Gluon Fusion Group part 1: inclusive XS

Achilleas Lazopoulos 10th workshop of the HXSWG CERN, Thursday 16th of July

on behalf of the ggf task force:

S. Forte, D. Gillberg, C. Hays, AL, G. Petrucianni, G. Zanderighi

ETH ZURICH

Subgroup activity up to January meeting

Benchmarked re-summation contributions and different approximations to the N3LO inclusive cross section within the EFT approach. Submitted a review of the benchmark process to the steering committee.



$N^{3}LO/NNLO k$ -Factor



note k factor computed wr to NNLO at respective scale UNCERTAINTIES (ARROWS)

from S. Forte's talk at the 9th workshop, Jan '15

Subgroup activities

Inclusive cross-section

- N3LO results [Anastasiou, Duhr, Dulat, Herzog, Mistlberger]: the N3LO scale uncertainty is ~2-3%.
- Sub-leading sources of uncertainties become more important.
- Meeting within Les Houches on residual uncertainties.

Differential distributions

 Benchmark exercise on Higgs pT and Jet bins, see talk by Giovanni

Not processed torce by the ggt task torce NOT task torce

within the Effective Field Theory approach $(m_t
ightarrow \infty)$



Good news: the residual scale uncertainty is 2-3%.

Q:ls the scale uncertainty capturing the effect of neglecting higher orders??

arxiv: 1503.06056: Anastasiou, Duhr, Dulat, Herzog, Mistlberger

Not processed by the ggt task force NOT processed NOT proc

within the Effective Field Theory approach $(m_t
ightarrow \infty)$



Good news: perturbative series converges well (at least for central scale $\mu = m_H/2$).

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{C}{4} H G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{a\mu\nu}$$

Not process torce by the gost task N3LO inclusive XS

within the Effective Field Theory approach $(m_t
ightarrow \infty)$



arxiv: 1503.06056: Anastasiou, Duhr, Dulat, Herzog, Mistlberger

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{C}{4} H G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{a\mu\nu}$$

- The formally sub-leading log(1-z) coefficients are calculated as an expansion around threshold (z=1), to 36 terms.
- The slight increase is due to the small-z region and it is numerically insignificant (due to luminosity suppression).
- Numerical comparisons of the contributions of the leading logs (5,4,3) where full expressions are known corroborate this.
- Also happening at NNLO (where it also has no numerical impact to the cross-section).

Residual Uncertainties

PDFs and a_s

- New PDF4LHC recommendation (see talk by S. Forte on Friday)
- PDF uncertainty disentangled from a_s
- Almost perfect agreement among the PDF fits included: pure PDF uncertainty expected to drop to ~2%.
- a_s uncertainty: dominant (~2-4%?) but hard to quantify
- Global issue that concerns the whole HXSWG (see talk by M. Grazzini and R. Harlander)

Les Houches meeurs Residual Uncertainties

EW corrections: only known exactly to LO in QCD $O(a_{ew}a_s^2)$

However, the QCD corrections to that are expected to be large

- Mixed QCD/EW corrections: known only for light quarks, as an expansion over m_H/m_W [Anastasiou, Petriello, Boughezal]
- Complete factorization: assumes EW K-factor same as LO: 5-6%
- Partial factorization: ignores EW corrections beyond LO in QCD: 1-2%
- EFT approach with light quark EW graphs only: assumes light quarks dominate (as in LO) and that the weak wilson coefficient doesn't change too much while crossing the (single) W threshold: 5-6%

Les Houches meeurs Residual Uncertainties

Other uncertainties:

- Top mass effects at NNLO: below 1% [Harlander et al], [Steinhauser et al.]
- Top-bottom interference at NNLO: **UNKNOWN**
- Mass scheme dependence (OS vs MSbar)
- Parametric uncertainties: <1% if masses varied within PDG uncertainties.

Future plans

- The N3LO contributions will be published soon, along with a phenomenological study of most of the effects discussed here, and an updated version of ihixs.
- The ggf task force will then process this information, and in conjunction with the WG1 decisions on PDF and input parameters, will proceed towards a new proposal for a HXSWG recommendation on the inclusive cross-section (hopefully on time for the upcoming YR).