

Hydrogen molecule and fundamental interactions

Krzysztof Pachucki & Mariusz Puchalski & Jacek Komasa

University of Warsaw & Adam Mickiewicz University
Poland



Where precision matters

The goal is to achieve the highest possible accuracy to test fundamental interactions

- discrepancies between theory and exp can be interpreted in terms of extra forces between nuclei
- spin-spin coupling in HD is particularly sensitive to new physics
- we aim at present for 10^{-6} cm $^{-1}$ accuracy for H₂ levels
- example: the most accurate determination of D and T magnetic moments

H₂ experimental data - challenge for theory

$$D_0(\text{H}_2) = 36118.06962(37) \text{ cm}^{-1} \quad [1]$$

$$\Delta v(1 \rightarrow 0) = 4161.16632(18) \text{ cm}^{-1} \quad [2]$$

[1] J. Liu et al, 2009 (Frederic Merkt's and Wim Ubachs' groups)

[2] G.D. Dickenson et al, 2013 (Wim Ubachs' group)

- current theoretical accuracy is of about 10^{-4} cm^{-1}
- the accuracy 10^{-6} cm^{-1} is feasible (nonrelativistic energies, relativistic recoil and higher order QED needs to be improved)

Numerical approach

Our approach is based on explicitly correlated exponential basis set

$$\phi = e^{-w_1 r_{12} - w_3 r_{1A} - w_2 r_{1B} - w_2 r_{2A} - w_3 r_{2B}} R^{n_0} r_{12}^{n_1} r_{1A}^{n_2} r_{1B}^{n_3} r_{2A}^{n_4} r_{2B}^{n_5}$$

- differential equation approach for calculating integrals with an arbitrary precision
- BO approximation with nonadiabatic perturbation theory
- or the direct nonadiabatic wave function

Energy of an atom or molecule

$\alpha \approx 1/137$ – fine-structure constant, $\beta = m_e/\mu_n \sim 10^{-3}$

$$\begin{aligned} E(\alpha) = & E_{\text{NR}} + \alpha^2 E_{\text{REL}} + \alpha^3 E_{\text{QED}} + \alpha^4 E_{\text{HQED}} + \dots \\ & + + + \vdots \\ \beta E_{\text{AD}} & \quad \alpha^2 \beta E_{\text{REL}}^{\text{rec}} \quad \alpha^3 \beta E_{\text{QED}}^{\text{rec}} \\ & + \vdots \quad \vdots \\ \beta^2 E_{\text{NA}} & \quad \vdots \end{aligned}$$

- The expansion coefficients can be expressed by expectation values of effective Hamiltonians with **the nonrelativistic wave function**
- Valid for small systems with light nuclei

Energy of an atom or molecule

$\alpha \approx 1/137$ – fine-structure constant, $\beta = m_e/\mu_n \sim 10^{-3}$

$$\begin{aligned} E(\alpha, \beta) = & E_{\text{BO}} + \alpha^2 E_{\text{REL}} + \alpha^3 E_{\text{QED}} + \alpha^4 E_{\text{HQED}} + \dots \\ & + + + \vdots \\ \beta E_{\text{AD}} & \quad \alpha^2 \beta E_{\text{REL}}^{\text{rec}} \quad \alpha^3 \beta E_{\text{QED}}^{\text{rec}} \\ & + \vdots \vdots \\ \beta^2 E_{\text{NA}} & \vdots \end{aligned}$$

E_{BO} – the Born-Oppenheimer energy

βE_{AD} – adiabatic correction

$\beta^2 E_{\text{NA}}$ – nonadiabatic correction

$\alpha^2 E_{\text{REL}}$ – relativistic correction

$\alpha^3 E_{\text{QED}}$ – the leading QED correction

$\alpha^4 E_{\text{HQED}}$ – higher order QED correction

Energy of an atom or molecule

$\alpha \approx 1/137$ – fine-structure constant, $\beta = m_e/\mu_n \sim 10^{-3}$

$$\begin{aligned} E(\alpha, \beta) = & E_{\text{BO}} + \alpha^2 E_{\text{REL}} + \alpha^3 E_{\text{QED}} + \alpha^4 E_{\text{HQED}} + \dots \\ & + + + \vdots \\ \beta E_{\text{AD}} & \quad \alpha^2 \beta E_{\text{REL}}^{\text{rec}} \quad \alpha^3 \beta E_{\text{QED}}^{\text{rec}} \\ & + \vdots \quad \vdots \\ \beta^2 E_{\text{NA}} & \quad \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$$

