Recent Supersymmetry Results from



Zoltan Gecse



a place of mind THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

LHC Seminar, November 25, 2014

Why SUSY?

- Theoretically and experimentally motivated
 - Extends Poincare space-time symmetry
 - Natural grand unified theory
 - Can incorporate gravity
 - Solves hierarchy problem
 - can provide candidate for cold DM (if a new parity, R-parity is conserved)
- MSSM developed in the early '80 and starting point for searches ever since
 - More than 100 soft SUSY breaking parameters



- Complete SUSY Models:
 - mSUGRA, AMSB , GMSB
- Phenomenological Models:
 - pMSSM: 19 parameters, GGM (gravitino)
- Simplified Models:
 - physical masses of SUSY particles
 - fixed branching fractions, pure states

Production of SUSY Particles at the LHC



Phenomenology of SUSY

 $P_R = (-1)^{2s+3B+L}$ R-parity conserved (RPC) SUSY particles created in pairs Lightest SUSY particle (LSP) is stable, DM candidate W/Z/hExpect large ETmiss from escaping LSP R-parity violated (RPV) RPC pair-production, but decaying LSP $\tilde{\nu}_{\tau}$ RPV production of a single SUSY particle Loss of ETmiss, but large object multiplicity and resonances Lifetime Decay Length Long Lived (LL) particles (in both RPC and RPV) displace -0.1-1mm R-hadrons from meta-stable gluinos, decaying via very heavy squarks disappearing ~10cm Compressed spectra Meta-stable (N)LSP due to small (Gravitino)RPV coupling ~ stable slow (B<1 O(1-10m)

Zoltan Gecse (UBC)

Organization of SUSY Searches in ATLAS

- Searches focus on specific processes rather than final state signatures
 - Higher discovery potential!

	Long- Lived				
R-Pa	arity-Conser	ving	R-Parity Violation		
Strong 1 ^{st,} 2 nd gen. squarks, gluinos	3 rd gen. stop, sbottom	Weak EWK- inos, sleptons	RPC prod. RPV decays	RPV prod. RPV decays	Various ranges of lifetime

Outline: Updates Since SUSY 2014



• Summary and Outlook

General Analysis Strategy

- Select Signal Regions using discriminating variables
 - ETmiss mostly from escaping LSP, to suppressing backgrounds with mismeasured jets also ETmiss significance = ETmiss/ $\sqrt{\sum_{jet}}$ PT
 - related to the sparticle mass scale, e.g meff = ETmiss + $\sum_{all objects} pT$
 - mT and mT2, stranverse mass (generalization of the transverse mass) used to suppress backgrounds with Ws, typically in searches for stops and electroweakinos
 - mCT, razor, ...
- Analyses are usually based on many signal region (SR) bins
- Fake instrumental backgrounds with data-driven methods (matrix, ABCD)
- Remaining backgrounds from MC, usually normalized in Control Regions (CR) in a simultaneous fit in all CR (and SR for limits)
- Signal Regions blind until modeling validated in Validation Regions (VR)



Searches for Pair Production of Gluinos



Searches for Gluinos decaying via Virtual Squarks

- Scenarios with gluinos decaying via off-mass shell light-flavor squarks are probed by search using events with 0 leptons, 2-6+ jets, large Meff (up to >1.7TeV) and ETmiss significance
- Scenarios with gluinos decaying via off-mass shell stops lead to rich phenomenology with 4 b-jets and 4Ws in the final state
 - Achieved sensitivity to large mass and compressed spectra using events with 0-1L, 3b-jets; 2SS/3L, b-jets; 0L, 7-10+ jets



Zoltan Gecse (UBC)

Searches for Gluinos decaying via Charginos and Sleptons

- New
 - Gluinos can undergo long decay chain through charginos and sleptons leading to final states with leptons
 - Four searches targeting
 - compressed scenarios (lepton pT in 6-7 to 25 GeV range, "soft") using ETmiss trigger
 - scenarios with medium to large mass splittings (lepton pT >25 GeV, "hard")
 - scenarios with long decay chains (di-lepton, pT >14/10 GeV range, "hard-dilepton")
 - Signal to background discrimination based on:
 - number of jets, ETmiss, Meff, ETmiss/Meff, MT in hard/soft searches
 - number of jets, topological information ("razor") instead of ETmiss in di-lepton search

"Mega-jets" from all visible objects on each side of the di-sparticle decay

$$M_{R}' = \sqrt{(j_{1,E} + j_{2,E})^{2} - (j_{1,p_{L}} + j_{2,p_{L}})^{2}}$$
$$M_{T}^{R} = \sqrt{\frac{|\vec{E}_{T}|(|\vec{j}_{1,p_{T}}| + |\vec{j}_{2,p_{T}}|) - \vec{E}_{T} \cdot (\vec{j}_{1,p_{T}} + \vec{j}_{2,p_{T}})^{2}}{2}}$$



