The CMS Framework for Alignment and Calibration

Gero Flucke (on behalf of the CMS Collaboration)





XII International Workshop on Advanced Computing and Analysis Techniques in Physics Research Erice, Sicily, November 3-7, 2008

GEFÖRDERT VOM



Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung

Gero Flucke (Universität Hamburg)

CMS Alignment and Calibration

5.11.2008 1/25

• The CMS Detector: Alignment and Calibration Challenge

- The Alignment and Calibration Workflow:
 - "Scene", "Actors" and "Language".
- Simulation Exercise:
 - Computing, Software and Analysis Challenge 2008.
- Real Data Experience:
 - Cosmic Data Taking.
- Conclusions

The CMS Detector at LHC Point 5 (P5)



- Onion Structured Detector.
- Large Solenoid: B = 3.8 T.
- Complete since August.

- Seen LHC Beam Halo in September.
- Now Large Cosmics Run.

CMS Alignment and Calibration Challenge

Complex Reconstruction of LHC Events.

- Ambitious goals in terms of resolution:
 - \Rightarrow Require excellent alignment and calibration.
- Aiming for fast turn around for physics results:
 - ⇒ Essential alignment and calibration for prompt reconstruction.
- Large data rate:
 - \Rightarrow Robust framework to handle alignment/calibration.
- \Rightarrow Proof-of-principle in simulation and cosmic data taking.

Prompt Alignment/Calibration Workflow: The Scene



Prompt Alignment/Calibration Workflow: The Actors I



Prompt Alignment/Calibration Workflow: The Actors II

Tier-0 (T0): Offline Production at CERN (Meyrin)

- Repack into Datasets according to HLT.
- O(10-20%) Express Reconstruction.
 - ⇒ Alignment and Calibration Streams (AICaReco) for Monitoring and Short Latency Calibration.
- Buffer bulk of data on disk.



A D M A A A M M

- 4 ∃ →

Prompt Alignment/Calibration Workflow: The Actors III



Gero Flucke (Universität Hamburg)

CMS Alignment and Calibration

5.11.2008 8 / 25

Prompt Alignment/Calibration Workflow: The Actors IV



Prompt Alignment/Calibration Workflow: The Actors V

Tier-0 (T0): Offline Production at CERN (Meyrin)

- Prompt Reconstruction, $\mathcal{O}(24 \text{ h})$ delay:
 - picking up updated constants,
 - sets time limit to achieve short term constants.
- Create Alignment and Calibration Streams (AICaReco) for longer latency workflows.



Prompt Alignment/Calibration Workflow: Languages I



Small data skims dedicated for alignment/calibration.



Prompt Alignment/Calibration Workflow: Languages I

AlCaReco Streams



Prompt Alignment/Calibration Workflow: Languages II



< < >> < <</p>

Offline Alignment and Calibration Constants Flow



- Constants derived offline on CAF.
- Validated on CAF.
- Transferred to P5/online.

- Upload to online DB (ORCON).
- Automatic streaming to ORCOF.
- Accessed offline via dedicated cache (Frontier).

Gero Flucke (Universität Hamburg)

Computing Software and Analysis Challenge (CSA08)

Testing Offline Data Handling at Full Scope (May 2008)

Realistic exercise on simulated data:

- Prompt reconstruction at T0 (no Express stream).
- Produce AlCaReco skim at T0.
- Quasi Real-Time Calibration & Alignment at CAF.
- Re-reconstruction at Tier-1 with new constants.
- Starting from startup conditions.

Two Scenarios from LHC Commissioning Schedule

Name	Bunch	Luminosity	Duration	Integrated	HLT	Events
	schema		[effective]	Luminosity	Output	
S43	43x43	$2 \cdot 10^{30} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$	6 days	1 pb ⁻¹	300 Hz	150 M
S156	156x156	$2 \cdot 10^{31} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$	6 days	10 pb ⁻¹	300 Hz	150 M

Tight schedule:

S43 and S156 alignment and calibration tasks in consecutive weeks.

Gero Flucke (Universität Hamburg)

CSA08

Calibration and Alignment Tasks

- Tracker Alignment:
 - Millepede algorithm,
 - HIP algorithm,
 - Kalman algorithm.
- Muon System Alignment:
 - HIP algorithm,
 - Standalone algorithm.
- ECAL Calibration:
 - φ-symmetry,
 - use of $\pi_0 \to \gamma \gamma$,
 - use of $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$.

• HCAL Calibration:

- ϕ -symmetry,
- use of isolated tracks,
- di-jet balancing.
- Tracker Calibration:
 - Pixel Lorentz angle,
 - Strip Lorentz angle,
 - Strip charge response.
- Muon Drift Tube (DT) Calib.:
 - time pedestal,
 - drift velocity.
- Muon Resistive Plate Chambers (RPC) Monitoring

\Rightarrow Many parallel tasks as for real data.