E_{BO} – the Born-Oppenheimer energy

βE_{AD} – adiabatic correction

$\beta^2 E_{\text{NA}}$ – nonadiabatic correction

$\alpha^2 E_{\text{REL}}$ – relativistic correction

$\alpha^3 E_{\text{QED}}$ – the leading QED correction

$\alpha^4 E_{\text{HQED}}$ – higher order QED correction

Energy of an atom or molecule

$\alpha \approx 1/137$ – fine-structure constant, $\beta = m_e/\mu_n \sim 10^{-3}$

$$\begin{aligned} E(\alpha, \beta) = & E_{\text{BO}} + \alpha^2 E_{\text{REL}} + \alpha^3 E_{\text{QED}} + \alpha^4 E_{\text{HQED}} + \dots \\ & + + + \vdots \\ \beta E_{\text{AD}} & \quad \alpha^2 \beta E_{\text{REL}}^{\text{rec}} \quad \alpha^3 \beta E_{\text{QED}}^{\text{rec}} \\ & + \vdots \vdots \\ \beta^2 E_{\text{NA}} & \vdots \end{aligned}$$

E_{BO} – the Born-Oppenheimer energy

βE_{AD} – adiabatic correction

$\beta^2 E_{\text{NA}}$ – nonadiabatic correction

$\alpha^2 E_{\text{REL}}$ – relativistic correction

$\alpha^3 E_{\text{QED}}$ – the leading QED correction

$\alpha^4 E_{\text{HQED}}$ – higher order QED correction

Relativistic correction

$$E(\alpha) = (E_0 + E_{\text{AD}} + E_{\text{NA}}) + \alpha^2 E_{\text{REL}} + \alpha^3 E_{\text{QED}} + \alpha^4 E_{\text{HQED}}$$

Expectation value of the Breit-Pauli Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\text{REL}} &= \sum_a \left[-\frac{1}{8} \langle \Psi | p_a^4 | \Psi \rangle + \sum_I \frac{Z_I \pi}{2} \langle \Psi | \delta(\vec{r}_{al}) | \Psi \rangle \right] \\ &+ \sum_{a>b} \left[\pi \langle \Psi | \delta(\vec{r}_{ab}) | \Psi \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \Psi \left| \vec{p}_a \frac{1}{r_{ab}} \vec{p}_b + \vec{p}_a \cdot \vec{r}_{ab} \frac{1}{r_{ab}^3} \vec{r}_{ab} \cdot \vec{p}_b \right| \Psi \right\rangle \right] \\ &+ \frac{2\pi}{3} \sum_I Z_I \frac{r_{\text{ch}}^2(I)}{\chi_e^2} \left\langle \Psi \left| \sum_a \delta(\vec{r}_{al}) \right| \Psi \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

The leading QED correction, $\alpha^3 E_{\text{QED}}$

$$E(\alpha) = (E_0 + \beta E_{\text{AD}} + \beta^2 E_{\text{NA}}) + \alpha^2 E_{\text{REL}} + \color{red}\alpha^3 E_{\text{QED}} + \alpha^4 E_{\text{HQED}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{QED}} &= \sum_{i>j} \left\{ \left[\frac{164}{15} + \frac{14}{3} \ln \alpha \right] \langle \Psi | \delta(\mathbf{r}_{ij}) | \Psi \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{14}{3} \left\langle \Psi \left| \frac{1}{4\pi} P\left(\frac{1}{r_{ij}^3}\right) \right| \Psi \right\rangle \right\} \\ &+ \sum_i \left[\frac{19}{30} + \ln(\alpha^{-2}) - \ln k_0 \right] \sum_l \frac{4Z_l}{3} \langle \Psi | \delta(\mathbf{r}_{il}) | \Psi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

- results from exchange of one or two virtual photons, vacuum polarization, electron self-energy, etc.

