Status Report

Tokyo Institute of Technology

Masahiro Tanaka

21 October 2014





Table of contents

- Comparison of PGS and Delphes
- I tried to make $H \rightarrow cc$ sample but failed (MadGraph)
 - -I tried just a same way when I made H \rightarrow bb sample
 - Any other setups are needed?

Setups

- Setups of calorimeter resolution and coverage are same
- Jet reconstruction
 - kt algorithm, $\Delta R=0.9$
 - -minPT=5 GeV
 - PGS does not have this parameter for jet reconstrution, but trigger cluster finding seed threshold is 5 GeV
 - B-tag
 - | η |<3
 - b : 60%, c : 10%, light : 1%
 - -minPT=0 (may be 0 for PGS)
 - ΔR =0.7 (parameter only for Delphes, what about PGS?)

Number of jet and b-jet

- Comparison between PGS and Delphes
- For Njet, they are different in small Njet region
- For Nbjet, they are different in large Nbjet region
 - Due to ΔR in b-tag setup?(now $\Delta R=0.7$ for Delphes, but PGS?)



Jet and b-jet PT

• They are good agreement



Bjet PT



Missing ET and Total ET

- For missing ET, distributions of NCbkg are different
 - PGS has setup for smearing missing ET, but Delphes does not
- For missing ET, distributions of NCbkg are different



Number of Electron

 Since I do not change setup for electron reconstruction (default CMS), they are large difference between PGS and Delphes



Result

 Weights of PGS and Delphes background samples are almost same(~1) so I just calculate errors as square root of events



Summary

- Comparison of PGS and Delphes
- Setup of b-tag may be different (due to ΔR)
- Setup of electron reconstruction need to be change
- I want to make $H \rightarrow cc$ samples

Backup

Light jet n

- After basic cut
- Basically number of inputs are different



light jet η

Top and W mass

• After light jet η cut



