XIIth Quark Confinement and the Hadron Spectrum (CONF 12)

Thessaloniki 02/09/2016

Confinement, nonAbelian monopoles, and 2D CP(N-1) model on finite space interval

K. Konishi (Univ. Pisa/INFN, Pisa)

Plan of the talk

- Confinement as dual superconductor of nonAbelian variety
- Status of nonAbelian monopoles. Hints from N=2 Susy gauge theories and how to understand them semiclassically
- Quantum CP^{N-1} model on finite-width worldstrip



new

review



Confinement is a "failed" (or deformed) infrared-fixed point CFT

- Deformation by some relevant operator
- Confinement and symmetry breaking explained by the deg. of freedom describing CFT
- They are often topological solitons (kinks, monopoles EM duality), rather than the particles in UV
- Type and details of the confinement phase the IR deg. of freedom and their interactions
- NonAbelian monopoles strongly coupled CFT could describe confinement (nonAbelian dual superconductor)

QCD?

B

• Abelian dual superconductor (dynamical Abelianization) ?

 $SU(3) o U(1)^2 o {f 1}$ 't Hooft, Nambu, Mandelstam '80 $\langle M
angle
eq 0$

 \square Doubling of the meson spectrum (*) $\Pi_1(U(1)^2) = \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}$

If confinement and XSB both induced by

 $\langle M_b^a \rangle = \delta_b^a \Lambda$

 $SU_L(N_F) \times SU_R(N_F) \to SU_V(N_F)$

Accidental $SU(N_{F}^{2})$: too many NG bosons (**)

• Non-Abelian monopole condensation ?

 $SU(3) \rightarrow SU(2) \times U(1) \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$

Problems (*) avoided $\Pi_1(SU(2) \times U(1)) = \mathbb{Z}$ but <u>Non-Abelian monopoles</u> expected to be strongly coupled (no sign flip of b₀) Hint that (**) also solved by non Abelian monopoles Concept of nonAbelian monopoles turned out to be peculiarly evasive - Difficulties

but the fact is ...

$\mathcal{N}=2$ SQCD (exact solutions): they are ubiquitous

• Abelian dual superconductivity

SU(2) with N_F = 0, 1,2,3 monopole condensation \Rightarrow confinement & dyn symm. breaking SU(N) $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SYM : SU(N) \Rightarrow U(1)^{N-1}

Non-Abelian monopole condensation

SU(N), N_F quarks SU(N) \Rightarrow SU(r) x U(1) x U(1) x $r \le N_F/2$

r vacua are local, IR free theories Solve apparently the problem of too-many-NG bosons!

Non-Abelian monopoles interacting strongly

SCFT of highest criticality, EHIY (Eguchi-Hori-Ito-Yang) vacua



Seiberg-Witten,

Effective degrees of freedom in the quantum r vacuum of softly broken N=2 SQCD $(r \le N_f / 2)$

	SU(r)	$U(1)_{0}$	$U(1)_{1}$	•••	$U(1)_{N-r-1}$	$U(1)_B$
$N_F \times \mathcal{M}$	<u>r</u>	1	0	•••	0	0
M_1	<u>1</u>	0	1	•••	0	0
•	•	•	•	••••	•	•
M_{N-r-1}	<u>1</u>	0	0		1	0

Seiberg-Witten '94 Argyres,Plesser,Seiberg,'96 Hanany-Oz, '96 Carlino-Konishi-Murayama '00

The massless non-Abelian and Abelian monopoles and their charges at the r vacua

- "Colored dyons" do exist !!!
- they carry flavor q.n.

