κ <sub>g</sub> | 14%                  | 12%  | 11%  | 9.1%                  | 6.5% | 5.3% |
| κγ             | 9.3%                 | 9.0% | 8.9% | 4.9%                  | 4.3% | 4.1% |
| κΖγ            | 24%                  | 24%  | 24%  | 14%                   | 14%  | 14%  |

Atlas projection

With some important differences:

1) width approximation built-in

2)  $\kappa_W/\kappa_Z$  is not a primary (constrained by  $\Delta \rho$  and TGC)

3)  $\kappa_{g,} \kappa_{\gamma,} \kappa_{Z\gamma}$  do not separate UV and IR contributions

![](_page_28_Figure_9.jpeg)

Christophe Grojean

### Don't forget LEP!

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Don't forget LEP!

The parameter 'a' controls the size of the one-loop IR contribution to the LEP precision observables

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{f^2} |H|^2 |D_{\mu}H|^2$$
$$\Rightarrow a = \kappa_V = 1 + \frac{v^2}{2f^2}$$

![](_page_30_Figure_3.jpeg)

Christophe Grojean

#### Experimental results

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

# CP violation in Higgs physics?

Is CP a good symmetry of Nature? 2 CP-violating couplings in the SM: V<sub>CKM</sub> (large, O(1)), but screened by small quark masses) and θ<sub>QCD</sub> (small, O(10<sup>-10</sup>)) Can the O<sup>+</sup> SM Higgs boson have CP violating couplings?

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Among the 59 irrelevant directions, 6 } & \mathcal{P} \text{ Higgs/BSM primaries} \\ \Delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{BSM}} &= \frac{i\delta \tilde{g}_{hff}}{i\delta \tilde{g}_{hff}} h \bar{f}_L f_R + h.c. & (\text{f=b, } \tau, \text{t}) \\ &+ \tilde{\kappa}_{GG} \frac{h}{v} G^{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu} & (\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^{\rho\sigma}) \\ &+ \tilde{\kappa}_{\gamma\gamma} \frac{h}{v} F^{\gamma \, \mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\gamma}_{\mu\nu} \\ &+ \tilde{\kappa}_{\gamma Z} \frac{h}{v} F^{\gamma \, \mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{Z}_{\mu\nu} \end{aligned}$$

# CP violation in Higgs physics?

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

Caveats: h couplings to light particles can be significantly reduced

Higgs Physics

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Boosted and off-shell Higgs channels

![](_page_35_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Why going beyond inclusive Higgs processes?

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

But... off-shell Higgs data do not probe new corrections that cannot be constrained by on-shell data

# **Boosted Higgs**

#### inability to resolve the top loops

the bearable lightness of the Higgs: rich spectroscopy w/ multiple decays channels
 the unbearable lightness: loops saturate and don't reveal the physics @ energy physics (\*)

![](_page_37_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Resolving top loop: Boosted Higgs

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Resolving top loop: Boosted Higgs

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Resolving top loop: Boosted Higgs

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

Christophe Grojean

Higgs Physics

# **Boosted Higgs**

high p<sub>T</sub> tail discriminates short and long distance physics contribution to  $gg \rightarrow h$  $\sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV}, \int dt \mathcal{L} = 3ab^{-1}, p_T > 650 \text{ GeV}$ 

(partonic analysis in the boosted "ditau-jets" channel)

see Schlaffer et al '14 for a more complete analysis including WW channel

![](_page_41_Figure_4.jpeg)

IOI

![](_page_42_Figure_0.jpeg)

Christophe Grojean

Higgs Physics

### Off-shell Higgs: $gg \rightarrow h^* \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4I$ off-shell effects enhanced by the particular couplings of H to V<sub>L</sub>

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Multi-Higgs channels

Higgs Physics

I*Q*3

## Beyond single Higgs processes

Producing one Higgs is good. Producing H+X is better

![](_page_45_Figure_2.jpeg)

- also roughly indicates possible initial states/related kinematics
- Jet multiplicity might be replaced with V=W,Z, top, etc...

