

FCTUC FACULDADE DE CIÊNCIAS E TECNOLOGIA UNIVERSIDADE DE COIMBRA

Inverse Magnetic Catalysis in (P)NJL models

Márcio Ferreira

Pedro Costa, **Constança Providência**

Centro de Física Computacional Department of Physics, University of Coimbra, Portugal

Débora P. Menezes (UFSC), **Tobias Frederico** (ITA) and **Odilon Lourenço** (UFSCAR)

New Trends in High Energy Physics and QCD October 21 - November 6, 2014

LINIÃO ELIBOREIA Fundo Social Europeu

What are the different states of matter?

What happens when hadronic matter is compressed or/and heated?

QCD phase diagram

Our current picture of the phase diagram

• At zero chemical potential a smooth transition from hadronic matter to quark-gluon plasma occurs

The effect of an external magnetic field

• **How does the QCD phase diagram change with an increasing magnetic field?**

• Its effect is important in magnetars, heavy-ion collisions,...

• RHIC $\rightarrow eB_{max} \approx 5m_{\pi}^2 \approx 0.09 \,\text{GeV}^2$

• LHC
$$
\rightarrow e_{max} \approx 15 m_{\pi}^2 \approx 0.27 \,\text{GeV}^2
$$

Phase transitions

Order parameters

- The quark condensate $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$ measures the chiral symmetry breaking
	- Exact order parameter for $m_q \to 0$
- The Polyakov loop Φ measures the center symmetry breaking
	- Exact order parameter for $m_q \to \infty$
- Both symmetries are explicitly broken when the physical quark masses values are used
	- In this case we have approximate order parameters

Solving QCD on a Lattice (LQCD) Wuppertal-Budapest Collaboration JHEP 1009 (2010) 073

- We are limited to zero chemical potential
- For $N_f = 2 + 1$ both transitions are crossovers

LQCD in a presence of an external magnetic field G.S. Bali, et al., JHEP 1202 (2012) 044

- At low temperatures $\Delta \Sigma$ is enhanced by the magnetic field (Magnetic Catalysis)
- A non-monotonic behavior is obtained at temperatures near the transition temperature
	- Σ_i are suppressed by the magnetic field (Inverse Magnetic Catalysis)

LQCD in a presence of an external magnetic field G.S. Bali, et al., JHEP 1202 (2012) 044

• The pseudo-critical temperatures $T_c(eB)$ are determined as the inflection points of the susceptibilities

The impact of *B* on the nature of chiral transition G.S. Bali, et al., JHEP 1202 (2012) 044

The transition remains an analytic crossover at least up to 1 GeV^2

The effect of *B* on the Polyakov loop

F. Bruckmann, et al., JHEP 1304 (2013) 112

- It increases with *B* for a fixed temperature
	- More pronounced near the transition temperature
- The inflection point moves towards lower temperatures with increasing *B*

The Nambu−Jona-Lasinio (NJL) model

$$
\mathcal{L} = \bar{q} \left[i \gamma_\mu D^\mu - \hat{m}_f \right] q + G_s \sum_{a=0}^8 \left[(\bar{q} \lambda_a q)^2 + (\bar{q} i \gamma_5 \lambda_a q)^2 \right] + \mathcal{L}_{det}
$$

- Local 4 quark point interaction
- The 't Hooft six fermion term \mathcal{L}_{det} models the axial $U_A(1)$ symmetry breaking

$$
\mathcal{L}_{det} = -K \left\{ \det \left[\overline{q} (1 + \gamma_5) q \right] + \det \left[\overline{q} (1 - \gamma_5) q \right] \right\}
$$

• Dynamic generation of quark masses

$$
M_i = m_i - 2G_s \langle \bar{q}_i q_i \rangle - 2K \langle \bar{q}_j q_j \rangle \langle \bar{q}_k q_k \rangle
$$

Adding the Polyakov potential (PNJL model)

- There is no confinement mechanism in the NJL model
- The gluonic degrees of freedom are introduced by an effective potential $\mathcal{U}(\Phi, \Phi, T)$

$$
\Phi = \frac{1}{N_c} \text{Tr}_c \left\langle \left\langle \mathcal{P} \exp \left[i \int_0^\beta d\tau A_0(x,\tau) \right] \right\rangle \right\rangle
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}_{PNJL} = \mathcal{L}_{NJL} + \mathcal{U}(\Phi, \bar{\Phi}, T)
$$

• The quarks interact with the gluon fiels through the minimal coupling

$$
D^{\mu}=\partial^{\mu}-igA^{\mu} \ ; \ \ A^{\mu}=\delta^{\mu}_{0}A^{0} \ \ \text{(Polyakov Gauge)}
$$

The Polyakov potential

S. Roessner, et al., PRD75 (2007) 034007

$$
\mathcal{U}(\Phi, \bar{\Phi}; T) = T^4 \left\{ -\frac{a(T)}{2} \bar{\Phi} \Phi + b(T) \ln \left[1 - 6 \bar{\Phi} \Phi + 4(\bar{\Phi}^3 + \Phi^3) - 3(\bar{\Phi} \Phi)^2 \right] \right\}
$$

$$
a(T) = a_0 + a_1 \left(\frac{T_0}{T}\right) + a_2 \left(\frac{T_0}{T}\right)^2, \ b(T) = \left(\frac{T_0}{T}\right)^3
$$

• Fitted to pure gluonic lattice results

•
$$
a_0 = 3.51
$$
, $a_1 = -2.47$, $a_2 = 15.2$, $b_3 = -1.75$

• Reproduce a first order phase transition at $T_0 = 270 \text{ MeV}$

Adjusting the T_0 of the Polyakov potential

- In the presence of dynamical quarks T_0 must be adjusted
- A decrease of T_0 from 270 to 210 MeV in the PNJL is needed
	- $T_c^{\Phi} = 171$ MeV *(crossover)* is obtained

 $T_c^{\Phi} \approx 175 \,\text{MeV}$ (LQCD) (crossover)

Adding an external magnetic field to the model

• Static and constant magnetic field in the z direction

$$
A_{EM}^{\mu} = \delta^{\mu 2} x_1 B
$$

• Interaction with quarks via minimal coupling

$$
D_i^{\mu} = \partial_i^{\mu} - iq_iA_{EM}^{\mu}
$$

• The energy spectrum is modified by $\mathbf{B} = B\hat{z}$

$$
E_i \to \sqrt{(p_z^i)^2 + 2|q_i|Bn + M_i^2}
$$

where $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ is the Landau level.