•
$$\langle q^i \alpha \rangle = v \ \delta^i \alpha \implies U(N_f) \Rightarrow U(r) \times U(N_f - r)$$

QMS of N=2 SQCD (SU(n) with n_f quarks)





Recent developments

- S-duality in SCFT at $g = \infty$ e.g. SU(N) w/ N_F = 2N
- Argyres-Seiberg S-duality applied to SCFT (IR f.p.) of highest criticality (EHIY)
- GST duality generalized to USp(2N), SO(N)
- Colliding r-vacua and EHIY in SU(N)
- GST duality and confinement
- N=1 deformation of AD vacua and confinement

```
Gaiotto-Seiberg-Tachikawa '11
        Giacomelli '12
      Giacomelli, Di Pietro '11
   Giacomelli-Konishi '12, '13
Bolognesi, Giacomelli, Konishi, '15
```

Argyres-Seiberg '07

N=2 SCFT'S

,09 - '12

Witten, Gaiotto, Seiberg, Argyres, Tachikawa, Moore, Maruyoshi, ... Two interesting hints:

• N=2 USp(2N) with NF = 4 with GST duality broken to N=1

 $\langle M_0 \rangle \neq 0 \rightarrow \langle M_i \rangle \neq 0,$ $(i = 1, 2, \dots 4)$ confinement XSB (i = 1, 2, ... 4)

• N=2 SU(N) with N_F at singular AD vacua, deformed to N=I



The key task:

Understand NA monopoles from semiclassical picture (How the old "difficulties" solved) Hierarchical (gauge) symmetry breaking

$$G \xrightarrow{\langle \phi \rangle = v_1} H \xrightarrow{\langle q \rangle = v_2} \mathbf{1}, \qquad v_1 \gg v_2$$

- Color-flavor locked H_{C+F} symmetric vacuum
- Low-energy system ($v_1 \approx \infty$) has vortices if π_1 (H) $\neq 1$
- High-energy system (v₂ \approx 0) has regular monopoles if π_2 (G/H) \neq 1 absent in the full theory as π₂ (G)=1

absent in the full theory if π1 (G)=1

- Vortex ends at monopoles / monopoles confined
- NonAbelian vortex e.g. CP^{N-1} , H=SU(N) B(z,t)B(t)NonAbelian monopoles Auzzi, Bolognesi, Evslin, Konishi, Yung ,03-'13 Hanany, Tong, Shifman, Yung, Sakai. NItta et. al.

Make these ideas concrete

Homotopy group exact sequence

 $\cdots o \pi_2(G) o \pi_2(G/H) o \pi_1(H) o \pi_1(G) o \cdots$



e.g. G=SU(N), USp(2N): $\pi_1 = I \Rightarrow No Dirac monopoles$ (Wu-Yang) G=SO(N) $\pi_1 = Z_2$, Z_2 monopoles; $G=SU(N)/Z_N : Z_N$ monopoles;

Apply to physics:

$$G \stackrel{v_1}{\longrightarrow} H \stackrel{v_2}{\longrightarrow} 1$$

$$^{t}Hooft}$$
SO(3)|U(1)

The model: SU(N+1) (N=2 susy inspired), N_F =N

$$\begin{aligned}
\underset{k \in \mathbb{N}^{r}}{\text{adjoint}} & \underset{k \in \mathbb{N}^{r}}{\text{fundamental}} \\
\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} (F_{\mu\nu})^{2} + |\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\phi|^{2} + \sum_{I=1}^{N_{F}} |\mathcal{D}_{\mu}q_{I}|^{2} - V(\phi, q), \\
\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} (F_{\mu\nu})^{2} + |\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\phi|^{2} + \sum_{I=1}^{N_{F}} |\mathcal{D}_{\mu}q_{I}|^{2} - V(\phi, q), \\
\langle \phi \rangle = \langle \phi^{A}T^{A} \rangle = \frac{v_{1}}{N+1} \begin{pmatrix} N \\ -\mathbb{1}_{N} \end{pmatrix} \\
\langle q_{I}^{a} \rangle = v_{2} \delta_{I}^{a} = v_{2} \mathbb{1}_{N} \qquad v_{1} \gg v_{2} \\
\end{aligned}$$

$$SU(N+1)_{color} \otimes SU(N)_{flavor} \xrightarrow{v_{1}} (SU(N) \times U(1))_{color} \otimes SU(N)_{flavor} \xrightarrow{v_{2}} SU(N)_{C+F} \\
\uparrow \\ monopole \qquad vortex
\end{aligned}$$

