#### Beyond-the-Standard Model Higgs Physics using the ATLAS Experiment 4th International Conference on New Frontiers in Physics

Kolymbari, Greece (24-30 August 2015)

#### Loan Truong on behalf of the ATLAS collaboration

INFN Trieste, Gruppo Collegato di Udine + ICTP and SISSA, Trieste

August 27, 2015



- Status of Higgs measurements (see Michaela Queitsch-Maitland's and Nan Lu's talks on Tuesday)
- The BSM Higgs Physics searches at ATLAS
- Highlighted results on
  - High mass searches in WW/ZZ final states
  - Lepton flavour violating (LFV) decay
  - Lightest neutral pseudoscalar Higgs (a) decay (NMSSM)
  - 3 photon search
  - BSM constraints from Higgs couplings
  - Invisible decays of the Higgs boson
- Summary

# Combination of Higgs Measurements

• Summary of the signal strength measurements



• Likelihood contours in the  $(\mu^{f}_{ggF+ttH},\mu^{f}_{VBF+VH})$  plane



- Higgs mass: 125.36±0.37(*stat*)±0.18(*syst*) GeV
- Link: arXiv:1507.04548

#### From Pierre Savard - EPS2015

#### **BSM HIGGS SEARCHES**

A non-exhaustive list... Many of the searches below were performed in Run 1



No significant excess yet

#### Strategies:

- Search for additional Higgs boson
- Search for exotic decays of the 125GeV-Higgs
- Use the 125GeV Higgs as a tool to find new physics
  - Tag a Higgs
  - Use SM Higgs measurements to constrain BSM parameter space
- More new results:
  - $H \rightarrow hh \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$
  - 3 photon search

# Additional-heavy Higgs decaying to WW/ZZ

- Search for a heavy neutral scalar
- Final states:
  - $WW \rightarrow \ell \nu \ell \nu, \ell \nu j j$  HIGG-2013-19
  - $ZZ \rightarrow \ell\ell\ell\ell, \ell\ell\nu\nu, \ell\ell qq, \nu\nu qq$ arXiv:1507.05930
- Results interpreted separately for VBF, ggF production modes. Upper limits for heavy Higgs boson which has a narrow width. At 95% CL:

| Limits on                              | m <sub>H</sub> | Upper Limit (fb) for<br>H production mode |       |
|--|----------------|---|-------|
|  |                | ggF                                       | VBF   |
| $\sigma_H \times BR(H \rightarrow WW)$ | 1500 GeV       | 21  | 6     |
| $\sigma_H \times BR(H \to ZZ)$         | 195-950 GeV    | 530-8                                     | 310-9 |

• No significant deviations observed



## Lepton flavour violating decays

- The LFV Higgs decays  $(H \rightarrow \tau \mu, \tau e, \mu e)$ arise at tree level based on assumed flavor violating Yukawa interactions arXiv:1209.1397
- Search for LFV Higgs decays to  $\tau$  and  $\mu$  in hadronic  $\tau$  decays arXiv:1508.03372
- Results: fit to the reconstructed mass distribution in data
  - Best fit of  $BR(H \rightarrow \mu \tau)$ : 0.77  $\pm$  0.62 %
  - Upper limit on  $BR(H \rightarrow \mu \tau)$  @ 95% CL: 1.85%(1.24%) obs(exp.)



The reconstructed mass of the system of observed muon,  $\tau$  (hadronic decay products) &  $\mathcal{E}_{T}^{miss}$  objects by means of the Missing Mass Calculator (MMC) distribution

## Next-To-Minimal Supersymmetric SM

#### NMSSM arXiv:1505.01609

- Search for the decay to a pair of the lightest neutral pseudoscalar Higgs (*a*) of either the 125 GeV Higgs (h) or a second CP-even Higgs (H)
- One a boson decays to 2  $\mu$  and the other decays to 2  $\tau$
- Results:
  - The most stringent upper limit: 3.5% for  $m_a = 3.75$  GeV



Limit for  $\sigma_{ggH_{SM}} \times BR$  of h SM decays to aa  $\times BR$  of a decays to  $\tau\tau$  vs  $m_a$ 

## Search for 3 $\gamma$

- $\bullet$  Search for events with at least 3  $\gamma$  EXOT-2013-24
- Model-independent interpretations are the first of their kind:
- For SM Higgs  $h \rightarrow aa \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma\gamma\gamma$ :  $\sigma \times BR(h \rightarrow aa) \times BR(a \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)^2 < 10^{-3} \times \sigma_{SM}$  for 10 GeV  $< m_a < 62$  GeV



• For heavy Higgs boson-like scalar:  $\sigma_H \times BR(H \rightarrow aa) \times BR(a \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)^2 < 0.02$  to 0.001 pb (depending upon  $m_H, m_a$ )