Searches for Gluinos decaying via Charginos and Sleptons

New

- For each search, a number of signal regions for discovery and for exclusions are optimized
- The dominant tt and W+jets or Z+jets backgrounds are estimated using a semi data-driven approach
- MC is fit to data in the Top-dominated and W- or Z-dominated control regions
- These background contributions are extrapolated to the signal regions using MC
- The extrapolation is carried out in a simultaneous fit accounting for potential signal contamination in the control regions and for correlation of systematic uncertainties
- The extrapolation to the signal region is cross-checked in validation regions kinematically close to the signal regions but orthogonal to both signal and control regions.
- Observations are in agreement with SM expectations



Zoltan Gecse (UBC)

Searches for Gluinos decaying via Charginos and Sleptons



Gluino mass > 1.2TeV for massless LSP, independently of decay modes

Search for Pair Production of 1/2 Generation Squarks



Search for Pair Production of Light-flavor Squarks



- The cross-section for 1st and 2nd generation squarks benefits from
 8-fold degeneracy (u, d, c, s) x (left, right)
 - comparable to the gluino pair production cross-section
- Searches using events with 0 leptons, 2-6+ jets



Searches for Light-flavor Squarks in Compressed Scenarios

New

- In scenarios with compressed mass spectrum, the outgoing SM quarks are too soft to be detected
 - recovered sensitivity with ISR-like approach
 - jet veto (allow up to one jet with pT>30 GeV) and lepton veto



- Search is based on events with one energetic photon and mild ETmiss:
 - ETmiss trigger, ETmiss > 150GeV
 - One central photon pT > I25GeV
- Wγ (~15%) and Zγ (~70%) normalized in lepton enriched CRs
 - leptons treated as invisible in the ETmiss calculation





Searches for Light-flavor Squarks in Compressed Scenarios



Searches for Light-flavor Squarks decaying via Charginos



Search for Charm Squark, Motivations

- Non-degenerate light flavor squarks are well motivated
- arXiv:1212.3328
- degenerate squarks not necessary to solve the SUSY flavor problem, SUSY alignment models avoid bounds from CP violating processes
- Limits on a single light-flavor squark are greatly reduced but can be improved if the flavor is charm
- Important for discovery and also probes the flavor structure of the underlying theory
- New search for charm squark at LHC!

Signal and background discrimination based on c-tagging

- Neural Network dedicated to c-jet identification based on impact parameter and secondary vertex information
- c-jet tagging efficiency and its uncertainty have been calibrated in inclusive jet events over a range of pT using jets with D*





New

Search for Charm Squark

New

- Search is carried out in events with:
 - ETmiss>150GeV
 - jetl pT > 130 GeV, jet2 pT > 100 GeV
 - leading 2 jets c-tagged
 - ETmiss / (ETmiss + pTI + pT2) > 0.25
 - mcc > 200 GeV reduces g→cc
 - mCT > 150, 200, 250 GeV reduces tt
- Dominant backgrounds are normalized in CRs containing events with 2 c-tagged jets:
 - Z(vv)+jets: two e⁺e⁻/ $\mu^+\mu^-$ leptons in Z window
 - top: two $e^+ \mu^-/\mu^+e^-$ leptons
 - W+jets: I lepton, mT in W window

ATLAS-CONF-2014-063



Search for Charm Squark, Results

New

 Dominant uncertainties originate from the limited number of events in the CRs ~20%, jet tagging and mis-tagging ~20%, jet energy scale ~10%

m _{CT} (GeV)	>150	>200	>250
Тор	7.4 ± 2.7 (7.1)	3.9 ± 1.6 (3.7)	1.6 ± 0.7 (1.5)
Z+jets	14 ± 3 (13)	7.7 ± 1.7 (7.0)	4.3 ± 1.2 (3.9)
W+jets	7.2 ± 4.5 (7.4)	4.1 ± 2.6 (4.2)	1.9 ± 1.2 (1.9)
Multijets	0.3 ± 0.3	0.2 ± 0.2	0.05 ± 0.05
Others	0.5 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.3
Total	30 ± 6	16 ± 3	8.2 ± 1.9
Data	19	11	4



Overlaying observed limit from the monojet-SR,

combining with c-tagged SR of the $\ { ilde t} o c { ilde \chi}_1^0$ search

Limit on charm squark mass ~ 540 GeV , for massless LSP improves significantly on single light flavor squark limit improved sensitivity for heavier LSP

ATLAS-CONF-2014-063

Zoltan Gecse (UBC)

Sensitivity to Gluinos and Squarks



Search for Pair Production of Stops and Sbottoms



Summary of Stop Searches (No Chargino in Decays)



Measurement of Spin Correlation in tt Events

- The measurement of spin correlation A between the t-tbar pair is carried out in events with 2 leptons
 - standard top di-lepton selection applied
- The strength is determined from the angular distributions of the top's decay particles, $\Delta \phi(l+, l-)$, in the lab frame
- Orientation of the top spin of top quarks produced in pairs is sensitive to pairs of stops
- The first measurement of spin correlation in t-tbar pairs at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV is re-interpreted as a search for stop pair production in a region of parameter space mostly unexplored
 - stop mass ~ top mass
 - stop into RH-top quarks and a light neutralino

 $A = \frac{N_{\uparrow\uparrow} + N_{\downarrow\downarrow} - N_{\uparrow\downarrow} - N_{\downarrow\uparrow}}{N_{\uparrow\uparrow} + N_{\downarrow\downarrow} + N_{\uparrow\downarrow} + N_{\downarrow\uparrow}}$