The monopole-vortex soliton complex at large distances

The monopole is pointlike

The vortex is a line



• The monopole degrees of freedom
$$^{-15}$$
 the winding
 $_{-10}$
 $\phi(x) = v_1 M(x)$ $M(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2N}{N+1}} U(x) T^{(0)} U^{\dagger}(x),$ $\operatorname{Tr} (T^{(0)})^2 = \frac{1}{2}.$
 $SU(N+1)/U(N) \sim CP^N$
 $T^{(0)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N(N+1)}} \binom{N}{-1_N}$

- Write down the vortex solutions $U^{\dagger} q_{I} = U^{\dagger}(z\chi_{I} + e\eta_{I}) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{I} \\ \eta_{I}^{1} \\ \vdots \\ \eta_{I}^{N} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \eta's = \text{massless components of } q$ $(\eta)_{I}^{i} = v_{2} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\psi} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{1}_{N-1} \end{pmatrix}$
- Study the vortex-monopole solutions of generic orientation

$$\eta \to \mathcal{U} \eta \mathcal{U}^{\dagger}, \qquad P_{\mu} \to \mathcal{U} P_{\mu} \mathcal{U}^{\dagger}, \qquad b_{\mu} \to \mathcal{U} b_{\mu} \mathcal{U}^{\dagger}, \qquad \mathcal{U} \in SU(N)$$
$$\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}(z,t) \subset \frac{SU(N)}{SU(N-1) \times U(1)} \sim CP^{N-1}$$

• Study low-energy effective action for $\mathcal{U}(z,t)$

For pure vortex '03-'13 Hanany,Tong, Auzzi, Bolognesi,Evslin,Konishi,Yung Shifman,Yung, Gudnason, Jiang, Konishi '10



The result:

The monopole-vortex soliton complex described by a low-energy action $S=S^{2D}+S^{1D}_M+S^{1D}_{\bar{M}}$

 $S^{2D} = 2f \int_{\Sigma} d^2 x \, \mathcal{D}_{\alpha} n^c \dagger \mathcal{D}_{\alpha} n^c, \qquad \mathcal{D}_{\alpha} n^c \equiv \{\partial_{\alpha} - (n^{\dagger} \partial_{\alpha} n)\} n^c, \qquad n^{\dagger} n = 1$

$$S^{1D} = \gamma \int_{K=M,\bar{M}} dt \,\mathcal{D}_0 n_K^{c\,\dagger} \mathcal{D}_0 n_K^c, \qquad \qquad f = \frac{2\pi}{m^2} \int_0^\infty d\rho \,\rho \,(\partial_\rho \mathcal{B}^{(vor)})^2$$



Chandrasekhar Chatterjee & KK '14

CP^N vs CP^{N-1}



20

The quantum fluctuations of n

CP^{N-1} sigma model on finite worldstrips (P)

Mini-review: The standard CP^{N-1} sigma model in 2D :

S. Bolognesi, K. Ohashi & KK '16

arXiv:1604.05630

d'Dadda, DiVevcchia, Luscher, '78

• n fields confined by the Coulomb force

•

 $r(\mu) = \frac{4\pi}{g(\mu)^2} \simeq \frac{N}{2\pi} \log\left(\frac{\mu}{\Lambda}\right)$ Model of QCD? $r = N \int_{0}^{\Lambda_{\rm UV}} \frac{kdk}{2\pi} \frac{1}{k^2 + m^2} = \frac{N}{4\pi} \log\left(\frac{\Lambda_{\rm UV}^2 + m^2}{m^2}\right)$ $m = \Lambda$

Finite strings and generalized gap equations

Boundary conditions:

D-D:
$$n_1(0) = n_1(L) = \sqrt{r}$$
, $n_i(0) = n_i(L) = 0$, $i > 1$.
N-N: $\partial_x n_i(0) = \partial_x n_i(L) = 0$.