# BSM constraints from Higgs couplings

#### • HIGG-2015-03

- Use the measured production + decay rates of the Higgs boson ( $\gamma\gamma$ , ZZ, WW, Z $\gamma$ , bb,  $\tau\tau$ , &  $\mu\mu$ ;  $t\bar{t}h$  with  $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ ,  $b\bar{b}$  & multileptons)
  - Probe the scaling of the couplings with mass
  - Set limits on parameters in extensions of the SM
    - Composite Higgs boson
    - An additional electroweak singlet
    - Two-Higgs-doublet models
- Taking into account the measured  $m_H$  in the  $\gamma\gamma$  & ZZ
  - Set lower limit on the pseudoscalar Higgs boson mass in the "hMSSM" arXiv: 1307.5205 [hep-ph]

# "Mass scaling" of couplings

- arXiv: 1303.3879 [hep-ph]
- Each coupling in terms of vev  $(v \approx 246 \text{ GeV}) \& \epsilon$ (note that SM:  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ )  $\kappa_{f,i} = v \frac{m_{f,i}^{\epsilon}}{M^{1+\epsilon}}, \kappa_{V,j} = v \frac{m_{V,j}^{2\epsilon}}{M^{1+2\epsilon}}$

| Parameter  | Obs.                  | Exp.                       |
|------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| $\epsilon$ | $0.018 \pm 0.039$     | $0.000 \pm 0.042$          |
| М          | $224^{+14}_{-12}$ GeV | $246^{+19}_{-16} { m GeV}$ |

Observed & expected measurements of the mass scaling parameter  $\epsilon$  & the vev parameter M



2-D confidence intervals as a function of the mass scaling factor  $\epsilon$  & the vev parameter M

## Minimal Composite Higgs Model

- Scalar Naturalness: Higgs → composite pseudo Nambu-Goldstone boson
- Higgs couplings modified as function of compositeness scale-f: ξ = v<sup>2</sup>/f<sup>2</sup>

• MCHM4: 
$$\sqrt{\kappa} = \kappa_V = \kappa_F = 1\xi$$

• MCHM5:

$$\kappa_V = 1 - \xi, \kappa_F = \frac{1 - 2\xi}{\sqrt{1 - \xi}}$$

- SM recovered in the limit  $\xi \to 0$ , namely  $f \to \infty$
- Results @95% CL obs(exp): MCHM4: f > 710(510) GeV; MCHM5: f > 780(600) GeV



2-D likelihood contours in the ( $\kappa_V, \kappa_F$ ) coupling scale factor plane

# Two Higgs Doublet Model

- Two complex SU(2) doublet scalar fields, 4 types of 2HDMs
- Consider the CP-conserving case with 6 sensitive parameters: 4 masses  $m_h, m_H, m_{H^{\pm}}, m_A \& 2$  mixing angles  $\alpha, \beta$
- $\tan \beta = v_1/v_2$ : ratio of vevs which satisfy  $v_1^2 + v_2^2 = v^2 \approx (246 \text{ GeV})^2$ ,  $\alpha$ : mixing angle between h & H
- Assumptions (for interpretations): 125 GeV is the light higgs, no radiative corrections from BSM for the production of Higgs boson, only SM decays.
  - $g_{hVV}^{2HDM}/g_{hVV}^{SM} = \sin(\beta \alpha), \ g_{HVV}^{2HDM}/g_{HVV}^{SM} = \cos(\beta \alpha)$
  - Convention:  $sin(\beta \alpha) \ge 0$
- SM-like alignment limit retrieved at  $\cos(\beta \alpha) = 0$

# Two Higgs Doublet Model, Type I & II

• Excluded regions by fits to the measured rates of Higgs boson production & decays for type I- & II-2HDM



# Two Higgs Doublet Model, Lepton Specific & Flipped

• Excluded regions by fits to the measured rates of Higgs boson production & decays for lepton specific- & flipped-2HDM



# Searches for invisible decays of the Higgs boson

- Direct search strategies:
  - Select events with large missing energy, use particles produced associated with the Higgs
  - Assume productions (& acceptance) as in the SM  $BR(h \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4\nu) = 1.2 \times 10^{-3}$  ( $\rightarrow$  result not sensitive to this)
- Analyses:
  - Z(ightarrow II) H ightarrow inv ( $E_{
    m T}^{
    m miss}$ ) Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 201802 (2014)
  - $W/Z(\rightarrow jj) H \rightarrow inv (E_T^{miss})$  Submitted to EPJC (2015)