Measurement of Spin Correlation in tt Events

- Two templates, with and without spin correlation, are constructed and fitted to data.
 - Backgrounds modeled from MC
- $A = 0.38 \pm 0.04$, in agreement with the Standard Model prediction (in helicity basis) $A_{\text{helicity}}^{\text{SM}} = 0.318 \pm 0.005$

Source of uncertainty	Δf_{SM}
Detector modeling	
Lepton reconstruction	±0.01
Jet energy scale	±0.02
Jet reconstruction	±0.01
$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$	< 0.01
Fake leptons	< 0.01
b-tagging	< 0.01
Signal and background modeling	
Renormalization/factorization scale	±0.05
MC generator	±0.03
Parton shower and fragmentation	±0.06
ISR/FSR	±0.06
Underlying event	±0.04
Color Reconnection	±0.01
PDF Uncertainty	±0.05
Background	±0.01
MC statistics	±0.04
Total systematic uncertainty	±0.13
Data statistics	±0.05

Stop mass below 191 GeV are excluded for mLSP=1GeV

Process	Yield
tī	54000 ⁺³⁴⁰⁰ -3600
Z/γ^* +jets	2800 ± 300
tV (single top)	2600 ± 180
$t\bar{t}V$	80 ± 11
WW, WZ, ZZ	180 ± 65
Fake Leptons	780 ± 780
Total non-tī	6400 ± 860
Expected (E)	60000^{+3500}_{-3700}
Observed (O)	60424
$\tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1$	7100 ± 1100
$(m_{\tilde{t}_1} = 180 \text{ GeV}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 1 \text{ GeV})$	



ATLAS-CONF-2014-056

Searches for Electroweak Production



Zoltan Gecse (UBC)

Search for Electroweakinos

Challenging searches due to very small production cross-section and significant irreducible background from diboson but highly motivated as electroweakinos expected to be light



Electroweakino mass > 700 GeV in models with light sleptons Less stringent constraint at ~400 GeV when only staus are light

Zoltan Gecse (UBC)

Search for Electroweakinos

Challenging searches due to very small production cross-section and significant irreducible background from diboson but highly motivated as electroweakinos expected to be light



Electroweakino mass > 400 GeV in models with decay via gauge bosons (heavy sleptons)

Higgs Bosons as Probes for EWK SUSY: ILbb channel



New

- Search based on events with IL and two b-tagged jets
- Signal from background discrimination based on ETmiss, mT, and mCT observables and by binning in mbb
- Dominant backgrounds are tt and W+j determined from simultaneous fit of MC based prediction to data in dedicated control and signal region sidebands
- All systematic uncertainties are accounted for with nuisance parameters constrained within their uncertainties



	$SR\ell bb-1$ 105 < m	$\mathrm{SR}\ell bb-2$ $a_{bb} < 135$	$\frac{\mathrm{SR}\ell bb-1}{m_{bb}}$ sid	SR <i>ℓbb</i> -2 lebands	CRℓbb-T	CRℓbb-W	VRℓbb-1	VRℓbb-2
Observed events SM expectation	$\begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 6.0 \pm 1.3 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 2.8 \pm 0.8 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 14 \\ 13.1 \pm 2.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10 \\ 8.9 \pm 1.7 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 625 \\ 642 \pm 25 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1547 \\ 1560 \pm 40 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 885 \\ 880 \pm 90 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 235 \\ 245 \pm 17 \end{array}$
$t\bar{t}$ W + jets Single top Other	$\begin{array}{c} 3.8 \pm 1.2 \\ 0.6 \pm 0.3 \\ 1.3 \pm 0.4 \\ 0.3 \pm 0.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.4 \pm 0.7 \\ 0.2 \pm 0.1 \\ 0.7 \pm 0.4 \\ 0.5 \pm 0.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8.0 \pm 2.4 \\ 2.7 \pm 0.5 \\ 1.9 \pm 0.6 \\ 0.5 \pm 0.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.1 \pm 1.4 \\ 1.7 \pm 0.3 \\ 2.5 \pm 1.1 \\ 1.5 \pm 0.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ccc} 607\pm25 \\ 11\pm&2 \\ 20\pm&4 \\ 4\pm&1 \end{array}$	$680 \pm 60 \\ 690 \pm 60 \\ 111 \pm 14 \\ 76 \pm 8$	$\begin{array}{r} 690\pm 90\\ 99\pm 12\\ 80\pm 10\\ 16\pm \ 2\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 141\pm 18 \\ 62\pm \ 8 \\ 27\pm \ 4 \\ 15\pm \ 1 \end{array}$

Dominant uncertainty tt modeling ~25%

Zoltan Gecse (UBC)

ATLAS-CONF-2014-062

Higgs Bosons as Probes for EWK SUSY: ILVV channel



New

- Search based on events with IL and two photons
 - Primary vertex selection is based on a neural network algorithm based on tracks associated to each vertex and the direction of flight of the photons

Signal from background discrimination based on ETmiss, mT(WVi), and $\Delta \phi(W, h)$ $m_T^{W\gamma_i} = \sqrt{(m_T^W)^2 + 2E_T^W E_T^{\gamma_i} - 2\vec{p}_T^W \cdot \vec{p}_T^{\gamma_i}}$