• Dimensional reduction: $D \to D - 2 \Rightarrow p_x, p_y, p_z \to p_z$

Model vs LQCD results at zero temperature MF, et al., PRD 89, 016002-10 (2014)

• In order to compare with LQCD results the condensates are defined as

$$
\Sigma_f(B, T) = \frac{2m_f}{m_{\pi}^2 f_{\pi}^2} \left[\langle \bar{q}_f q_f \rangle (B, T) - \langle \bar{q}_f q_f \rangle (0, 0) \right] + 1
$$

• and the condensates change due to *B* as

$$
\Delta\Sigma_f(B,T)=\Sigma_f(B,T)-\Sigma_f(0,T)
$$

- The condensate is enhanced (Magnetic Catalysis)
- Even at $eB = 1$ GeV² the discrepancy is lower than 18%

Model results: condensate at finite temperature MF, et al., PRD 89, 016002-10 (2014)

- The enhancement of the condensate (MC) occurs at any *T*
- There is no suppression of the condensates (IMC) near the transition temperature as LQCD have shown
	- The ∆Σ*^f* have a monotonic behavior with *B* for any *T*

Model results: critical temperatures MF, et al., PRD 89, 016002-10 (2014)

- Both critical temperatures increase with *B*
	- LQCD shows the opposite: both decrease with *B*
- The deconfinement transition is less affected
- \bullet The difference between T^u_c and T^d_c is due to their electric charge

Strong coupling weakening

- In the lower *p* region relevant for the chiral symmetry breaking dynamics the magnetic field screens the gluon interactions
- \bullet For sufficiently strong magnetic fields $eB \gg \Lambda_{QCD}^2$, the α_s is in leading-order a decreasing funtion of *eB* [V. A. Miransky, et. al. PRD66,045006]
	- Deconfinement and chiral restoration within the SU(3) PNJL and EPNJL models in an external magnetic field, MF et al. Phys.Rev. D89 (2014) 016002
	- Quark Antiscreening at Strong Magnetic Field and Inverse Magnetic Catalysis, Ferrer, E.J. et al. arXiv:1407.3503
	- The Importance of Asymptotic Freedom for the Pseudocritical Temperature in Magnetized Quark Matter, Farias, R.L.S. et al. Phys. Rev. C 90, 025203 (2014)
	- Inverse magnetic catalysis in the $(2+1)$ -flavor NJL and PNJL models, MF et al. Phys.Rev. D89 (2014) 116011
	- Inverse magnetic catalysis for the chiral transition induced by thermo-magnetic effects on the coupling constant, Ayala, Alejandro et al. Phys.Rev. D90 (2014) 03600
	- Anticatalysis in the linear sigma model with quarks. Ayala, Alejandro et al. arXiv:1406.7408
	- Finite temperature quark-gluon vertex with a magnetic field in the Hard Thermal Loop approximation, Ayala, Alejandro et al. arXiv:1410.6388

Parametrization of *Gs*(*eB*)

MF, et al., PRD 89, 116011-9 (2014)

- There is a weakening of quark interactions
- In the NJL model $G_s \propto \alpha_s \rightarrow G_s(eB)$
- The *Gs*(*eB*) is obtained by fitting a generic function in order to obtain the relative decrease of the critical temperature given by LQCD results

•
$$
G_s(0) = G_s^0
$$
 and $G_s(eB \to \infty) \to 0$

Average condensate with *Gs*(*eB*) MF, et al., PRD 89, 116011-9 (2014)

• Three qualitatively features in agreement with LQCD

- MC at lower temperatures
- \bullet IMC (non-monotonic behavior) at temperatures near the T_c^χ
- MC at higher temperatures (black line)

PNJL model with *Gs*(*eB*) vs LQCD results MF, et al., PRD 89, 116011-9 (2014)

• The Σ*^u* − Σ*^d* deviation might suggest a magnetic SU(3) flavor breaking.

Deconfinement transition with *Gs*(*eB*) MF, et al., PRD 89, 116011-9 (2014)

- For a fixed temperature the Polyakov loop increases with *B*: the deconfinement transition starts earlier
- This behavior is more pronounced in the transition temperature region in agreement with LQCD results

Critical temperatures with *Gs*(*eB*) MF, et al., PRD 89, 116011-9 (2014)

• Both transition temperatures decrease with the magnetic field strength

The Critical-End-Point (CEP)

Conclusions

- The magnetic field weakens the interactions between quarks
- We have used a simple ansatz for the *B* dependence of *G^s*
- It was fitted in order to reproduce the critical temperature decrease ratio with *B*
- In agreement with LQCD, the following features are reproduced:
	- Inverse Magnetic Catalysis in the transition temperature region
	- Magnetic Catalysis at low and at high temperatures
	- Good qualitative agreement of $(\Sigma_u + \Sigma_d)/2$
	- The Polyakov loop value has a pronounced rise with *B* in the transition temperature region
	- Both pseudo-critical temperatures are decreasing functions of *B*