• VBF (
$$ightarrow$$
 jj) H  $ightarrow$  inv ( $E_{
m T}^{
m miss}$ 

| Observed | $-2\sigma$                               | $-1\sigma$   | Expected   | $+1\sigma$   | $+2\sigma$  |
|----------|--|--|--|--|---|
| 0.28     | 0.16                                     | 0.21   | 0.31   | 0.41   | 0.56  |
| 0.75     | 0.33                                     | 0.45   | 0.62   | 0.86   | 1.19  |
| 0.78     | 0.46                                     | 0.62   | 0.86   | 1.19   | 1.60  |
| 0.25     | 0.13                                     | 0.18   | 0.27   | 0.35   | 0.47  |
|          | Observed<br>0.28<br>0.75<br>0.78<br>0.25 | Observed         -2σ           0.28         0.16           0.75         0.33           0.78         0.46           0.25         0.13 | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | $\begin{array}{c ccccc} \mbox{Observed} & -2\sigma & -1\sigma & \mbox{Expected} & +1\sigma \\ \hline \mbox{0.28} & 0.16 & 0.21 & 0.31 & 0.41 \\ \mbox{0.75} & 0.33 & 0.45 & 0.62 & 0.86 \\ \mbox{0.78} & 0.46 & 0.62 & 0.86 & 1.19 \\ \hline \mbox{0.25} & 0.13 & 0.18 & 0.27 & 0.35 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

• Summary of 95% CL upper bounds on BR( $h \rightarrow inv$ ), the combination: 0.25(0.27) obs(exp)

## Combine direct & indirect limit on $BR(h \rightarrow inv)$

#### • HIGG-2015-03

- Using statistical method to combine direct + indirect search results (from the measured visible decay rates) → constrain a Higgs portal model of dark matter (next slide)
- Physical boundary BR<sub>inv</sub> > 0
- The most general result with independent parameters: κ<sub>W</sub>, κ<sub>Z</sub>, κ<sub>t</sub>, κ<sub>b</sub>, κ<sub>τ</sub>, κ<sub>μ</sub>, κ<sub>g</sub>, κ<sub>γ</sub>, κ<sub>Zγ</sub>, BR<sub>inv</sub> (more parametrizations in backup)
- Result @95%CL upper limit of: 0.23(0.24) obs(exp.)





# Higgs Portal Interpretation

- Used 90%CL upper limit 0.22(0.23) obs.(exp.) of  $BR(H \rightarrow inv)$  instead of 95%CL
- Sensitive for WIMP's mass  $< m_h/2$
- Higgs as the only mediator...
- Higgs Portal → spin dependent!
- Form factor (Higgs-nucleon coupling)  $f_N = 0.33^{+0.30}_{-0.07}$



Upper limit at 90% CL on the WIMP-nucleon scattering cross section, ATLAS's compared with the spin-independent direct searches

- No significant excess for BSM Higgs physics yet
- Precise measurements of the Higgs boson couplings allow to constrain new phenomena
- Understanding of the real nature of the Electroweak Symmetry Breaking  $\rightarrow$  tool to explore new physics!
  - Mass scaling ( $\epsilon$  : 0.018 ± 0.039, *M* : 224 + 14 12 GeV)
  - Minimal Composite Higgs models (f > 710(780) GeV MCHM4(5))
  - Additional Electroweak Singlets ( $\kappa^2 < 0.12$ )
  - Two Higgs Doublet Models (Alignment limit within  $1\sigma$ )
  - Simplified versions of MSSM ( $m_A > 370$  GeV)
  - Higgs to invisible decays (BR<sub>inv</sub> < 0.23)

# **THANKS!**

# **BACK UP**

#### Additional Real Electroweak Singlet

- Simplest extension: additional real EW singlet,  $m_H > 125~{
  m GeV}$
- Couplings  $\rightarrow$  mixing gives:  $\kappa^2 + \kappa'^2 = 1$
- Coupling (and signal strength as predicted by heavier SM-like Higgs) modified by allowing new decays  $BR_{H,new}$ , like  $H \rightarrow hh$



**Result: @ 95%CL:**  $\kappa^2 < 0.12(0.23)$  obs(exp)

# hMSSM

#### arXiv: 1307.5205 [hep-ph]

• Figure: Excluded region via direct searches for heavy Higgs and fits to the measured rates of observed Higgs production & decays.

- Assumptions
  - h production & decay modes as in the SM
  - stops in ggF and  $\gamma\gamma$  not included
  - Same for light staus and charginos
  - Decays to SUSY or heavy-to-light Higgs decays not included
- Result: for 1 < tan β < 60 : m<sub>A</sub> > 370(310) GeV



