Polarized radio emission and radio wavefront shape of extensive air showers

Arthur Corstanje
Radboud University Nijmegen
for the LOFAR Key Science Project Cosmic Rays
PoS (ICRC2015) 396
Radio pulses from air showers

Short (~10 to 100 ns) pulses from extensive air showers, primary energy > ~ $10^{17}$ eV

In 200 - 400 LOFAR antennas on the ground, we measure:

- Lateral distribution of
  - Signal power
  - Signal arrival time
    - Wavefront shape
- Polarization
- Spectrum / pulse shape
Arrival times for the radio signal

Measuring arrival time of pulse in individual antennas:

- Time series signal
- Apply Hilbert transform to get *Hilbert envelope*

- Define envelope maximum as ‘the arrival time’

\[
\sigma_t = \frac{12.7}{SNR} \text{ ns} < 5 \text{ ns!}
\]
Arrival times after subtracting plane-wave solution

Shower plane projection
Wavefront in the shower plane

- Project antennas into shower plane
  - Shower axis position: fixed using power-LDF
  - Shower axis direction unknown to desired accuracy: free fit parameters

- Wavefront: arrival times as function of distance from shower axis

- Nested fitting (5 parameters):
  - Optimize shower axis direction (2)
Best-fitting hyperbolic shape


\[ \chi^2 / \text{ndf} = 1006/274 \]
\[ a = 4.49 \pm 0.38, \ b = 0.026 \pm 0.000755 \]
Conical-shaped example
Correlation with zenith angle

Time lag at 100 m from shower core

Decreases with zenith angle

Polarization measurements

- Each LOFAR antenna offers two polarizations (NE-SW and NW-SE)
- Projected to ‘on-sky’ polarization when accounting for antenna model (Jones matrix)
- Polarizations further rotated to align with $\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{v} \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B})$, $\mathbf{v}$ is shower axis, $\mathbf{B}$ is magnetic field

Schellart et al., JCAP (2014)
Radio emission mechanisms

• Geomagnetic contribution, electric field aligned to $v \times B$:  
  \[
  \vec{E}_G(\vec{r}) = E_G \hat{e}_{v \times B}
  \]

• Charge-excess contribution: electric field radially outward from shower core:
  \[
  \vec{E}_C(\vec{r}) = E_C \cos \phi \hat{e}_{v \times B} + E_C \sin \phi \hat{e}_{v \times (v \times B)}
  \]

• Assuming these contributions account for total E-field

• Charge-excess fraction:
  \[
  a(\vec{r}) = \frac{E_C}{E_G} \sin \alpha
  \]

where $\alpha$ is angle of magnetic field with shower axis
Example polarization footprint

Mostly parallel to the \( \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} \)-axis

Small radial component, consistent with charge-excess component

Angle uncertainty:

\[
\sigma \sim \frac{21^\circ}{SNR}
\]
Polarization results

- Charge-excess fraction on average 11 %, spread of distribution is 4 %.
  Precision per air shower is about 2 %
- This depends on specific set of air showers, and on magnetic field and altitude of LOFAR
- Charge-excess fraction decreases with zenith angle, and increases with distance to shower axis, i.e. increases with opening angle from emission maximum
Variations with zenith angle and distance to shower axis

Decreases with zenith angle

Increases with distance to shower axis

Agrees with expectations from theory and CoREAS (qualitatively)

Schellart et al., JCAP (2014)
Conclusions

• With LOFAR, we have obtained high-precision measurements of polarization and radio wavefront shape
• Hyperbolic wavefront fits all cases, some of them also described by cone or parabola
• Polarization pattern well described by dominant geomagnetic contribution, and sub-dominant charge-excess component
• Qualitative agreement with theory and CoREAS simulations