The leading QED correction, $\alpha^3 E_{\text{QED}}$

$$E(\alpha) = (E_0 + \beta E_{\text{AD}} + \beta^2 E_{\text{NA}}) + \alpha^2 E_{\text{REL}} + \alpha^3 E_{\text{QED}} + \alpha^4 E_{\text{HQED}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{QED}} &= \sum_{i>j} \left\{ \left[\frac{164}{15} + \frac{14}{3} \ln \alpha \right] \langle \Psi | \delta(\mathbf{r}_{ij}) | \Psi \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{14}{3} \left\langle \Psi \left| \frac{1}{4\pi} P\left(\frac{1}{r_{ij}^3}\right) \right| \Psi \right\rangle \right\} \\ &+ \sum_i \left[\frac{19}{30} + \ln(\alpha^{-2}) - \text{ln } k_0 \right] \sum_l \frac{4Z_l}{3} \langle \Psi | \delta(\mathbf{r}_{il}) | \Psi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

- results from exchange of one or two virtual photons, vacuum polarization, electron self-energy, etc.

The leading QED correction, $\alpha^3 E_{\text{QED}}$

$$E(\alpha) = (E_0 + \beta E_{\text{AD}} + \beta^2 E_{\text{NA}}) + \alpha^2 E_{\text{REL}} + \alpha^3 E_{\text{QED}} + \alpha^4 E_{\text{HQED}}$$

$$\ln k_0 = \frac{\langle \phi_{\text{el}} | \vec{\nabla} (H_0 - \mathcal{E}_0) \ln [2(H_0 - \mathcal{E}_0)] \vec{\nabla} | \phi_{\text{el}} \rangle}{\langle \phi_{\text{el}} | \vec{\nabla} (H_0 - \mathcal{E}_0) \vec{\nabla} | \phi_{\text{el}} \rangle}$$

$$\vec{\nabla} = \sum_a \vec{\nabla}_a$$

$$\left\langle \phi_{\text{el}} | P(r_{12}^{-3}) | \phi_{\text{el}} \right\rangle = \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \left\langle \phi_{\text{el}} | \theta(r_{12} - a) r_{12}^{-3} + 4\pi (\gamma + \ln a) \delta(\mathbf{r}_{12}) | \phi_{\text{el}} \right\rangle$$

Higher order QED corrections

$$E(\alpha) = (E_0 + E_{\text{AD}} + E_{\text{NA}}) + \alpha^2 E_{\text{REL}} + \alpha^3 E_{\text{QED}} + \alpha^4 E_{\text{HQED}}$$

- difficult to calculate, known for the hydrogen and helium atoms¹

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{HQED}} = \langle H_{BP} \frac{1}{(E_0 - H_0)} H_{BP} \rangle \text{ including vibrational excitations !!!}$$

- approximate formula which accounts for the leading one-loop self-energy and the vacuum polarization

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{HQED}} \approx \sum_I 4\pi Z_I^2 \left(\frac{139}{128} + \frac{5}{192} - \frac{\ln 2}{2} \right) \sum_a \langle \phi_{\text{el}} | \delta(\vec{r}_{al}) | \phi_{\text{el}} \rangle$$

¹K. P., *Phys. Rev. A* **74**, 062510 (2006);

master integral

$$f(r) = r \int \frac{d^3 r_1}{4\pi} \int \frac{d^3 r_2}{4\pi} \frac{e^{-w_1 r_{12}}}{r_{12}} \frac{e^{-w_3 r_{1A}}}{r_{1A}} \frac{e^{-w_2 r_{1B}}}{r_{1B}} \frac{e^{-w_2 r_{2A}}}{r_{2A}} \frac{e^{-w_3 r_{2B}}}{r_{2B}}$$

other integrals with additional powers of interparticle distances can be obtained by differentiation with respect to corresponding parameter.

