

Meeting 3: Harmonic RF System Review CERN, 3 November 2014



The HiLumi LHC Design Study is included in the High Luminosity LHC project and is partly funded by the European Commission within the Framework Programme 7 Capacities Specific Programme, GRANCITIES

Alternative Options for 800 MHz Harmonic Cavity



M. Zobov LNF INF, Frascati, Italy

Ya. Shashkov, N. Sobenin MEPHI, Moscow, Russia



OUTLINE

- Baseline Design: questions, doubts
- Single Cavity
- 2 Separated Cavities in a Single Cryostat
- 4 and more Separated Cavities

Baseline Design





Some References

- 1. L.Ficcadenti, J.Tückmantel, R.Calaga, "*LHC Landau Cavity Design*", BR Section Meeting, 29 March 2012.
- 2. L.Ficcadenti, J.Tückmantel, R.Calaga, "*LHC Landau Cavity Design*", HiLumi LHC-LARP Annual Meeting – Frascati, November 2012
- 3. T.Roggen, "The 800 MHz Higher Harmonic System for HL-LHC"
- 4. S.Papadopoulos, "Higher Order Mode Couplers Optimization for the 800 MHz Harmonic System for HL-LHC".

Problems, Questions?





- Is there enough space to place HOM dampers and a robust main coupler (300 kW) on the beam pipe?

- Eventual HOM trapped between HOM couplers, main couplers and HOM couplers

- Multipacting in HOM couplers (with RF power, high current beam)

- Couplers break the cylindrical symmetry

L.Ficcadenti el al.

just an example...





Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794, U.S.A.

BROOKHAVEN

n possion for discovery

ENERGY Office of Science

Summary

- There are many proven designs of HOM dampers.
- However, only beam pipe absorbers demonstrated so far power levels of interest to future circular colliders.
- LHC type couplers were designed for ~1 kW HOM power levels, but operates at lower HOM power levels.
 - There are also several new designs under development, which might be suited for future circular colliders.

Since high R/Q is not required it is worthwhile to exploit the "single mode" cavity design:

Relatively Simple No HOMs



From KEKB Design Report







Frequency f (GHz)

© 1996 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to reprint/republish this material for advertising or promotional purposes or for creating new collective works for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or to reuse any copyrighted component of this work in other works must be obtained from the IEEE.

Comparison of the Predicted and Measured Loss Factor of the Superconducting Cavity Assembly for the CESR Upgrade*

S. Belomestnykh[†], W. Hartung, J. Kirchgessner, D. Moffat, H. Muller, H. Padamsee, and V.Veshcherevich[†] Laboratory of Nuclear Studies, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853 USA



Figure 1. Schematic of the SRF cavity module.

Longitudinal Impedance









Transverse Impedance



_14 kΩ/m







Multipacting simulations for the SC cavities – MultP-M code



Possible multipacting for E_z in the range from 1.7-7 MV/m

absorber

No stable trajectories are found

Lorentz Force Detuning





Structure deformation with one end fixed

The problem is solved by inserting the ring stiffeners in the grooves From Rama Calaga's talk "HL-LHC RF Road-Map" given at the LHC Performance Workshop, Chamonix, 24 September 2014:

Proposal: Build 2-cavity 800MHz (Nb-Cu) prototype $\rightarrow \sim$ 5-6yrs Benefit (even in BS-mode) outweighs the cost (beam stability)



Geometry is perfectly azimuthally symmetric

There are no dangerous HOM

The is no need need to use additional HOM couplers (8 couplers are reqired in the baseline version)

Cavities do not communicate with each other due to the small radius of the connecting pipe.

Main coupler can be placed on the beam pipe with a smaller radius

Longitudinal Impedance



Transverse Impedance







Proposal of 4 separated cavities in a single cryostat



GdfidL Model



Dipole Wake Field and Impedance





Thu Aug 7 09:03:33 2014 total charge= 1.0000 [As], [xyz]loss= (-3.3875e+6, -17.2116e+9, -278.9432e+6) [VAs]





— Shorted waveguides



Matched waveguides

Longitudinal Wake Field and Impedance



Tue Aug 26	05:14:28_2014f, total charge= 1.0000 [As], [xyz]loss= (46.3467e+6, 6.5197	e+3, -402.9402e+9) [V
	GdfidL, Wakepotential	
	W_1 (x,y)= (0.0000, 0.0000) [m]	
8.8e11 	zen ferzenakinen persken en e	alaa ayaa kurayoo x
] - - - -8.8e11	<u>สปฏิปัญปัญปัญญาต่างสุดชุมสมุลสุดชุมสมุลสุดชุมสมุลสุดสุด</u>) 100 s[m]	905105 provide 200
W - I 0.0e0 - [0.0e0 -] -] - -8.8e11	<mark>յոնի վիրկերի նիրկերի կեղի գնությունը գործենը գործեներությունը։ Հայությունը գործեներությունը գործեներությունը գ 100 s[m]</mark>	прыыналары 200

Tue Aug 26 05:10:54_2014f, total charge= 1.0000 [As], [xyz]loss= (46.3467e+6, 6.5197e+3, -402.9402e+9) [VAs]





Eight Cavities







Longitudinal Impedance

Eight Cavities







Transverse wake potential

Real part of transverse impedance

Alternative Solutions for:

Single cavity





Two Cavities





Multiple Cavities with Fluted Beam Pipes and "Wing" Waveguide Dampers



Short Summary

- 1. The proposed options with 1 and 2 cavities do not seem to have serious problems (impedance, multipackting, stiffeness). No dedicated HOM dampers are needed.
- 2. The options with 4 and more cavities require high frequency HOM power extraction from the intermediate connecting beam pipes. The "wing" type dampers look attarctive for this purpose. At present other damping techniques are also under consideration.
- 3. Much work is still to be done. First of all, the design of the main coupler should be elaborated (CERN?).