- myy distribution of non-Higgs backgrounds is modeled with an exponential function
- Higgs backgrounds are modeled with Crystal Ball function obtained from fit to MC samples
- Results of the background estimate are obtained from the fit to the sidebands only
- Dominant uncertainties are statistical from the mass sidebands

ATLAS-CONF-2014-062

Zoltan Gecse (UBC)



 0.14 ± 0.01

 $t\bar{t}h$



 0.02 ± 0.01

 $VR\ell\gamma\gamma-1$

 30.2 ± 2.3

 29.2 ± 2.3

 0.71 ± 0.02

 0.14 ± 0.02

 0.11 ± 0.01

30

 $VR\ell\gamma\gamma-2$

 20.4 ± 1.9

 19.8 ± 1.9

 0.29 ± 0.01

 0.05 ± 0.01

 0.25 ± 0.01

26

Higgs Bosons as Probes for EWK SUSY: 2 SS channel



New

- Search based on events with 2 same-sign (SS) leptons
 - I to 3 central jets, no b-tag with 80% working point, no forward jets
 - Signal and background discrimination based on ETmissRel, meff, mTmax, mlj mljj
- The dominant irreducible background (WZ, ZZ) is determined from MC
- Non-prompt component estimated from data with Matrix Method
- Charge-flip contribution estimated from MC applying charge-flip probability obtained from data
- Background modeling is validated in VRs



	10	
m _{lii}	[Ge	V
	100	

	SRee-1	SRee-2	$SR\mu\mu$ -1	$\mathrm{SR}\mu\mu$ -2	$\mathrm{SR}e\mu\text{-}1$	$\mathrm{SR}e\mu\text{-}2$
Observed events SM expectation	$\begin{array}{c}2\\6.0\pm1.2\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}1\\2.8\pm0.8\end{array}$	$\begin{matrix} 6\\ 3.8 \ \pm 0.9 \end{matrix}$	$\begin{array}{c}4\\2.6 \hspace{0.1cm}\pm\hspace{0.1cm}1.1\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}8\\7.0 \hspace{0.2cm}\pm\hspace{0.2cm}1.3\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}4\\1.9 \hspace{0.2cm}\pm\hspace{0.2cm} 0.7\end{array}$
Non-prompt WZ, ZZ WW Other	$\begin{array}{r} 3.4 \ \pm 1.0 \\ 2.2 \ \pm 0.6 \\ 0.33 \pm 0.31 \\ 0.13 \pm 0.13 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 1.6 \ \pm 0.5 \\ 0.7 \ \pm 0.4 \\ 0.22 \pm 0.23 \\ 0.31 \pm 0.31 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \pm 0.20 \\ 3.4 \ \pm 0.8 \\ 0.24 \pm 0.29 \\ 0.14 \pm 0.14 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrr} 0.3 & \pm 0.4 \\ 1.8 & \pm 0.9 \\ 0.4 & \pm 0.5 \\ 0.06 \pm 0.06 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrr} 3.0 & \pm 0.9 \\ 3.3 & \pm 0.8 \\ 0.4 & \pm 0.4 \\ 0.19 \pm 0.17 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.48 \pm 0.28 \\ 1.1 \ \pm 0.5 \\ 0.23 \pm 0.26 \\ 0.09 \pm 0.08 \end{array}$

Zoltan Gecse (UBC)

ATLAS-CONF-2014-062

Higgs Bosons as Probes for EWK SUSY

New



• For chargino mass > 170 1L+bb channel dominates

ATLAS-CONF-2014-062

• IL+bb sensitivity varies slowly due to decreasing XS and increasing acceptance

Electroweakino mass > 250 GeV , for massless LSP in models with higgs in decay

Long Lived SUSY

- R-parity violating scenarios with lifetime in [0.1-1] ns
 - long lived neutralinos due to small RPV couplings

- R-parity conserving scenarios with lifetime
 > 0.1 ns
 - compressed scenarios, small mass gap between EWK-inos (AMSB like)
 - meta-stable and long lived gluinos due to heavy squarks (R-hadrons)
 - Long lived sleptons/neutralinos due to small coupling to gravitino





Zoltan Gecse (UBC)

Heavy Long Lived Particles

- Heavy long lived particles are predicted by Split SUSY, GMSB, LeptoSUSY,
- Final states containing long-lived sleptons, gluinos, squarks, charginos
- Heavy candidates move measurably slower than speed of light
- Mass $m=p/\beta\gamma$ used as discriminating observable

New

Dedicated timing calibration and MC smearing using $Z \rightarrow \mu \mu$ events



Heavy Long Lived Particles

- New
 - Events selected by ETmiss and muon triggers
 - Track pT and quality cuts
 - Z-veto, cosmic veto, isolation requirements
 - Signal regions with 1/2 candidates and β , $\beta\gamma$, mass cuts
 - Background estimation
 - dominant background from high pT mis-measured muons
 - data-driven estimate based on random pairing of p and β
 - Systematic uncertainties
 - Trigger efficiency of signal
 - β calibration
 - Initial state radiation for R-hadrons signal
 - ETmiss for charginos signal