It satisfies the differential equation

$$\left[\sigma_4 \frac{d^2}{dr^2} r \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \sigma_2 \frac{d}{dr} r \frac{d}{dr} + \sigma_0 r \right] f(r) = F(r),$$

with σ being a polynomial in nonlinear parameters and F a combination of exponential and exponential integral functions.

This differential equation allows for the derivation of all integrals with additional positive powers of interparticle distances.

master integral

$$\left[\sigma_4 \frac{d^2}{dr^2} r \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \sigma_2 \frac{d}{dr} r \frac{d}{dr} + \sigma_0 r \right] f(r) = F(r),$$

$$w_2 = w + x, \quad w_3 = w - x, \quad u_2 = u - y, \quad u_3 = u + y,$$

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 + t^2 \sigma_2 + t^4 \sigma_4,$$

$$\sigma_4 = w_1^2,$$

$$\sigma_2 = w_1^4 - 2 w_1^2 (u^2 + w^2 + x^2 + y^2) + 16 u w x y$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_0 = & w_1^2 (u + w - x - y) (u - w + x - y) (u - w - x + y) (u + w + x + y) \\ & + 16 (w x - u y) (u x - w y) (u w - x y) \end{aligned}$$

Taylor series

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(r) = & r X_0 + r^2 \left(X_r - \frac{3}{2} \right) \\
 & + r^3 \left[-\frac{w_1^2 X_0}{12} - \frac{w_1}{4} + \frac{(u^2 + w^2 + x^2 + y^2) X_0 - w X_1 - u X_2 - 2(u + w)}{6} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{x y}{3 w_1} - \frac{2 x y (2 u w X_0 + u X_1 + w X_2)}{3 w_1^2} \right] \\
 & + r^4 \left[\frac{7}{54} w_1^2 + \frac{w_1 (u + w)}{12} + \frac{3 u w - 3 x y - 11 u^2 - 11 w^2 - 9 x^2 - 9 y^2}{36} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + X_r \left(-\frac{w_1^2}{18} + \frac{u^2 + w^2 + x^2 + y^2}{6} \right) \right] + O(r^5).
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 X_0 &= \frac{1}{2 w_1} \left[\frac{\pi^2}{6} + \frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \left(\frac{2 u + w_1}{2 w + w_1} \right) + L_2 \left(1 - \frac{2(u + w)}{2 u + w_1} \right) + L_2 \left(1 - \frac{2(u + w)}{2 w + w_1} \right) \right], \\
 X_1 &= \ln \left(\frac{2 u + w_1}{2(u + w)} \right), \quad X_2 = \ln \left(\frac{2 w + w_1}{2(u + w)} \right), \\
 X_r &= \frac{1}{2} \ln[r^2 (2 u + w_1)(2 w + w_1)] + \gamma_E.
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

h2solve.f: code for two-center integrals & H₂

- General purpose code for evaluation of two-center two-electron integrals with arbitrary parameters y, x, u, w , but $w_1 = 0$

$$f(r, n_0, n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4) = r \int \frac{d^3 r_1}{4\pi} \int \frac{d^3 r_2}{4\pi} r_{12}^{n_0} (r_{1A} - r_{1B})^{n_1} (r_{2A} - r_{2B})^{n_2} \\ \times (r_{1A} + r_{1B})^{n_3} (r_{2A} + r_{2B})^{n_4} \frac{e^{-u(r_{1A}+r_{1B}) - w(r_{2A}+r_{2B}) - y(r_{1A}-r_{1B}) - x(r_{2A}-r_{2B})}}{r_{12} r_{1A} r_{1B} r_{2A} r_{2B}} \quad (2)$$

- arbitrary states of H₂, arbitrary precision, arbitrary high powers of interparticle distances
- the evaluation method is based on the Taylor expansion in the internuclear distance r , this series is absolutely convergent, as fast as for the exponential function

H₂: new results for nonadiabatic energies

$\Omega = \sum_i n_i$	E_0
6	-1.1640249221963
7	-1.1640250158018
8	-1.1640250287392
9	-1.1640250305334
10	-1.1640250308163
11	-1.1640250308659
12	-1.1640250308755
∞	-1.1640250308796(33)

