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http://www.digitaljournal.com/article/319723
Wind Generators – Requirements

- High reliability; high availability
- Low capital cost (CAPEX)
- Ease of manufacture and assembly
- Ease of maintenance
- High efficiency

http://www.windpowerengineering.com/design/mechanical/understanding-costs-for-large-wind-turbine-drivetrains/
Why Off-Shore Wind?

• Developed close to the consumer/load
  – Most of the big cities are located near the coast

• High power availability
  – Very steady wind is available off-shore

• Installation and connection cost is very high
  – Need to reduce the number of turbines
    • Increase single turbine power output
  – Need to keep nacelle mass as low as possible
    • Foundation cost
    • Installation cost

• Cost of maintenance is very high
  – Need very reliable turbines
  – Need to reduce required maintenance needs/servicing

• Need lighter, reliable drivetrain / generators

Why Superconducting Generators?

- 10 GW @ 10c/kWh by 2020, 54 GW @ 7c/kWh by 2030...today at 27c/kWh

Need to focus on customer value proposition
- Technical advantages ≠ value proposition

Cost of energy needs to drive the generator design
Introduction

• First Sc. Machine built in 1966

• 49 years later, still no commercial applications...
  – Conventional machines are good for 99% of the industrial applications
    • Decent efficiency, very robust and reliable, inexpensive
  – HTS machines have drawbacks
    • Cooling required at all time
    • High capital cost
    • Reliability not yet proven
    • More complex with more parts
    • Cryogenic system perceived as high risk component

• Outstanding technical advantages but no customer value proposition
HTS Machine Possible Configurations

- Back Iron
  - Low mass
  - Large number of poles
  - Low $x_d$
  - High short circuit current and torque
  - High peak field
  - Large quantity of HTS conductor
  - Low cooling requirements

- Ironless All-Cryo
  - Low mass
  - Low number of poles
  - Low $x_d$
  - **Fault current limitation**
  - High peak field
  - Very large quantity of HTS conductor
  - AC losses
  - High cooling requirements

- Salient Iron
  - Heavy
  - Reg. $x_d$
  - Low peak field (if not saturated)
  - Low quantity of HTS conductor
  - Low cooling requirements
  - Modular cryostat possible

*Courtesy of C. Oberly, AFRL*

Diagram details:
- Field Windings
- Armature Windings
- Iron
- Eddy Current Shield
- Cyrogenic region
- Output Terminals
Superconducting Machines

- Superconductors operate at cryogenic temperature
  - Require thermal insulation
  - Require active cooling

- Superconductors exhibit a non-measurable electrical resistivity
  - free “amp. turns”
  - Iron core can be removed, no saturation, less weight
  - High current density
  - Higher flux density possible

- Superconductors exhibit AC losses in variable field and current
  - Requires large enough cooling power

- Torque transfer and support structure
  - Composite material
Partially and Fully Superconducting Machines

**Fully Superconducting (FSG)**
- Stator: Thermal Shield, Armature Wdg.
- Rotor: Field Wdg., Shaft

**Partially Superconducting (PSG)**
- Stator: Backiron
- Rotor: Shaft, Field Wdg., Thermal Shield, EM Shield, Armature Wdg.

---

**Apparent Power output of an electrical generator:**

\[
S = B_r^0 K_s \pi r_0^2 L_a \frac{\omega}{p}
\]

- \(S\) = apparent power (VA)
- \(B_r^0\) = no-load excitation field (T)
- \(K_s\) = electrical loading (A/m)
- \(R_0\) = average radius of armature winding (m)
- \(L_a\) = active length (m)
- \(\omega\) = angular frequency (rd/s)
- \(p\) = number of pole pairs

**Rotor contribution**
- Limited by conductor performance.
- More conductor needed in PSG because of the large air gap.

**Stator contribution**
- Much higher values obtained in FSG because of high current density in superconductor.

**Active volume**
- Larger radius needed for PSG because of the limited electrical loading.

**Rotation speed**
- Frequency needs to be kept low in FSG to limit AC losses.
Different Configurations

> 100 Nm/kg

Fully superconducting generator
- Low number of poles
- Elongated machine

> 50 Nm/kg

Partially superconducting generator
- High number of poles
- “Ring” machine
Design Requirements

• Economic
  – Low cost conductors
  – Low cost cryocoolers
  – Superconductor availability
  – Cost effective manufacturing