Heavy Long Lived Particles, Results



Zoltan Gecse

Meta-stable Gluinos

- Promptly produced gluinos have been excluded up to m ~ 1.3 TeV
- Split SUSY models predict a metastable gluino
 - gluinos hadronize into color-less R-hadrons
 - gluinos decay into quark pairs (including top) or gluons, and neutralinos
- The canonical search for prompt gluinos in events with 0 leptons provides some sensitivity to metastable gluinos but dedicated search is essential for lifetime > 100 ns
 - drop in sensitivity due to gluinos decaying outside the calorimeter or inefficiency of jet requirements, e.g. charged or EM fractions



ATLAS-CONF-2014-037

- Gauge Mediated SUSY Breaking with mass splitting scale F predicts long lived neutralinos decaying into a gravitino and a photon
 - non-prompt decay for large F
 - If decay time > 0.5 ns , decay can occur after first layer of calorimeter
- The non-zero decay angle between long-lived neutralino and photon results in an EM shower that does not point back to the PV
 - The extra path length also results in the photon arriving delayed compared to a photon from the PV
- The neutralino mass increases with SUSY breaking scale $\Lambda \Rightarrow$

 β distribution shifts to lower values

$$\Gamma(\widetilde{X} \to X \widetilde{G}) = rac{\kappa m_{\widetilde{X}}^5}{16\pi F^2} (1 - rac{m_X^2}{m_{\widetilde{X}}^2})$$



New



- New
- If long-lived neutralinos produced in SUSY decays, events contain two non-pointing photons, and large ETmiss from gravitinos
- The signal from background discrimination is based on photon pointing and timing information
- LAr Calorimeter has excellent timing resolution
 - Timing measurement is obtained from cell with the maximum energy
 - The resolution is determined to be 300 ps, with 220 ps from the spread of colliding bunches
- Calorimeter pointing resolution estimates the error on the ΔZ_Y measurement using calorimeter shower
- Signal region is defined by ETmiss > 75 GeV
- CRs: 20GeV < ETmiss < 75 GeV





• The signal regions are defined based on ΔZ_{γ}

New

- In each signal region, arrival time (t_Y) templates are fitted to data
 - Background templates obtained from ETmiss < 20GeV data
 - Fitting boundaries optimized over signal grid
 - Different boundaries required for neutralino with small and large lifetimes



Integrated luminosity	± 2.8
Trigger efficiency	± 2
Photon $E_{\rm T}$ scale/resolution	± 1
Photon identification and isolation	± 1.5
Non-pointing photon identification	± 4
$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ reconstruction	± 1.1
Signal MC statistics	\pm (0.8–3.6)
Signal reweighting	\pm (0.5–5)
Signal PDF and scale uncertainties	\pm (9–14)
$ATLA$ $ATLA$ $ATLA$ $ATLA$ $A = 160 \text{ TeV } \tau = 0.25 \text{ ns}$ $A = 160 \text{ TeV } \tau = 1 \text{ ns}$ $A = 160 \text{ TeV } \tau = 2.5 \text{ ns}$ $A = 160 \text{ TeV } \tau = 2.5 \text{ ns}$	IS V 20.3 fb ⁻¹

Value [%]

Source of uncertainty







Summary and Outlook

- ATLAS developed a vast program including searches for strongly- and weakly-produced sparticles under the assumption of both R-parity conservation (and R-parity violation)
 - scenarios with prompt, metastable, and long lived sparticles are explored
 - interpretation of search results is done in the context of simplified, phenomenological, and complete models
- In canonical scenarios, sensitivity is achieved to ~1.2 TeV gluinos, ~700 GeV stops, and of ~400 GeV EWK-inos
 - significant improvement of sensitivity to compressed and challenging scenarios thanks to innovative experimental techniques
- The reach for strongly produced SUSY is expected to increase significantly at Run 2
- The HL-LHC will allow to ultimately probe the TeV scale and Natural SUSY



SUSY at Run 3 and HL-LHC

• 14 TeV allows to probe heavier SUSY and 300/3000 fb⁻¹ crucial for EWK processes



Discovery potential up to 2.5 TeV gluinos, 1.3 TeV squarks/sbottom 800 GeV Electroweakinos, covering 'natural range'

ATL-PHYS-PUB-2014-010

Search for Pair Production of Sbottoms

- 2b + ETmiss
- **Bins in MCT**

- 2L(SS) + b + ETmiss + Meff
- 3L + ETmiss + Meff

- 0L + 3b + ETmiss + Meff
- Sensitivity limited by ETmiss in compressed region



Searches for Pair Production of Stops

• Key to naturalness but challenging!