Bubin, Adamowicz -1.16402503084(6)

accuracy of the extrapolated result $\delta E = 7 \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^{-1}$

Dissociation energy of the ground state of H₂

JCTC 5, 3039 (2009)

Component	D_0/cm^{-1}
E_{BO}	36112.5927(1)
$+\beta E_{\text{AD}}$	+5.7711(1)
$+\beta^2 E_{\text{NA}}$	+0.4340(2)
$+\alpha^2 E_{\text{REL}}$	-0.5318(5)
$+\alpha^3 E_{\text{QED}}$	-0.1948(3)
$+\alpha^4 E_{\text{HQED}}$	-0.0016(8)
E	36118.0696(11)

Dissociation energy – comparison with experiment

	D_0/cm^{-1}	
	H ₂	D ₂
Experiment (1993) ²	36 118.06(4)	36 748.32(7)
Experiment (2004) ³	36 118.062(10)	36 748.343(10)
Experiment (2009/10) ^{4,5}	36 118.069 62(37)	36 748.362 86(68)
Theory (2009) ⁶	36 118.069 6(11)	36 748.363 4(9)
Difference	0.000 0(12)	0.000 5(11)

²E. E. Eyler, N. Melikechi, *Phys. Rev. A* **48**, R18 (1993);

³Y. Zang *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 203003 (2004);

⁴Liu, Salumbides, Hollenstein, Koelemeij, Eikema, Ubachs, Merkt, *JCP* **130**, 174306 (2009)

⁵Liu, Sprecher, Jungen, Ubachs, Merkt, *JCP* **132**, 154301 (2010);

⁶Piszczatowski, Lach, Przybytek, Komasa, Pachucki, Jeziorski, *JCTC* **5**, 3039 (2009)

Dissociation energy of HD – a comparison

PCCP 12, 9188 (2010)

	D_0/cm^{-1}	δ/cm^{-1}
	36 405.7828(10)	
Theory		
Stanke <i>et al.</i> (2009) $+\alpha^3 + \alpha^4$	36 405.7814	-0.0014
Wolniewicz (1995)	36 405.787	0.004
Kołos, Rychlewski (1993)	36 405.763	-0.020
Experiment		
Liu <i>et al.</i> (2010)	36 405.783 66(36)	0.000 9
Zhang <i>et al.</i> (2004)	36 405.828(16)	0.045
Balakrishnan <i>et al.</i> (1993)	36 405.83(10)	0.05
Eyler, Melikechi (1993)	36 405.88(10)	0.10
Herzberg (1970)	36 406.2(4)	0.4

Dissociation energy of HD – a comparison

PCCP 12, 9188 (2010)

	D_0/cm^{-1}	δ/cm^{-1}
	36 405.7828(10)	
Theory		
Stanke <i>et al.</i> (2009) $+\alpha^3 + \alpha^4$	36 405.7814	-0.0014
Wolniewicz (1995)	36 405.787	0.004
Kołos, Rychlewski (1993)	36 405.763	-0.020
Experiment		
Liu <i>et al.</i> (2010)	36 405.783 66(36)	0.000 9
Zhang <i>et al.</i> (2004)	36 405.828(16)	0.045
Balakrishnan <i>et al.</i> (1993)	36 405.83(10)	0.05
Eyler, Melikechi (1993)	36 405.88(10)	0.10
Herzberg (1970)	36 406.2(4)	0.4

Magnetic moments from the NMR shielding

- HD in magnetic field
- using the newly measured μ_p , Walz & collaborators, (2004)
- $\frac{\mu_A(1-\sigma_A)}{\mu_B(1-\sigma_B)} = \frac{f_A}{f_B} \frac{l_A}{l_B}$, Garbacz (2012)
- $\delta\sigma(HD) = 0.020\,179\,6(3)$, $\sim 10^{-3}$ neglected effects
- $\mu_d = 0.857\,438\,234\,6(53) \mu_N$
- the most accurate deuteron magnetic moment
- possible determination for other nuclei, ${}^3\text{He}$