Gero Flucke (Universität Hamburg)

CMS Alignment and Calibration

5.11.2008 16 / 25

Workflow Interdependence

Example Workflows Depending on Results of Other Workflows

- Muon alignment with extrapolated tracks (HIP): Tracker Alignment.
- Muon standalone alignment: DT timing calibration.



Gero Flucke (Universität Hamburg)

CMS Alignment and Calibration

5.11.2008 17/25

CSA08 Results: Tracker Alignment



Three track based algorithms

- Common framework:
 - parameters,
 - database formats,
 - derivatives,
 - even including muon alignment.

⇒ Showing results from Millepede II algorithm (global fit approach, using 44k parameters).

< 🗇 🕨 < 🖃 >

Gero Flucke (Universität Hamburg)

CMS Alignment and Calibration

5.11.2008 18 / 25

CSA08 Results: Tracker Alignment

Datasets: > 4 M Tracks

AlCaReco streams selecting:

- Minimum bias tracks $(p_t > 1.5 \text{ GeV}).$
- Isolated muons.
- $Z \rightarrow \mu \mu$ decays.
- $J/\Psi \rightarrow \mu\mu$ decays.
- Cosmic muons.

Results (S156)

- In time for muon alignment:
 - 50x 30 minutes CPU,
 - 1x 5h CPU.

•
$$p_t^{rec}$$
 of $p_t^{gen} = 100 \text{ GeV} (\mu)$

- *σ* = 2.2 GeV
- ideal: 1.7 GeV



Monte Carlo: *p*_t resolution



5.11.2008

19/25

CSA08 Results: ECAL Intercalibration

$\pi_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} ightarrow \gamma \gamma$ Method

- Constraining energies of unconverted photon pairs to π_0 mass.
- Target precision in Barrel using 2k π_0 per crystal with $E_t(\pi_0) \approx 5$ GeV: 0.5% channel-to-channel.
- High rate up to 1 kHz expected at LHC:
- \Rightarrow AlCaRaw stream to keep data rate acceptable:

Only 3x3 crystal around candidates stored.



Results

First and successful test of end-to-end offline workflow:

 Channel-to-channel precision of 1% achievable in barrel within a few days of data taking.

Real Data Taking: Cosmic Muon Data



CRUZET and CRAFT

Cosmic RUn at ZEro Tesla

- Global Run of complete CMS.
- Around the clock.
- Since July with silicon tracker.

Since mid October magnet 3.8 T: Cosmic Run at Almost Four Tesla

AlCaReco Streams

- Dedicated for cosmic data.
- Run centrally by Data Operation since July.
- First RECO based streams.
- Steadily ramped up to nine streams.
- Added AlCaRaw recently.

5.11.2008 21/25

Muon Drift Tube Calibration with Real Cosmic Data



Sectors and Chambers

Muon DT Chambers

- Drift tube detectors.
- Within iron yoke of barrel.
- Three superlayers each: 2x φ, 1x θ.

Calibration with CRAFT Data

- Time pedestal: fit to digi time distribution.
- Validation: width of residuals $x_{segment} x_{hit}$.
- Full workflow, but cosmics results limited:
 - Cosmic muons arbitrary in time.
 - Low statistics in horizontal chambers.
 - Known hardware problems.

Tracker Alignment with Real Cosmic Muon Data

CRUZET

- B = 0 T \Rightarrow no momentum measurement.
 - \Rightarrow unknown multiple scattering.
- First results within a week!
- Accumulated coherent set of 345k AlCaReco events.



Mean Residuals per Module

Results

- Mean track hit residuals (> 100 hits):
 - Data Design Geometry.
 - Aligned Data.
 - Monte Carlo (no misalignment).

- ⇒ Precision reached order of magnitude of simulation.
 - Mainly barrel region covered so far.

CRAFT

- Collecting Data with B-Field until November 11th.
- $> 2.5 \cdot 10^6$ tracker cosmic AlCaReco events (until 31.10.)
- \Rightarrow First pixel tracker alignment within reach.

Conclusions

- CMS Alignment and Calibration Faces Big Challenges.
- Framework for Prompt Alignment and Calibration Set up.
- Very Successful Commissioning:
 - Simulation challenges (CSA08),
 - Cosmic Data Taking with B = 0 T and 3.8 T (CRUZET/CRAFT).
- Special Data Streams for Alignment and Calibration (AlCaReco and AlCaRaw):
 - Enable fast response of algorithms.
 - Production almost routine.
- Encouraging Results from Alignment and Calibration Workflows.

Framework in shape, exercised and confronted with real data:

CMS is well prepared for alignment and calibration challenges during collision data taking.