(adapted from M. Son@Planck2014)

#### Beyond single Higgs processes

Producing one Higgs is good. Producing H+X is better A long term plan?

![](_page_46_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Higgs-diboson associated production

![](_page_46_Figure_4.jpeg)

# What do we learn from $gg \rightarrow HH$ ?

in principle  $gg \rightarrow HH$  gives access to many new couplings, including non-linear couplings

![](_page_47_Figure_2.jpeg)

In practice, if the Higgs is part of an EW doublet, these new couplings are related to single-Higgs couplings

 $c_{2t} = 3(c_t - 1) \qquad \qquad c_{gg} = c_g$ 

Examples of connection between 1-Higgs and 2-Higgs vertices Important to measure independently these vertices and check the relations imposed by structure/symmetries/dynamics of the theory

Christophe Grojean

# What do we learn from $gg \rightarrow HH$ ?

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Remarks:

- unique access to  $c_3$  but sensitivity is limited (within the validity of EFT?).
- statistically limited, with more luminosity

 $\Rightarrow$  access to distribution

 $\Rightarrow$  discriminating power c<sub>3</sub> vs. c<sub>2t</sub> vs c<sub>g</sub>

# What do we learn from $gg \rightarrow HH$ ?

in principle  $gg \rightarrow$ HH gives access to many new couplings, including non-linear couplings after marginalizing over  $c_3$ , HH channel provides additional infos on single Higgs couplings

![](_page_49_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### HH channel is useful to break the degeneracy between 2 minima in the fit of single Higgs processes

Christophe Grojean

Higgs Physics

# Multiple Higgs interactions in WW $\rightarrow$ HH

in the SM, the Higgs is essential to prevent strong interactions in EWSB sector

(e.g. WW scattering) Contino, Grojean, Moretti, Piccini, Rattazzi '10

anomalous coupling'

107

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{EWSB}} = \frac{v^2}{4} \operatorname{Tr} \left( D_{\mu} \Sigma^{\dagger} D_{\mu} \Sigma \right) \left( 1 + \frac{2a}{v} \frac{h}{v} + b \frac{h^2}{v^2} \right) \qquad \mathsf{SM: a=b=d_3=d_4=}$$

$$V(h) = \frac{1}{2}m_h^2 h^2 + \frac{d_3}{6} \left(\frac{3m_h^2}{v}\right) h^3 + \frac{d_4}{24} \left(\frac{3m_h^2}{v^2}\right) h^4 + \dots$$

![](_page_50_Figure_5.jpeg)

sensitive to strong interaction

Christophe Grojean

Higgs Physics

Ibarra, March. 10-12, 2015

# Multiple Higgs interactions in WW $\rightarrow$ HH

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

Bondu, Contino, Massironi, Rojo 'to appear

Precision /indirect searches (high lumi.) vs. direct searches (high energy)

Contino, Grojeam, Pappadopulo, Rattazzi, Thamm'13

![](_page_52_Figure_3.jpeg)

Christophe Grojean

Higgs Physics

Precision /indirect searches (high lumi.) vs. direct searches (high energy)

![](_page_53_Figure_2.jpeg)

Christophe Grojean

Precision /indirect searches (high lumi.) vs. direct searches (high energy)

![](_page_54_Figure_2.jpeg)

Precision /indirect searches (high lumi.) vs. direct searches (high energy)

large region of parameter space already disfavored by EW precision data

complementarity between direct searches @ hadron machine and indirect higgs measurements @ lepton machine

![](_page_55_Figure_4.jpeg)

Torre, Thamm, Wulzer '14

a deviation in Higgs couplings also teaches us on the maximum mass scale to search for! e.g. 10% deviation  $\Rightarrow$  m<sub>V</sub> < 10TeV i.e. resonance within the reach of FCC-hh

Christophe Grojean

Higgs Physics