## Combine direct & indirect limit on $BR(h \rightarrow inv)$

- Combine direct searches, then adding the measured visible decay rates in a more general coupling fit → constrain a Higgs portal model of dark matter HIGG-2015-03
- Assuming  $\Gamma(h \rightarrow \text{undetectable})$  (eg. gg) is negligible
- The visible channels alone (&  $\kappa_V \leq 1$ ): ( $BR_{inv} < 0.49(0.48)$  obs (exp)
- Combination visible channels & invisible searches one can remove restrictions of  $(\kappa_V \leq 1)$
- Physical boundary  $BR_{inv} > 0$
- The most general result with independent parameters:  $\kappa_W$ ,  $\kappa_Z$ ,  $\kappa_t$ ,  $\kappa_b$ ,  $\kappa_\tau$ ,  $\kappa_\mu$ ,  $\kappa_g$ ,  $\kappa_\gamma$ ,  $\kappa_{Z\gamma}$ , BR<sub>inv</sub>

• Figure: likelihood scans of the Higg: invisible branching ratio



## Higgs to invisible, different parametrizations

|                 | Observed | Expected | Assumptions                                  |
|-----------------|----------|----------|--|
| Direct search   | 0.25     | 0.27     | Productions as SM $(\kappa_i = 1)$           |
| Indirect search | 0.49     | 0.48     | $\kappa_{Z,W} \leq 1$                        |
| Combination     | 0.23     | 0.24     | None   |
| Comb. 1         | 0.23     | 0.23     | $\kappa_{Z,W} \leq 1$                        |
| Comb. 2         | 0.18     | 0.24     | one $\kappa_F$ and one $\kappa_V$            |
| Comb. 3         | 0.16     | 0.23     | one $\kappa_{F}$ and one $\kappa_{V} \leq 1$ |

The MMC method is an experimental technique for reconstructing the invariant mass of resonances decaying to a pair of  $\tau$  leptons. MMC arxiv:1012.4686

- On top of the usual kinematics constraints, use additional information of the  $\tau$  decay products such as the expected angular distance between the neutrino(s) and the visible decays products of the  $\tau$  lepton  $\rightarrow$  build a better likelihood estimator for  $M_{\tau\tau}$
- Allow for a complete reconstruction of event kinematics in the  $\tau\tau$  final states with significantly improved invariant mass and neutrino momentum resolutions.
- Can be applied to all  $\tau\tau$  event topologies without sacrificing the reconstructed mass resolution.

| Coupling scale factor | Type I<br>(fermiophobic)   | Type II<br>(MSSM-like)  | Lepton-specific         | Flipped                 |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| $\kappa_V$            | $\sin(eta-lpha)$           |                         |                         |                         |
| $\kappa_{u}$          | $\cos(lpha)/\sin(eta)$     |                         |                         |                         |
| $\kappa_d$            | $\cos(\alpha)/\sin(\beta)$ | $-\sin(lpha)/\cos(eta)$ | $\cos(lpha)/\sin(eta)$  | $-\sin(lpha)/\cos(eta)$ |
| $\kappa_\ell$         | $\cos(\alpha)/\sin(\beta)$ | $-\sin(lpha)/\cos(eta)$ | $-\sin(lpha)/\cos(eta)$ | $\cos(lpha)/\sin(eta)$  |

Table : Couplings of the light Higgs boson *h* to weak vector bosons ( $\kappa_V$ ), up-type quarks ( $\kappa_u$ ), down-type quarks ( $\kappa_d$ ), and charged leptons ( $\kappa_\ell$ ), expressed as ratios to the corresponding SM predictions in 2HDMs of various types.

- The hashed bands indicate the uncertainty resulting from the systematic variation of the form factor  $f_N$
- The ATLAS limits on the WIMP-nucleon scattering cross section are proportional to those on the invisible decay branching ratio. They are weaker (stronger) at low mass for scalar (Majorana and vector) WIMPs, and degrade as  $m_{WIMP}$  approaches  $m_h/2$  as expected from kinematics. The limits are shown for  $m_{WIMP} \ge 1$  GeV, but extend to WIMP masses smaller than this value.
- The Higgs portal model is a special case of the spin-independent limits where the Higgs boson is taken to be the only mediator.

## 2HDMs

- Type I: One Higgs doublet couples to vector bosons, while the other couples to fermions. The first doublet is "fermiophobic" in the limit that the two Higgs doublets do not mix
- Type II: This is an "MSSM-like" model, in which one Higgs doublet couples to up-type quarks and the other to down-type quarks and charged leptons. This model is realised in the MSSM
- Lepton-specific: The Higgs bosons have the same couplings to quarks as in the Type I model and to charged leptons as in Type II
- Flipped: The Higgs bosons have the same couplings to quarks as in the Type II model and to charged leptons as in Type I
- In each of the Type II, Lepton-specific, and Flipped models, at the upper right of the  $(\cos(\beta \alpha), \tan \beta)$  plane there is a narrow, curved region or "petal" of allowed parameter space with the surrounding region being excluded. These three allowed upper petals correspond respectively to an inverted sign of the coupling to down-type fermions, lepton, or the bottom quark. These couplings are measured with insufficient precision to be excluded. There is no upper petal at high  $tan\beta$  in Type I as all the Yukawa couplings are identical.