- The cross section is suppressed, 10pb to 1fb from 200 to 900 GeV stops
- The sensitivity is highly dependent on the decay mode, the mass hierarchy of sparticles participating in the decay (and to some extent on the stop "handiness")





Search for Stop decaying into Charm and LSP

 Search based on requesting one c-tagged jet or Initial State Radiation jet to boost the system

signal region requesting one charm-tagged jet



mono-jet 'like' SR targeting very compressed scenarios

 $\rightarrow b \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm(*)}$

m(t)

 $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})$

 $m(\tilde{t}_1) < m(\tilde{\chi}_0)$ forbidden

m(W + b)

 $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}_1^0$

 $m(\tilde{t}_1)$

 $\rightarrow bW^{(*)}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$



Scenarios with Light Sleptons or Light Staus

 The search for light-flavor sleptons is based on events with two leptons, ETmiss, large ETmissRel, MT2 and the one for staus relies on hadronic taus, ETmiss and MT2



Probing light sleptons beyond LEP at LHC First sensitivity to pair produced staus at hadron collider

JHEP 05 (2014) 071 JHEP 10 (2014) 096

SUSY with R-parity Violation

Violation of R-parity allowed as long as either the lepton or the baryon number is conserved



49

R-parity Violation



Enhanced sensitivity to charginos

Phys. Rev. D. 90, 052001 (2014)

Grand Summary of SUSY at ATLAS

ATLAS SUSY Searches* - 95% CL Lower Limits

Status: ICHEP 2014

Inclusive Searches

3rd gen.

3rd gen. squarks

₹.