• Mechanical
  – Torque transmission/torque tube
    • Composite - steel
  – “Large” Lorentz forces (peak field >4 T)
  – Torque and forces applied on conductors

• Thermal
  – Heat leaks need to be minimized
    • Conduction through shaft
    • Current leads
    • Splices
  – AC losses
    • Multifilament conductors

• Stability
  – Quench detection/protection
  – Fault current/torque

MgB2 conductor

2G conductor

Carbon fiber composite thermal conductivity
HTS Generators – Value Proposition

- Generator mass reduction
  - Limited impact on LCOE
- High reliability; high availability
  - No gear box
  - No thermal cycling
  - Sealed system
    - Long maintenance time if problem in cryostat
    - No failure allowed at cryogenic temperature
- Potential low capital cost (CAPEX)
  - Driven by cost of conductor and cooling system
  - Expected to be competitive for large systems
- Ease of manufacture and assembly
- Ease of maintenance
  - Less reliable components should be located outside of the cryostat
  - Modular approach can be used (individual cryostats...)
- High efficiency
  - Low losses, high efficiency at fractional power output

• Usually superconducting generators for wind turbines are designed for mass and volume reduction
  – Easier transportation, installation
  – Leads to high cost and requirements for less expensive conductors

• Mass may not be the key parameter
  – Need to design the generator w.r.t. the LCOE at the level of the wind turbine or even better of the wind farm
  – The generator mass/cost needs to be constrained but only represents a component of the system to optimize
• Developed to perform **Trade-Off Analysis** for 10 MW 4X HTS generator
  - 10 MW, 8 RPM
  - design space exploration to determine the configuration leading to minimum

**OBJECTIVES**

*Investigate the impact of*

- Number of pole pairs
- Radius and aspect ratio
- Electrical loading

**On**

- LCOE
- **Need better models for LCOE (scaling laws are not enough)**
- Current LCOE models are not able to capture some of the important aspects of the impact of superconducting generators on the turbine design
Electromagnetic and Mechanical Model

- Based on FlexPDE
- Inputs:
  - $K_S$, $R$, $L_a$, $p$, Torque, $J_c(B)$
- Outputs:
  - Dimensions of the active components
  - Excitation coils operating current

- A solver finds the volume of HTS tapes and the machine radius to reach the desired torque

- Mechanical model was developed in collaboration with motor manufacturer
  - Includes all inactive components (structure, cooling...)

- Cost was model was built based on machine manufacturer estimate for
  - Active materials
  - Inactive components
  - Labor
  - The cost estimate is aggressive and includes uncertainties to be considered in the analysis
Fully Parameterized Generator Model

- In-house code in Python
- FEA solver – FlexPDE

- Engineering current density $J_c(B)$ was estimated for tapes based on:
  - 12mm width
  - 0.15 mm thickness
  - $I_c=2760 \text{ A} @ 2.75 \text{ T}$ @ 35 K
LCOE Model

- LCOE model was developed by NREL
  - 10 MW – 8 RPM turbine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rated power (kW)</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor Dia. (meters)</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hub height (meters)</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altitude (meters)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor Cp</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tip speed ratio for max Cp</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum tip speed (m/s)</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region 2 1/2 slope (m/s)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cut-in windspeed (m/s)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cut-out windspeed (m/s)</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power law shear exponent</td>
<td>0.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated RPM</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Density (kg/m³)</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hub height windspeed (m/s)</td>
<td>9.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated hub power (kW)</td>
<td>110,064.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated windspeed (m/s)</td>
<td>11.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soiling Losses</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Array Losses</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td>95.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torque (Nm)</td>
<td>13,201.657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy capture (MWh/year)</td>
<td>36362.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity Factor</td>
<td>41.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy capture ratio</td>
<td>42.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Examples of Designs Generated

model A
Iron poles
15 km of HTS

model B
Iron poles
Lower rotor field
Thicker stator
7 km of HTS

model C
No iron poles
High excitation field
92 km of HTS
Example of Monte-Carlo Design Space Exploration

LCOE vs. Mass

Mass vs. Cost

LCOE vs. p

LCOE vs. HTS length

10MW, 8RPM Iron-core generator with YBCO excitation coils
Impact of Conductor Performance on LCOE – Iron-core Generator

- Design is very flexible
- A different optimum is found w.r.t. conductor performance
- Estimating impact of high performance conductors is not straightforward

LCOE vs. Generator Mass

- LCOE 4X (p.u)
- LCOE (p.u.)

