

# Physics at Hadron Colliders

## Lecture IV

Beate Heinemann

*University of California, Berkeley  
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory*


CERN, Summer Student Lectures, July 2008

# Outline


- **Lecture I: Introduction**
  - Outstanding problems in particle physics
    - and the role of hadron colliders
  - Current and near future colliders: Tevatron and LHC
  - Hadron-hadron collisions
- **Lecture II: Standard Model Measurements**
  - Tests of QCD
  - Precision measurements in electroweak sector
- **Lecture III: Searches for the Higgs Boson**
  - Standard Model Higgs Boson
  - Higgs Bosons beyond the Standard Model
- **Lecture IV: Searches for New Physics**
  - Supersymmetry
  - High Mass Resonances (Extra Dimensions etc.)

# The Unknown beyond the Standard Model

- Many good reasons to believe there is as yet **unknown physics** beyond the SM:
  - Dark matter + energy, matter/anti-matter asymmetry, neutrino masses/mixing +many more (see 1st lecture)
- Many possible **new particles/theories**:
  - **Supersymmetry**:
    - Many flavours
  - Extra dimensions (G)
  - **New gauge groups (Z', W', ...)**
  - New fermions (e\*, t', b', ...)
  - Leptoquarks
- Can show up!
  - As subtle deviations in precision measurements
  - In direct searches for new particles





# Supersymmetry (SUSY)



- SM particles have supersymmetric partners:
  - Differ by 1/2 unit in spin
    - Sfermions** (squark, selectron, smuon, ...): spin 0
    - gauginos** (chargino, neutralino, gluino,...): spin 1/2
- No SUSY particles found as yet:
  - SUSY must be broken: breaking mechanism determines phenomenology
  - More than 100 parameters even in “minimal” models!


# What's Nice about SUSY?

- Introduces **symmetry between bosons and fermions**
- **Unifications of forces possible**
  - SUSY changes running of couplings
- **Dark matter candidate exists:**
  - The lightest neutral gaugino
  - Consistent with cosmology data
- **No fine-tuning required**
  - Radiative corrections to Higgs acquire SUSY corrections
    - Cancellation of fermion and sfermion loops
- Also **consistent with precision measurements** of  $M_W$  and  $M_{top}$ 
  - But may change relationship between  $M_W$ ,  $M_{top}$  and  $M_H$




# SUSY Comes in Many Flavors

- Breaking mechanism determines phenomenology and search strategy at colliders
  - GMSB:
    - Gravitino is the LSP
    - Photon final states likely
  - **mSUGRA**
    - Neutralino is the LSP
    - Many different final states
    - Common scalar and gaugino masses
  - AMSB
  - Split-SUSY: sfermions very heavy
- R-parity
  - Conserved: Sparticles produced in pairs
    - Yields natural dark matter candidate
  - Not conserved: Sparticles can be produced singly
    - constrained by proton decay if violation in quark sector
    - Could explain neutrino oscillations if violation in lepton sector




# Mass Unification in mSUGRA



- Common masses at GUT scale:  $m_0$  and  $m_{1/2}$ 
  - Evolved via renormalization group equations to lower scales
  - Weakly coupling particles (sleptons, charginos, neutralinos) are lightest


# A Typical Sparticle Mass Spectrum






# Sparticle Cross Sections

100,000 events per fb<sup>-1</sup>




**LHC**



100 events per fb<sup>-1</sup>

# SUSY compared to Background






- Cross sections rather low
  - Else would have seen it already!
- Need to suppress background efficiently

# Strategy for SUSY Searches

- *Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model* (MSSM) has more than **100 parameters**
  - Impossible to scan full parameter space
  - Many constraints already from
    - Precision electroweak data
    - Lepton flavour violation
    - Baryon number violation
    - ...
- Makes no sense to choose random set
  - Use simplified **well motivated “benchmark” models**
    - Ease comparison between experiments
- Try to make **interpretation model independent**
  - E.g. not as function of GUT scale SUSY particle masses but versus EWK scale SUSY particle masses
  - Limits can be useful for other models

# Generic Squarks and Gluinos

- Squark and Gluino production:
  - Signature: jets and  $\cancel{E}_T$



Strong interaction => large production cross section



for  $M(g) \approx 300 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ :  
 1000 event produced/  $\text{fb}^{-1}$

for  $M(g) \approx 500 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ :  
 1 event produced/  $\text{fb}^{-1}$



# Signature depends on $\tilde{q}$ and $\tilde{g}$ Masses

■ Consider 3 cases:



1.  $m(\tilde{g}) < m(\tilde{q})$



2.  $m(\tilde{g}) \approx m(\tilde{q})$



3.  $m(\tilde{g}) > m(\tilde{q})$



Optimize for different signatures in different scenarios

# Selection and Procedure

## ■ Selection:



- Large missing  $E_T$ 
  - Due to neutralinos
- Large  $H_T$ 
  - $H_T = \sum E_T^{\text{jet}}$
- Large  $\Delta\phi$ 
  - Between missing  $E_T$  and jets and between jets
  - Suppress QCD dijet background due to jet mismeasurements
- Veto leptons:
  - Reject W/Z+jets, top

## ■ Procedure:




1. Define **signal cuts** based on background and signal MC studies
2. Select **control regions** that are sensitive to individual backgrounds
3. Keep **data “blind”** in signal region until data in control regions are understood
4. **Open the blind box!**

# Missing Energy can be caused by Problems

- Data spectrum contaminated by
  - Noise
  - Cosmic muons showering
  - Beam halo muons showering
- Needs “cleaning up”!
  - track matched to jet
  - electromagnetic energy fraction
  - Removal of hot cells
  - Topological cuts against beam-halo



# QCD Dijet Rejection Cut



- Cut on  $\Delta\phi(\text{jet}, E_T^{\text{miss}})$
- Used to suppress and to understand QCD multi-jet background
  - Extreme test of MC simulation





# W+jets, Z+jets and Top background

## ■ Background sources:

- W/Z+jets, top
- Suppressed by vetoes:
  - Events with jet with EM fraction > 90%
    - Rejects electrons
  - Events with isolated track
    - Rejects muons, taus and electrons


## ■ Define control regions:

- W/Z+jets, top
  - Make all selection cuts but invert lepton vetoes
- Gives confidence in those background estimates







# A Nice Candidate Event!



Squark Candidate:  $E_T=381$  GeV



# But there is no clear signal...




# Cross Section Limits




- No excess in data
  - Evaluate upper limit on cross section
  - Find out where it crosses with theory
- Theory has large uncertainty:  $\sim 30\%$ 
  - Crossing point with theory lower bound  $\sim$  represents limit on squark/gluino mass

# Squark and Gluino Mass Limits

- No evidence for excess of events:
  - Constraints on masses
    - $M(\tilde{g}) > 308$  GeV
    - $M(\tilde{q}) > 379$  GeV
- Represented in this plane:
  - Rather small model dependence as long as there is R-parity violation




# Exclusion of GUT scale parameters




- Nice interplay of hadron colliders and  $e^+e^-$  colliders:
  - Similar sensitivity to same high level theory parameters via very different analyses
  - Tevatron is starting to probe beyond LEP in mSUGRA type models

# SUSY at the LHC

- Cross section **much** higher, e.g.
  - for  $m(\tilde{g})=400$  GeV:  $\sigma_{\text{LHC}}(\tilde{g}\tilde{g})/\sigma_{\text{Tevatron}}(\tilde{g}\tilde{g})\approx 20,000$
  - for  $m(\tilde{q})=400$  GeV:  $\sigma_{\text{LHC}}(\tilde{g}\tilde{g})/\sigma_{\text{Tevatron}}(\tilde{g}\tilde{g})\approx 1,000$ 
    - Since there are a lot more gluons at the LHC (lower x)






- At higher masses more phase space to decay in cascades
  - Results in additional leptons or jets



# SUSY at the LHC



- Example:  $m(q) \sim 600$  GeV,  $m(g) \sim 700$  GeV
- Require 4 jets, large missing  $E_T$  and 0 or 1 lepton



- “Effective Mass” = sum of  $p_T$  of all objects
- Similar and great (!) sensitivity in both modes



# SUSY Discovery Reach






- With  $1 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ :
  - Sensitive to  $m(\tilde{g}) \lesssim 1000 \text{ GeV}/c^2$
- With  $10 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ :
  - Sensitive to  $m(\tilde{g}) \lesssim 1800 \text{ GeV}/c^2$
- Amazing potential!
  - If data can be understood
  - If current MC predictions are  $\approx \text{ok}$

Tevatron

# What kind of SUSY is it?



- We will need to do SUSY spectroscopy!
  - Rate of 0 vs 1 vs 2 vs n leptons
    - Sensitive to neutralino masses
  - Rate of tau-leptons:
    - Sensitive to  $\tan\beta$
  - Kinematic edges
    - obtain mass values
  - Detailed examination of inclusive spectra
  - .....



**That would be my dream scenario! It's where the real fun starts!!**




# If SUSY gets discovered at the LHC...



- Measure dark matter particle mass with  $\sim 5$  GeV precision?
  - Rather model-dependent... need to understand the model we are in!
- May need the ILC to really understand SUSY!

