





A measurement of the diffuse astrophysical muon neutrino flux using multiple years of IceCube data

Leif Rädel, Sebastian Schoenen

III. Physikalisches Institut B – RWTH Aachen

For the IceCube Collaboration

34th International Cosmic Ray Conference The Hague, Netherlands, August 4th, 2015



Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung



What is a diffuse astrophysical _ muon neutrino flux?



III. Physikalisches Institut



Looking in all directions at the same time



Astrophysical neutrinos with energy spectrum:



<u>Promising candidate</u> \rightarrow abundant extragalactic sources (e.g. AGN)

- A cosmic neutrino flux can be detected even if the individual source flux is below the detection threshold
- IceCube starting event measurement: v flux per flavor ~1 x 10⁻⁸ GeV cm⁻² s⁻¹ sr⁻¹
- <u>2 Questions:</u>

- 1) Is the flux from the Northern Sky for the muon neutrino channel the same?
- 2) What are the properties of this flux?

IceCube detector

III. Physikalisches Institut



lass Pressure Housing

Detection principle:

- $\nu_{\rm u}$ interaction <u>near</u> or inside the detector
- Detection of Cherenkov light produced by secondary relativistic, charged particles using optical sensors in ice

Search strategy:

- Select high-energy up-going muon track
- Northern sky neutrino sample:
 High purity and high efficiency

Previous IceCube analysis:

- IC59: from 2009 2010 (~20,000 neutrinos, excess 1.8σ)
 IC79 + IC86: from 2010-2012
 - (~35,000 neutrinos, excess 3.7o)



A measurement of the diffuse astrophysical muon neutrino flux Leif Rädel | ICRC 2015, The Hague | 04.08.2015 Aartsen (

Aartsen et al., PRD 89 (Mar. 2014) accepted in PRL arXiv:1507.04005

Signal signature





Atmospheric neutrino background

Conventional atmospheric neutrinos

From pion and kaon decays produced by cosmic ray interactions with the atmosphere

Energy spectrur

n:
$$\frac{d\phi}{dE} \propto E^{-3.7}$$

Prompt atmospheric neutrinos

 From heavy meson decays produced by cosmic ray interactions with the atmosphere (not measured yet)

Energy spectrum:

4

$$\frac{d\phi}{dE} \propto E^{-2.7}$$



Astrophysical neutrino signal

Energy spectrum:

$$\frac{d\phi}{dE} \propto E^{-2}$$

Honda: Honda et al., Phys. Rev. D 75 (Feb, 2007) ERS: Enberg et al., Phys. Rev. D 78 (Aug, 2008)

Analysis strategy





- Combined likelihood fit using multiple years
 - → Analyze 6 years of IceCube data (2009 2015)
 - → All systematic uncertainties are parameterized continuously
- Neutrino sample properties:
 - \rightarrow High-purity: > 99.9%
 - → High-efficiency: ~ 70,000 neutrinos / year
- Improved constraints of systematic uncertainties from non-signal region due to larger statistics

First step:

- Apply combined likelihood fit on IceCube data from 2009 2012 (IC59+IC79+IC86)
- Results will be presented in this talk

The analysis method





Analyze 2-dimensional energy vs. zenith angle distribution

- Likelihood function: binned Poisson likelihood
 - → Include systematic uncertainties as free continuous nuisance parameters



measurement and expectation

Expectation: $\mu_i(\theta, \xi) = \mu_i^{conv} + \mu_i^{prompt} + \mu_i^{astro}$



The challenge: Systematic uncertainties

Detection uncertainties:

e.g. optical sensor efficiency, optical ice properties at South Pole, neutrino interaction cross section, muon energy loss cross section

<u>Atmospheric v_{μ} prediction</u> <u>uncertainties:</u>

e.g. rate, shape and composition of the primary cosmic ray spectrum, ratio of pion to kaon decay in air showers



Systematic effects on observables are continuously parameterized and included in the likelihood fit

<u>Advantage of high statistics</u> of conventional atmospheric v_{μ} :

→ Strong constraints on systematic uncertainties from non-signal region

A measurement of the diffuse astrophysical muon neutrino flux Leif Rädel | ICRC 2015, The Hague | 04.08.2015

Experimental data 2009 – 2012



IceCube

2009-2010 (IC59)

2010-2011 (IC79)

2011-2012 (IC86)



Excellent data/mc agreement for all three years

A measurement of the diffuse astrophysical muon neutrino flux Leif Rädel | ICRC 2015, The Hague | 04.08.2015

#neutrinos ≈ 130,000

Analysis results Astrophys. and prompt normalization



IceCube



Atmospheric-only hypothesis excluded by 4.3 with three years already

A measurement of the diffuse astrophysical muon neutrino flux Leif Rädel | ICRC 2015, The Hague | 04.08.2015

ERS: Enberg et al., Phys. Rev. D 78 (Aug, 2008) BERSS: Bhattacharya et al., accepted in JHEP 194 (2015) [arXiv:1502.0107] ~ 0.5 ERS

Analysis results Astrophysical spectral index



IceCube



Best-fit spectral index:

$\gamma_{astro} = 1.91 \pm 0.20$

Measured astrophysical spectral index nearly independent of the prompt normalization



10

Measured best-fit energy spectrum

Analysis result Astrophys. norm. & spectral index







- Correlation between astrophysical normalization @100TeV and the spectral index
- Best-fit astrophysical normalization:

 $(0.66^{+0.40}_{-0.30}) \times 10^{-18} \text{ GeV}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1}$

 $\frac{\text{Best-fit spectral index:}}{\gamma_{\text{astro}}} = 1.91 \pm 0.20$

Atmospheric-only hypothesis excluded by 4.3σ

- Compatible with the best-fit of high-energy starting event analysis (Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 101101 (2014))
- Compatible with best-fit result of the current up-going muon neutrino analysis (accepted in Phys. Rev. Lett. arXiv:1507.04005)

A measurement of the diffuse astrophysical muon neutrino flux Leif Rädel | ICRC 2015, The Hague | 04.08.2015



- Right: IceCube result reported in Phys. Rev. D 91, 022001
 - → Sensitive to shower-like events and therefore much lower energy threshold (~10TeV)
 - Sensitive to neutrino events from the Southern Sky
- Some tension (~2σ) between the result presented here and the reported result (right)
 - → Calculated comparing the 2d Ilh contours in gauss approximation

Comparison to analysis dominated by shower-like events





Unfolding (dominated by shower-like events)



Energy threshold @ about 10TeV

13

 Softer spectral index currently driven by low energy bin







 Softer spectral index currently driven by low energy bin





Unfolding (dominated by shower-like events)

This analysis (up-going track-like events)



- Energy threshold @ about 10TeV
 - Softer spectral index currently driven by low energy bin

Energy threshold @ about 200TeV

@ high energies ($\gtrsim 200 \text{ TeV}$) analysis dominated by shower-like events (left) compatible with E⁻²

 $E^2\Phi$ [GeV cm⁻²sr⁻¹s⁻¹

Summary and outlook





- Presented the currently most precise measurement of a diffuse flux of astrophysical muon neutrinos
 - \rightarrow Reject atmospheric-only hypothesis by 4.3 σ
 - → Best fit astrophysical flux (normalized @100TeV): 0.66 · (E/100TeV)^{-1.91} [10⁻¹⁸GeV⁻¹cm⁻²s⁻¹sr⁻¹]
 - Some tension with cascade dominated analyses. Currently compatible with statistical fluctuation

Looking at the additional three years of data!

Multi-PeV track event: Event views







Multi-PeV track event Event information



III. Physikalisches Institut

IceCube

Date

- → June 11th 2014 (56819.20444852863 MJD)
- Arrival direction
 - → Declination 11.48 deg
 - → Right Ascension 110.34 deg
 - → Angular resolution < 1 deg</p>
- Energy loss inside the detector
 - → 2.6 ± 0.3 PeV
- Muon energy and neutrino energy are at least that
- Reference
 - → ATEL #7856





Multi-PeV track event



III. Physikalisches Institut

IceCube

IceCube

No hot spot in IceCube PS skymap within
 0.5 deg

Source catalogues

- → TeVCat closest source ~8deg away
- Fermi's 2FGL & 3FGL closest source ~3deg away
- ~12deg off the Galactic Plane
- No coincident GRB
- ACTs
 - Sky location remains close to the Sun until September
 - HAWC
 - → No evidence for gamma-ray emission
 - → ATEL #7868

A measurement of the diffuse astrophysical muon neutrino flux Leif Rädel | ICRC 2015, The Hague | 04.08.2015







The IceCube Collaboration

University of Alberta-Edmonton
 University of Toronto

USA

Clark Atlanta University **Drexel University** Georgia Institute of Technology Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory Massachusetts Institute of Technology **Michigan State University Ohio State University** Pennsylvania State University South Dakota School of Mines & Technology Southern University and A&M College **Stony Brook University** University of Alabama University of Alaska Anchorage University of California, Berkeley University of California, Irvine University of Delaware University of Kansas University of Maryland University of Wisconsin-Madison University of Wisconsin-River Falls Yale University

Chiba University, Japan

Niels Bohr Institutet.

Denmark

Sungkyunkwan University, Korea

University of Oxford, UK -

Belgium Université Libre de Bruxelles Université de Mons Universiteit Gent Vrije Universiteit Brussel Sweden Stockholms universitet Uppsala universitet

Germany

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin Ruhr-Universität Bochum RWTH Aachen Technische Universität München Technische Universität Dortmund Universität Mainz Universität Wuppertal

Université de Genève, Switzerland

University of Adelaide, Australia

University of Canterbury, New Zealand

Funding Agencies

Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique (FRS-FNRS) Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek-Vlaanderen (FWO-Vlaanderen)

Federal Ministry of Education & Research (BMBF) German Research Foundation (DFG) Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron (DESY) Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation Swedish Polar Research Secretariat The Swedish Research Council (VR) University of Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation (WARF) US National Science Foundation (NSF)

Thank you for your attention!