


Determination of the extragalactic background light spectral energy distribution with H.E.S.S.

Matthias Lorentz, Pierre Brun (Irfu – CEA Saclay)
& David Sanchez (LAPP, CNRS/IN2P3)
for the H.E.S.S. Collaboration

34th ICRC, 2015, The Hague



Extragalactic background light and γ -ray absorption



- **What is the EBL ?**



Background photon field (IR to UV) originating from starlight and dust re-emission.

Direct measurements are difficult

- **EBL absorbs γ rays by pair creation**


Universe not transparent to γ rays over extragalactic distances : **optical depth τ**

Attenuation pattern in VHE spectra of distant sources




$$\tau(E_\gamma, z_s) = c \int_0^{z_s} dz \frac{dt}{dz} \int_0^2 d\mu \frac{\mu}{2} \int_{\epsilon_{thr}}^\infty d\epsilon \frac{dn_{EBL}(\epsilon, z)}{d\epsilon} \sigma_{\gamma\gamma}(E_\gamma(1+z), \epsilon, \mu)$$

$$\Phi_{obs}(E_\gamma) = \Phi_{int}(E_\gamma) e^{-\tau(E_\gamma, z_s)}$$



High Energy Stereoscopic System

Khomas Highland, Namibia



■ H.E.S.S. phase I :

- 4 telescopes with a 107 m² dish
- Cameras with 960 PMTs
- Field of view 5°
- Energy range : 100 GeV to 50 TeV (~10% resolution)

■ H.E.S.S. phase II :

- Additional 5th telescope, 600 m²
- Camera with 2048 PMTs
- Field of view 3.5°
- **Energy threshold lowered to ~30 GeV**


See numerous H.E.S.S. contributions at this conference...



Data sample : high significance H.E.S.S. blazars

- Cut on significance $>10 \sigma$ detection with H.E.S.S.
- 14 data sets with 6 sources (for now !)
- Redshift coverage : z from 0.071 to 0.188


Only H.E.S.S. phase-I data used here



tevcat.uchicago.edu

Previous EBL study with H.E.S.S.


- **Model dependent approach:** model of Francheschini et al. 2008 (FR08)
- **Fixed shape, normalization only**
 - $\alpha = 0$: no EBL
 - $\alpha = 1$: EBL normalized to FR08
- EBL detection at 8.8σ :
 $\alpha = 1.27^{+0.18}_{-0.15} \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.25 \text{ (syst)}$
- **Now, different approach :**
Can we also determine the shape of the EBL with H.E.S.S. in a model independent way ?



Spectra : the essential ingredient

$$\Phi_{obs}(E_\gamma) = \Phi_{int}(E_\gamma) e^{-\tau(E_\gamma, z_s)}$$


↑ Measured ↑ Hypotheses needed ↑ EBL information



- **Difficulty** : disentangle EBL effect and intrinsic curvature
 - Simple assumptions on intrinsic blazar spectra fitted :

Power law : $\frac{dN}{dE} \propto E^{-\alpha}$

Log parabola : $\frac{dN}{dE} \propto E^{-\alpha - \beta \log(E)}$



A grid to test local EBL shapes

- Local ($z=0$) EBL shapes as splines inside a grid

- Two grids shifted against each other to reduce constraints on shapes

$$\Phi_{obs}(E_\gamma) = \Phi_{int}(E_\gamma) e^{-\tau_i(E_\gamma, z_s)}$$

Optical depth computed for every shape on the grid

- $i = 0 \dots 116,640$: # of spline tested

- Large variety of EBL shapes allowed

- τ also depends on EBL evolution : evolution hypotheses needed

- Evolution function extracted from FR08


No significant impact on results compared to simple effective scaling

Similar model independent approaches :

Mazin & Raue (2007) A&A 471(2), 439-452.


Meyer et al. (2012) A&A, 542, A59.

Biteau & Williams (2015), arXiv:1502.04166





EBL shape : from splines to envelopes


H.E.S.S. spectrum




Grid scan :
Fit intrinsic + EBL
absorption with every
shape on the grid



Best Fit
EBL spline



Envelope ($\chi^2_{\min} + 1$)
around best fit spline




Combine all
data sets



Preliminary results

- **The shape of the EBL is accessible**
 - **Grey area** : combined statistical contour with no assumptions on shape and normalization !
 - **Systematics** : largest contour including x-check analysis + relative exclusion of several data sets



Summary and perspectives

- **This study** : a 1st model-independent comprehensive study of the EBL with H.E.S.S.

Final study includes :

- **More sources** :
 - Stronger collective signal
 - Better redshift coverage
- **H.E.S.S. II data** :
 - More leverage on short wavelength range
- **Better assessment of systematics errors**
 - New grids
 - Other intrinsic spectral shapes assumptions
 - Influence of EBL evolution
- **Related study on intrinsic spectra of blazars**

Coming soon...