~1% of total LCOE
LCOE vs. HTS Length - Iron-core Generator

LCOE vs. Wire Length

- LCOE 4X (p.u)
- LCOE (p.u.)

Length of HTS Tape (m)

LCOE (p.u.)

0 5000 10000 15000 20000 25000 30000 35000

1.2
1.18
1.16
1.14
1.12
1.1
1.08
• Higher fidelity LCOE models are needed at the wind farm level
  – Considering the unique properties of superconducting generators
    • Short circuit conditions (current limitation)
    • Low frequency
    • Potential low mass and volume
• Higher fidelity large scale production generator cost model needed
  – Manufacturing
  – Projected cost of material
• High fidelity wind farm components cost and sizing models needed
  – Cooling plants
  – Power converters
  – ...
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Choice of Conductor

- The conductor defines the operating temperature of the system

- Key conductor parameters:
  - Engineering critical current density @ operating field
  - Filament size (AC applications)
  - Twist pitch
  - Ratio superconductor/ non superconductor
  - Minimum quench energy
  - Normal zone propagation velocity
  - Minimum bending radius
  - Cost

BiSrCaCuO conductors

MgB2 conductors

YBCO conductors
Current and Predicted Cost of HTS Wires
ARPA-E funded program for High Performance Superconducting Wires and Coils

- ARPA-E funded program
- UH-led program with SuperPower, TECO-Westinghouse, Tai-Yang Research and NREL.

Technology Impact

Present-day superconducting wire constitutes more than 60% of the cost of a 10 MW superconducting wind generator. By quadrupling the superconducting wire performance at the generator operation temperature, the amount of wire needed would be reduced by four which will greatly enhance commercial viability and spur a tremendous growth in wind energy production in the U.S.

Engineered nanoscale defects

High-power, Efficient Wind Turbines

Lower cost Generator coils

Quadrupling Superconductor Wire Performance for Commercialization of 10 MW Wind Generators
Low AC loss MgB$_2$ conductor development

Successful strand design recipe:
- small $d_{eff}$
- small twist pitch
- resistive matrix
- non-magnetic sheaths
- higher $T_{op}$ (e.g. 20K); lower $B_{op}$ (e.g. 0.6T)

Good $J_c$ measured at 10 µm filaments at 0.29 mm diameter. Work progressing to obtain 10 µm filaments with larger wire diameters.

$J_c$ maintained with twist pitches as low as 10 mm.
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In 1 pole, each stator conductor is in a unique magnetic configuration + out-of-phase transport current
AC Losses Estimation

- AC losses in stator
  - Largest heat load in the system
  - Key design parameter

- Conductors are exposed to magnetic flux densities in the range 0-2 T

- Elliptical field + out-of-phase transport current
  - Conventional scaling laws are not usable
  - Need validated new scaling laws

Current density in superconducting filament subjected to elliptical field
AC Losses Model for Multi-Filamentary Conductors in Elliptical Field with Out-Of-Phase Transport Current

New magnetization losses model

AC losses model based on analytical functions and Neural Networks depends on 9 parameters

- Superconducting wire
  - \( J_c(B) \), \( n \), filament size, twist pitch, matrix resistivity
- Applied field
  - AC component, rotating component, phase shift AC/rotating, frequency
- Transport current
  - Magnitude, phase shift, frequency

Example of model validation in AC field
AC Losses Impact on Generator Design

- AC losses can be reduced at the expense of
  - additional mass (lower number of poles)
  - lower frequency (derated power converter)
- Cryocooler represents a small fraction of the total weight

6-pole 10 MW, 10 RPM generator
- ~ 100 Nm/kg
- < 300 W @ 20 K total AC losses
- Total cooling power required < 700 W @ 20 K achievable with RTBC cryocoolers
- Nominal frequency 0.5 Hz
ABB Converters rated for operation above 8 Hz
- Phase frequency < 2 Hz => **Power derated**

- Several converters can be used in parallel
- Need new low frequency power converter?
• Mature technology
• Now off-the-shelf product
• Temperature range 20K-77K
• Large cooling power
• Very high efficiency
 Turbo Cryogenics