# High Mass Resonances



# Resonances or Tails

- New resonant structure:

- New gauge boson:

- $Z' \rightarrow ee, \mu\mu, \tau\tau, tt$
    - $W' \rightarrow e\nu, \mu\nu, \tau\nu, tb$

- *Randall-Sundrum* Graviton:

- $G \rightarrow ee, \mu\mu, \tau\tau, \gamma\gamma, WW, ZZ, \dots$


- Tail:

- Large extra dimensions [*Arkani-Hamed, Dvali, Dimopoulos (ADD)*]



- Many many many resonances close to each other:
    - “Kaluza-Klein-Tower”:  $ee, \mu\mu, \tau\tau, \gamma\gamma, WW, ZZ, \dots$

- Contact interaction

- Effective 4-point vertex
      - E.g. via t-channel exchange of very heavy particle
    - Like Fermi’s  $\beta$ -decay





diEM Mass Spectrum **DØ Run II Preliminary**





# Dilepton Selection


- Two high momentum leptons
  - irreducible background is Drell-Yan production
  - Other backgrounds:
    - Jets faking leptons: reject by making optimal lepton ID cuts
    - WW, diphoton, etc. very small
- Can search for
  - Dielectrons
  - Dimuons
  - Ditaus
  - Electron+muon
    - flavor changing
  - Dijets
  - .....



# Neutral Spin-1 Bosons: $Z'$





- 2 high  $P_T$  leptons:  $ee$ ,  $\mu\mu$  or  $e\mu$
- Data look like they agree well with background
  - Let's evaluate this more closely!






# How consistent are the data with the SM?



- Calculate probability of data vs SM prediction at each mass:
  - Mass window size adapted to mass resolution ( $\sim 3\%$ )
- At 330 GeV the probability is only 1%!
  - But this happens very often when scanning over a large mass range
    - $10^{-5}$  would correspond to  $3\sigma$  evidence

# Interpreting the Mass plots

- No evidence for any deviation from Standard Model => Set limits on new physics
  - Set limits on cross section x branching ratio
    - This is model independent, i.e. really what we measure
    - Any theorist can overlay their favorite curve
      - It remains valid independent of changes in theory
  - Can also set limits on  $Z'$  mass within certain models
    - This is model dependent
    - Nice though for comparing experiments, e.g. LEP vs Tevatron vs LHC




For SM couplings:

	$Z' \rightarrow ee$	$Z' \rightarrow \mu\mu$
limit	>923 GeV	>735 GeV

# Z' type particles should be easy at LHC!

- Signal creates clear peak
- Main background is well understood theoretically
- Applies to any narrow resonances decaying to
  - electrons, muons, photons
- Muons suffer from worsening resolution at high momentum



*F. Gianotti, M. Mangano*

$Z' \rightarrow ee, SSM$

Mass	Expected events for $10 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ (after all cuts)	$\int L dt$ needed for discovery (corresponds to 10 observed evts)
1 TeV	$\sim 1600$	$\sim 70 \text{ pb}^{-1}$
1.5 TeV	$\sim 300$	$\sim 300 \text{ pb}^{-1}$
2 TeV	$\sim 70$	$\sim 1.5 \text{ fb}^{-1}$

**Probe  $\sim 1 \text{ TeV}$  range already with  $100 \text{ pb}^{-1}$**

## Conclusions: Lecture IV

- Searches for Physics Beyond the Standard Model are extremely important
  - This can revolutionize our subject and solve many (or at least a few) questions
- I showed you two classic/important examples:
  - SUSY
    - Squarks and Gluinos
    - If it exists we will have lots of fun understanding what we've found
  - High mass resonances
- Not found any new physics (yet)
  - Tevatron ever improving and LHC coming soon!

**If Supersymmetry solves indeed current problems in our theory it will be found at the LHC**

# Overall Conclusions

- **Hadron colliders are powerful tools to understand Nature:**
  - Probing the **electroweak** and the **strong** sector of the Standard Model
  - Looking for the **unknown**
- **Tevatron**
  - has further established the Standard Model
- **We are entering a truly new regime with the LHC**
  - Probing distances of  $10^{-19}$  m aka the *Tera*-scale
  - amazing discovery potential for
    - the Higgs boson (if it exists) or something new
    - Supersymmetry or other new physics at  $\sim$ TeV masses

**Stay tuned ... in a few years we may have to  
rewrite the text books!**

**Finally...**  
**enjoy your stay here at CERN and**  
**all the best for your next steps!**

Email me any time: *Beate.Heinemann@cern.ch*