



Root-Based Analysis in ATLAS

Nils Krumnack (Iowa State University)



Introduction



- pre-run I: all analysis is to be done in Athena
 - Athena: official framework used for production and trigger
 - working with its own data format: AOD
 - root-only code only to be used for making plots
 - no corrections, calibrations, etc. in this step
 - big focus on uniformity, reusability and reproducibility
- then came collision data:
 - few people actually followed the official model
 - most dumped their data into a "flat" n-tuple instead
 - most analysis tools applied on n-tuples
- had to develop new framework as data came in:
 - no grand concept, address problems by priority
 - very limited manpower for development (I-3 FTEs)
 - needed to integrate with code users wrote so far



RootCore Build System



- first thing we needed was a build system:
 - every tool came with its own build system
 - some users spend two weeks(!) on getting all tools to compile
- first approach very simple:
 - each makefile included a Makefile-common
 - In turn included Makefile.arch from root package
 - extremely useful file
 - provided instant portability
 - some extra shell scripts for core functionality
- extremely crucial part:
 - Provided conversion for all common tools
 - open-ended offer to convert user packages as well
 - strong support to all users
- very successful: quickly became widely used, very positive feedback



RootCore Evolution



- RootCore evolved a lot since the early days
 - mostly driven by user requests
 - or to address recurring user problems
- supports package management
 - user provides text files with package lists
 - RootCore handles the SVN operations
- support for grid and batch submission
 - generates a self-contained directory with all packages
 - Includes scripts for setup on worker nodes
- initialization scripts for interactive root
 - Ioads all libraries and performs any special setting needed
- support for various external packages
 - downloads and compiles source for the user
 - makes them portable and easy to use



Data Formats



- early on ATLAS developed a "flat" n-tuple dumper for Athena:
 - i.e. one branch for each variable
 - data format called D3PD
 - works well for analysis, which often only reads a subset of data
- later on joined by a corresponding reader:
 - Performed read-on-access optimization
 - rebuild objects like electrons from individual variables
- greatly simplified/optimized reading D3PDs

EventLoop Job Management

- needed a job management system that:
 - Provided better job handling on the grid
 - made it easier for users to use PROOF
 - Illowed to switch easily between local, batch and grid running
- basic design is fairly standard:
 - user writes code as algorithms (similar to a TSelector)
 - Ifferent drivers handle running in different locations
- originally assumed this could be a backend for other frameworks:
 - users can easily use their own algorithm base class
 - submission/configuration easily wrappable as well
 - nobody ever used this flexibility
- successful with new users, but not for existing projects:
 - migration typically involves some code re-writes
 - Iegacy code often already does what users need

EventLoop:Algorithm Design

- EventLoop uses streaming algorithms:
 - user configures algorithm in his submission script
 - then gets streamed via root-i/o to the worker nodes
 - sidesteps any need for configuration by EL
- really regret this design decision:
 - causes majority of user problems with EL
 - many users have problems writing streamable objects
 - In root 5 many header files were not parseable
- had briefly flirted with using TSelector instead, but:
 - having own class provides greater flexibility
 - extended it repeatedly
 - harder to simplify PROOF usage if we use the same interface
 - allows syntactic similarity to Athena

Further EventLoop Design 🔊



- provides no data model or white board service:
 - most users use a single algorithm anyways
 - i.e. they don't need communication mechanisms
 - possible to plug in data model as extra algorithms
 - allowed EventLoop to remain unchanged as data model evolved
- instead of submission id, using a submission directory:
 - ▶ i.e. a unique directory for each job submission
 - holds output data, configuration and temporary data
 - allows drivers to store any extra data they need
 - no need for a central job database



EventLoop & PROOF



- PROOF-lite support fairly straightforward
- main issue: no way to run code on empty files
 - needed for in-file meta-data stored in each file
 - workarounds possible, but native support would be nice
- PROOF-farm support is a continual head-ache:
 - impossible to test without a PROOF farm
 - non-trivial to debug even with a PROOF farm
 - bad fit between ATLAS build system and PROOF setup system
- users do like the PROOF-farm though:
 - Provides strong performance improvements
- a less integrated system would probably work better:
 - i.e. EventLoop schedules and starts the jobs
 - within each job create a PROOF client object
 - ▶ ask the PROOF client object which event to process next



SampleHandler



- an ATLAS analysis may require over a hundred datasets
 - mostly different background samples
 - ▶ also need to track sample meta-data like luminosity
 - tedious to do manually for large number of datasets
- manages list of files and meta-data per dataset
 - can also pre-stage files as needed (rarely used feature)
 - can manage local and grid datasets
- contains various data discovery methods
 - typically written by expert when users request them
 - allows to organize the input data in various ways
- success is somewhat mixed:
 - Iots of people use the basic functionality for EventLoop
 - few people use (all) the advanced features that would help them
 - mostly because it is hard to communicate all that SH can do



SampleHandler II



- basic implementation very simple
 - nothing difficult about a file list
 - some extra features for pre-staging, remote access, etc.
- only snafu is meta-data:
 - Ideally want something like std::map<std::string,boost::any</p>
 - but root can't stream that
 - Instead use TList, wrapping everything into TObjects
 - seems to be a recurring problem in several tools
- main advantage is to have single format for per-dataset data
 - can be filled from various sources: text files, central database, etc.
 - decouples tools from input source