- Spin-off from Creare
- Reverse Turbo Brayton cryocoolers
  - Proven technology
  - Extremely reliable (Hubble Telescope)
  - Maintenance free
  - High efficiency
  - Distributed cooling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Best Competitor</th>
<th>Turbo Cryogenics</th>
<th>TC Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cooling</td>
<td>1kW @ 50K</td>
<td>1kW @ 50K</td>
<td>Same Cooling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Units Needed</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input Power</td>
<td>47 kW</td>
<td>26 kW</td>
<td>1/2 the Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>800 kg</td>
<td>110 kg</td>
<td>7 Times Lighter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>1 m³</td>
<td>0.2 m³</td>
<td>5 Times Smaller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>Every 10,000 hrs</td>
<td>Never</td>
<td>Maintenance Free</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price</td>
<td>&gt;$300k</td>
<td>&lt;$250k</td>
<td>20% Cheaper</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: It takes 3 Current Commercial Systems (Cryomech GM AL600 + Cryozone Circulator) to equal 1 Turbo Cryogenics unit.
Example of Generator Output vs. RPM

- No power generated below 3 RPM
- Current limited to the nominal phase current

![Graph showing generator output vs. RPM]

- No power generated
- Power absorbed by cryocooling system
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Current is fed to rotating coils
- Brushless exciter, sliding contact...

Quench detection is challenging
- Very noisy electromagnetic environment
- Slow propagation velocities
- Adiabatic conditions (cooling through insulation)

If quench is detected
- Stored energy needs to be dumped (preferably) outside of the cryostat
- Rotor inductance is usually very large to reduce the current leads heat load (slow discharge)
- Margin is usually chosen very large (leading to very slow propagation)
Stator Quench Detection/Protection

• AC current -> no energy stored, current can be interrupted within ½ cycle

• Stator voltage
  – includes harmonics making voltage detection complicated
  – Sees the rotor mechanical transients

• A heat load exists as soon as the rotor rotates, the heat loads depends (not strongly) on the loading conditions

• Stator conductors operate at high operating factor and use a high resistivity matrix (for short circuit current limitation)

• Need reliable quench detection in noisy AC environment
Example of Stator Current Limitation

• The superconducting stator acts as fault current limiter
  ✓ Provides lower short circuit torque
  ✓ Provides lower short circuit power without impacting dynamics

• Fault Sequence:
  1. Short circuit occurs and phase current rises
  2. Current level exceeds $I_c$ (MgB$_2$ critical current)
  3. Stator current diffuses from the superconductor to the matrix material throughout entire coil
  4. Coil impedance changes from inductive to resistive
  5. Single phase impedance rises from $\sim 4 \ \Omega$ to $>600 \ \Omega$
  6. Fault current drops from $>1,000 \ \text{A}$ to $\sim 6 \ \text{A}$ (dissipating $\sim 22 \ \text{kW}$)
  7. Phase imbalance is detected
  8. Temperature rise in windings has to be limited to less than 60K
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• New technology for wind farm developers
  – Perceived “high risk”
    • cryogenics
  – Lack of reliability data for the full system (data exists for individual components)
  – Lack of application standards (IEEE WG6?)
  – Concerns that technicians will not be able to work/service the new systems
  – Lengthy cool-down/warm up
  – If failures can happen inside the cryostat, then the superconducting generators cannot be considered for wind power generation

• Conventional drivetrain can still be used (at higher cost) and present less risks

• Direct Drive Systems at 6MW exists and are being deployed
Proposed Research/Development Topics

• Demonstrate reliability of HTS coils and associated systems
  – Build coils and test them to failure
  – Implement reliable quench detection/protection

• Generate higher fidelity LCOE model able to capture the features of HTS generators and their impact on turbine design and wind farms

• Build a small scale unit (~1-1.5MW)
  – Demonstrate reliable operation in turbine

• Develop cooling plants in the power range of interest at 10-30 K
  – Centralized cooling
  – Individual cryocoolers

• Develop low frequency power converters able to operate at 10+ MW and sub-Hz frequency
Summary

• Superconducting generators would enable very large turbines >10 MW for off-shore wind farms

• Many technical challenges need to be addressed before commercial deployment

• Many of the technical points presented have been studied and addressed to a point (more work is needed to remove the risks)