Long-lived

RPV

Other

ta	tus: ICHEP 2014								$\sqrt{s} = 7, 8 \text{ TeV}$
	Model	e, μ, τ, γ	Jets	$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$	∫£ dt[fb	-1]	Mass limit		Reference
	MSUGRA/CMSSM MSUGRA/CMSSM MSUGRA/CMSSM $\bar{q}\bar{q}, \bar{q} \rightarrow q \bar{k}_{1}^{0}$ $\bar{g}\bar{s}, \bar{g} \rightarrow q \bar{q} \bar{k}_{1}^{0}$ $\bar{g}\bar{s}, \bar{g} \rightarrow q \bar{q} \bar{k}_{1}^{0}$ $\bar{g}\bar{g}, \bar{g} \rightarrow q \bar{q} (\ell \ell / \ell v / v v) \bar{k}_{1}^{0}$ GMSB (ℓ NLSP) GMSB (ℓ NLSP) GGM (bino NLSP) GGM (bino NLSP) GGM (higgsino-bino NLSP) GGM (higgsino NLSP) GGM (higgsino NLSP)	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 e, \mu \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 e, \mu \\ 2 e, \mu \\ 2 e, \mu \\ 2 e, \mu \\ 1 \cdot 2 \tau + 0 \cdot 1 \ell \\ 2 \gamma \\ 1 e, \mu + \gamma \\ \gamma \\ 2 e, \mu (Z) \\ 0 \end{array}$	2-6 jets 3-6 jets 2-6 jets 2-6 jets 3-6 jets 0-3 jets - 1 b 0-3 jets mono-jet	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3	\$\vec{x}\$.\$\vec{z}\$ \$\vec{x}\$ \$\vec	1.2 Te 1.1 TeV 850 GeV 1.33 1.18 Te ¹ 1.12 TeV 1.24 Te 1.24 Te 1.24 Te 1.28 Te 619 GeV 900 GeV 690 GeV 645 GeV	$\begin{array}{c ccccc} \textbf{1.7 TeV} & m(\tilde{q}) = m(\tilde{g}) \\ \textbf{V} & any m(\tilde{q}) \\ & any m(\tilde{q}) \\ & m(\tilde{k}_1^0) = 0 \ \text{GeV}, m(1^u \ \text{gen}, \tilde{q}) = m(2^{nd} \ \text{gen}, \tilde{q}) \\ \textbf{TeV} & m(\tilde{k}_1^0) = 0 \ \text{GeV} \\ \textbf{V} & tan\beta < 15 \\ \textbf{1.6 TeV} & tan\beta > 20 \\ \textbf{TeV} & m(\tilde{k}_1^0) > 50 \ \text{GeV} \\ & m(\tilde{k}_1^0) > 10^{-4} \ \text{eV} \\ \end{array}$	1405.7875 ATLAS-CONF-2013-062 1308.1841 1405.7875 ATLAS-CONF-2013-062 ATLAS-CONF-2013-062 ATLAS-CONF-2013-089 1208.4688 1407.0603 ATLAS-CONF-2014-001 ATLAS-CONF-2012-144 1211.1167 ATLAS-CONF-2012-152 ATLAS-CONF-2012-152
	$\bar{s} \rightarrow b \bar{b} \bar{s}_{1}^{0}$ $\bar{s} \rightarrow t \bar{t} \bar{t}_{1}^{0}$ $\bar{s} \rightarrow t \bar{t} \bar{t}_{1}^{+}$ $\bar{s} \rightarrow b \bar{t} \bar{t}_{1}^{+}$	0 0 0-1 e, μ 0-1 e, μ	3 b 7-10 jets 3 b 3 b	Yes Yes Yes	20.1 20.3 20.1 20.1	Ř Ř Ř Ř	1.25 T 1.1 TeV 1.34 1.31	eV m(ξ_1^0)<400 GeV m(ξ_1^0)<350 GeV TeV m(ξ_1^0)<400 GeV TeV m(ξ_1^0)<400 GeV	1407.0600 1308.1841 1407.0600 1407.0600
trainana di traina	$\begin{array}{l} \tilde{b}_{1}\tilde{b}_{1}, \tilde{b}_{1} \rightarrow b\tilde{k}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{b}_{1}b_{1}, \tilde{b}_{1} \rightarrow c\tilde{k}_{1}^{1} \\ \tilde{l}_{1}\tilde{l}_{1}(\text{light}), \tilde{l}_{1} \rightarrow b\tilde{k}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{l}_{1}\tilde{l}_{1}(\text{light}), \tilde{l}_{1} \rightarrow b\tilde{k}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{l}_{1}\tilde{l}_{1}(\text{medium}), \tilde{l}_{1} \rightarrow d\tilde{k}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{l}_{1}\tilde{l}_{1}(\text{medium}), \tilde{l}_{1} \rightarrow b\tilde{k}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{l}_{1}\tilde{l}_{1}(\text{medium}), \tilde{l}_{1} \rightarrow d\tilde{k}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{l}_{1}\tilde{l}_{1}(\text{heavy}), \tilde{l}_{1} \rightarrow d\tilde{k}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{l}_{1}\tilde{l}_{1}(\text{neatural GMSB}) \\ \tilde{l}_{2}\tilde{l}_{2}, \tilde{l}_{2} \rightarrow \tilde{l}_{1} + Z \end{array}$	0 2 e, µ (SS) 1-2 e, µ 2 e, µ 0 1 e, µ 0 0 1 e, µ 3 e, µ (Z)	2 b 0-3 b 1-2 b 0-2 jets 2 jets 2 b 1 b 2 b tono-jet/c-1 1 b 1 b	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	20.1 20.3 4.7 20.3 20.3 20.1 20.1 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3	\bar{b}_1 \bar{b}_1 \bar{b}_1 \bar{c}_2	100-620 GeV 275-440 GeV 110 <mark>-167 GeV</mark> 130-210 GeV 215-530 GeV 210-640 GeV 260-640 GeV 90-240 GeV 150-580 GeV 290-600 GeV	$\begin{split} &m(\hat{k}_{1}^{0})\!\!<\!\!90\text{GeV} \\ &m(\hat{k}_{1}^{0})\!\!=\!\!2m(\hat{k}_{1}^{0}) \\ &m(\hat{k}_{1}^{0})\!\!=\!\!55\text{GeV} \\ &m(\hat{k}_{1}^{0})\!\!=\!\!55\text{GeV} \\ &m(\hat{k}_{1}^{0})\!\!=\!\!1\text{GeV} \\ &m(\hat{k}_{1}^{0})\!\!=\!\!1\text{GeV} \\ &m(\hat{k}_{1}^{0})\!\!=\!\!0\text{GeV} \\ &m(\hat{k}_{1}^{0})\!\!=\!\!0\text{GeV} \\ &m(\hat{k}_{1}^{0})\!\!=\!\!0\text{GeV} \\ &m(\hat{k}_{1}^{0})\!\!=\!\!0\text{GeV} \\ &m(\hat{k}_{1}^{0})\!\!=\!\!0\text{GeV} \\ &m(\hat{k}_{1}^{0})\!\!=\!\!0\text{GeV} \\ &m(\hat{k}_{1}^{0})\!\!=\!\!150\text{GeV} \\ &m(\hat{k}_{1}^{0})\!\!>\!\!150\text{GeV} \\ &m(\hat{k}_{1}^{0})\!\!>\!\!200\text{GeV} \end{split}$	1308.2631 1404.2500 1208.4305, 1209.2102 1403.4853 1403.4853 1308.2631 1407.0583 1406.1122 1407.0608 1403.5222 1403.5222
CI DOG	$\begin{array}{l} \tilde{\ell}_{L,R}\tilde{\ell}_{L,R}, \tilde{\ell} \rightarrow \ell \tilde{X}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \rightarrow \tilde{\ell} \nu (\ell \tilde{\nu}) \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow \tilde{\ell}_{L} \nu \tilde{\ell}_{L} \ell (\tilde{\nu} \nu), \ell \tilde{\nu} \tilde{\ell}_{L} \ell (\tilde{\nu} \nu) \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow W \tilde{\chi}_{L}^{0} Z \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow W \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} h \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{\chi}_{2}^{+} \tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow W \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} h \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \tilde{\chi}_{3}^{0}, \tilde{\chi}_{2,3}^{0} \rightarrow \tilde{\ell}_{R} \ell \end{array}$	2 e, µ 2 e, µ 2 τ 3 e, µ 2-3 e, µ 1 e, µ 4 e, µ	0 - 0 2 <i>b</i> 0	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3	$i = \frac{1}{x_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{x_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{x_{1}^{2}}}} \cdot \frac{1}{x_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{x_{1}^{2}}} \cdot \frac{1}{x_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{x_{2}^{2}}} \cdot \frac{1}{x_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{x_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{x_{2}^{2}}}} \cdot \frac{1}{x_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{x_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{x_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{x_{2}^{2}}}} \cdot \frac{1}{x_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}$	90-325 GeV 140-465 GeV 100-350 GeV 700 GeV 420 GeV 285 GeV 620 GeV	$\begin{split} m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}) = 0 \ \text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}) = 0 \ \text{GeV}, \ m(\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{\nu}) = 0.5(m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{+}) + m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0})) \\ m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}) = 0 \ \text{GeV}, \ m(\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{\nu}) = 0.5(m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{+}) + m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0})) \\ m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{+}) = m(\tilde{k}_{2}^{0}), \ m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}) = 0, \ m(\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{\nu}) = 0.5(m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}) + m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0})) \\ m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{+}) = m(\tilde{k}_{2}^{0}), \ m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}) = 0, \ \text{sleptons decoupled} \\ m(\tilde{k}_{2}^{+}) = m(\tilde{k}_{2}^{0}), \ m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}) = 0, \ m(\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{\nu}) = 0.5(m(\tilde{k}_{2}^{0}) + m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0})) \end{split}$	1403.5294 1403.5294 1407.0350 1402.7029 1403.5294, 1402.7029 ATLAS-CONF-2013-093 1405.5086
por non	Direct $\hat{x}_{1}^{+} \hat{x}_{1}^{-}$ prod., long-lived \hat{x}_{1}^{+} Stable, stopped \tilde{g} R-hadron GMSB, stable $\tilde{\tau}, \hat{X}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}(\tilde{e}, \tilde{\mu}) + \tau(e,$ GMSB, $\hat{x}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow \gamma \tilde{G}$, long-lived \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} $\tilde{q}\tilde{q}, \hat{x}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow qq\mu$ (RPV)	Disapp. trk 0 (μ) 1-2 μ 2 γ 1 μ, displ. vtx	1 jet 1-5 jets - -	Yes Yes Yes	20.3 27.9 15.9 4.7 20.3	x 2 x x q	270 GeV 832 GeV 475 GeV 230 GeV 1.0 TeV	$\begin{array}{l} m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){-}m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){=}160 \ {\rm MeV}, \ r(\tilde{k}_{1}^{+}){=}0.2 \ {\rm ns} \\ m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){=}100 \ {\rm GeV}, \ 10 \ \mu {\rm scr}(\tilde{g}){<}1000 \ {\rm s} \\ 10{<}{\rm tang}{<}50 \\ 0.4{<}r(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){<}2 \ {\rm ns} \\ 1.5 \ {<}cr{<}156 \ {\rm nm}, \ {\rm BR}(\mu){=}1, \ m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){=}108 \ {\rm GeV} \end{array}$	ATLAS-CONF-2013-069 1310.6584 ATLAS-CONF-2013-058 1304.6310 ATLAS-CONF-2013-092
	$ \begin{array}{l} LFV pp \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{v}}_{\tau} + X, \tilde{\mathfrak{v}}_{\tau} \rightarrow e + \mu \\ LFV pp \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{v}}_{\tau} + X, \tilde{\mathfrak{v}}_{\tau} \rightarrow e(\mu) + \tau \\ Bilinear RPV CMSSM \\ \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^-, \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \rightarrow W \tilde{\chi}_1^0, \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow e e \tilde{\mathfrak{v}}_{\mu}, e \mu \tilde{\mathfrak{v}}_e \\ \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^-, \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \rightarrow W \tilde{\chi}_1^0, \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow \tau \tau \tilde{\mathfrak{v}}_e, e \tau \tilde{\mathfrak{v}}_{\tau} \\ \tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{t}_1 t, \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b s \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \ e, \mu \\ 1 \ e, \mu + \tau \\ 2 \ e, \mu (\text{SS}) \\ 4 \ e, \mu \\ 3 \ e, \mu + \tau \\ 0 \\ 2 \ e, \mu (\text{SS}) \end{array}$	- 0-3 b - 6-7 jets 0-3 b	- Yes Yes Yes - Yes	4.6 4.6 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3	9, 9, 8, 8, 8, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	1.1 TeV 1.35 750 GeV 450 GeV 916 GeV 850 GeV	1.61 TeV $\lambda_{131}^{c}=0.10, \lambda_{132}=0.05$ $\lambda_{311}^{c}=0.10, \lambda_{1(2)33}=0.05$ TeV $m(\tilde{q})=m(\tilde{g}), c\tau_{LSF}<1 mm$ $m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0})>0.2xm(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}), \lambda_{121}\neq0$ $m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0})>0.2xm(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}), \lambda_{133}\neq0$ BR(t)=BR(b)=BR(c)=0%	1212.1272 1212.1272 1404.2500 1405.5086 1405.5086 ATLAS-CONF-2013-091 1404.250
	Scalar gluon pair, sgluon $\rightarrow q\bar{q}$ Scalar gluon pair, sgluon $\rightarrow t\bar{t}$ WIMP interaction (D5, Dirac χ)	2 e, µ (SS) 0	4 jets 2 b mono-jet	Yes Yes	4.6 14.3 10.5	sgluon sgluon M* scale	100-287 GeV 350-800 GeV 704 GeV	incl. limit from 1110.2693 $m(\chi)$ <80 GeV, limit of <687 GeV for D8	1210.4826 ATLAS-CONF-2013-051 ATLAS-CONF-2012-147
	$\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ full data	vs = 8 TeV partial data	√s = full	data			10 ⁻¹ 1	Mass scale [TeV]	

ATLAS Preliminary

*Only a selection of the available mass limits on new states or phenomena is shown. All limits quoted are observed minus 1 or theoretical signal cross section uncertainty.