MultiDraw Plot Making



- in principle TTree::Draw is pretty nice:
 - it can be taught to anyone in minutes
 - ▶ its interface is very intuitive
 - it is highly optimized
- however: doesn't scale well
- MultiDraw solves that:
 - wraps TTreeFormula into an EventLoop algorithm, e.g.

new AlgHist (new TH1F (...), "el_n")

- can schedule as many algorithms as needed
- can run on any batch system via EventLoop
- can run in addition to any "regular" algorithm
- don't know how many people actually use it:
 - mostly because it just works...
 - People who do use it seem pretty happy with it though



Transition To Run 2



- the long shutdown gave us chance for reorganization:
 - harmonized tools between Athena and root-only analysis
 - addressed some general issues
- merged file formats between Athena and root-only analysis
 - common interface classes in both environments
 - greatly simplifies writing tools serving both
 - reduces waste/incompatibilities from multiple formats
- centrally provide precompiled analysis releases
 - contains all common packages typically needed
 - saves users the trouble of compiling them
 - allows greater central control over packages used
- required two major rewrites of RootCore:
 - first to support the releases themselves
 - ▶ then rewrite it in python for speed...



New Tool Interface



- provide a new base class for analysis tools:
 - ▶ i.e. tools that provide corrections, selections, etc.
 - derives from different classes based on environment
 - Illows the same implementation to work in both environments
- nifty new mechanism for systematics:
 - standard format/interface for systematic variations
 - allows multi-sigma variations
 - allows applying multiple systematics at once
- greatly simplifies systematics handling
 - can just loop over all tools to set the systematic
 - no tool specific code needed
 - correlations automatically handled
 - can happen before processing the event
 - allows simple loop of systematics per event



Analysis Frameworks



- during run I many groups provided analysis frameworks
- at their core they all do mostly the same
 - ▶ i.e. apply all the standard tools for the user
 - Provide collections of fully corrected good objects to the user
 - Provide an overall event weight to the user
 - evaluate all systematics in a standardized manner
- in general very useful:
 - simplifies life for the user
 - harmonizes work within groups
 - reduces potential for mistakes
- numerous problems as well:
 - If often hard to understand what they do exactly
 - differences can make collaboration across groups impossible
 - Inot every group had a framework, leaving some users stranded
 - Idoesn't always integrate with other ATLAS software



QuickAna Tool Scheduler



- QuickAna is an attempt at a common analysis framework
 runs all analysis tools for the user
 - runs all analysis tools for the user
 - Provides final analysis objects to the user
 - ▶ support for users from all high-p_T physics groups
 - implements object definitions from various groups
 - make use of existing ATLAS software where possible
- try hard to avoid "black box" complaints
 - all code that makes physics decisions is separated from the rest
 - written in simple and straightforward C++
 - following closely the actual physics logic
 - should be understandable without looking at infrastructure code



QuickAna Object Definitions



- tools are grouped into object definitions
 - ▶ e.g. all electron tools form the electron definition
- multiple possible configurations per object type
 - the user chooses object definitions by name
 - e.g. "tight" electrons, "loose" muons, etc.
 - user can also choose multiple object definitions at once
 - can store multiple selections on same object
 - typically no extra configuration of individual tools needed
- a number of advantages:
 - easy to teach to newcomers
 - configuration matches physics presentations more closely
 - insulates users from changes in tools
- introduces an additional layer to configuration
 - translates physics configuration to tool configuration



QuickAna Optimized Running



- systematics evaluation is fairly simple:
 - apply systematics setting
 - run analysis code
 - change systematics setting
 - repeat dozens of times per event
- simple, robust, and wasteful:
 - systematic setting typically affects just one tool
 - rerunning other tools wastes CPU
 - storing their output wastes disk space too
- for optimization all tools report:
 - their inputs, outputs, and directly affecting systematics
- only re-run a tool if the systematic affects it or its inputs
 - If or other tools use output from no-systematics evaluation
- saves about factor 2-3 in terms of CPU



Some Personal Lessons



- if you give users a choice between all or nothing sometimes they will choose nothing
- make life easy for newcomers
 - they outnumber the experts
 - experts can often handle the complications better
- support multiple ways of doing the same thing
 - hard to know which way is best beforehand
 - different users have different tastes/needs
- try to implement user feature requests
 - they typically know better than you what they need
 - but don't hesitate to do it your way
 - some of my best features were based on feature requests
- do implement features only 5% of users want:
 - ▶ a dozen such features is half your user base



Summary & Outlook



- during run I ATLAS developed a suite of analysis tools
 - mostly because users weren't using the main framework
 - forming a simple analysis frameworks by now
- used the long shut-down to reengineer our tools
 - made the two environments more similar
 - made it easier to switch between the two environments
- continuing to integrate the tools even more
 - aiming to have a single framework eventually
- trying to support work further down the analysis chain as well
 i.e. applying all the corrections, etc.
 - simplify standard tasks like plotting, data management, etc.