Separating $n_1 = \sigma$ and integrating over n_i, (i=2,3,..N) (Large N approximation)

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \int d^2x \left((N-1) \operatorname{tr} \log(-D_{\mu}D^{\mu} + \lambda) + (D_{\mu}\sigma)^* D^{\mu}\sigma - \lambda(|\sigma|^2 - r) \right) .$$
$$E = N \sum_n \omega_n + \int_0^L \left((\partial_x \sigma)^2 + \lambda(\sigma^2 - r) \right) dx , \qquad \left(-\partial_x^2 + \lambda(x) \right) f_n(x) = \omega_n^2 f_n(x) ,$$

Generalized gap equations

$$\partial_x^2 \sigma(x) - \lambda(x)\sigma(x) = 0 \quad (\star)$$
$$\frac{N}{2} \sum_n \frac{f_n(x)^2}{\omega_n} + \sigma(x)^2 - r = 0$$

• Coupled equations for $\lambda(x)$, $\sigma(x)$ $\lambda(x) \rightarrow \{\omega_n, f_n(x)\}, n = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$ $\{\omega_n, f_n(x)\} \rightarrow \sigma(x)$, regularizing UV div and renormalizing r (g) $\sigma(x) \rightarrow \lambda(x)$

Strategy: solve the eqs recursively

- (1) Give some trial potential $\lambda_k(x)$, (k = 1, 2, ...)
- (2) Solve for $\{\omega_n, f_n(x)\}_k$ $(n = 1, 2, ..., n_{max})$ $\frac{\mathbf{U}\mathbf{V} \operatorname{cutoff}}{\mu(n_{\max})} = \frac{n_{\max}\pi}{L}$
- (3) Cancel the UV divergences against r

$$r = \frac{4\pi}{g^2} = \frac{N}{2\pi} \log \frac{2\mu(n_{\max})}{\Lambda}$$

(4) Finite equation for $\sigma(x)$

$$\sigma_k^2 = -\frac{N}{2} \sum_n \frac{1}{\omega_n} \left(f_{n,k}(x)^2 - \frac{1}{L} \right) - \frac{N}{2L} \sum_{n=1}^{n_{\max}} \frac{1}{\omega_{n,k}} + \frac{N}{2\pi} \log\left(\frac{2\mu(n_{\max})}{\Lambda}\right)$$

- (5) Use Eq. (*) to find $\lambda_{k+1}(x)$
- (6) Go back to the step (2) ... (5) to find $\lambda_{k+2}(x)$, and repeat the procedure, until

cfr. Hartree's equation

k= iteration steps

Mathematica Version 10.3

in atomic physics

(7) A self consistent solution $\{\sigma_k(x), \lambda_k(x)\} \simeq \{\sigma_{k+1}(x), \lambda_{k+1}(x)\}$

is reached

A subtlety: the behavior of $\lambda(x), \sigma(x)$ near x=0, L

D-D or N-N boundary cds \Rightarrow : (by e.g. WKB)

$$\lambda(x) \sim \frac{1}{2x^2 \log \frac{1}{x}}; \quad \sigma^2(x) \sim \frac{N}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{x}, \qquad x \sim 0$$
 (%)

• At finite x (far from the boundaries) the UV divergences in

$$\frac{N}{2} \sum_{n} \frac{f_n(x)^2}{\omega_n} \quad \text{is cancelled by } r \text{ in } \qquad \frac{N}{2} \sum_{n} \frac{f_n(x)^2}{\omega_n} + \sigma(x)^2 - r = 0$$

(as in the standard 2D CP(N-I) model: UV div is a local phenomenon !)

• Near x ~ 0, L,
$$\frac{N}{2} \sum_{n} \frac{f_n(x)^2}{\omega_n}$$
 cannot diverge (no 2D "space")

• There the classical filed $n_1(x) = \sigma(x)$ comes to rescue! \Leftrightarrow (%)

The result



Figure 4: On the left $\sqrt{\lambda(x)}$, on the right $\sigma(x)^2/N$. These plots are rescaled in order to keep $\Lambda = 1$ fixed and for L = 1, 2, 3, 4. The constant line on the left figure is Λ .





Figure 5: These plots are: on the top-left is $\sqrt{\lambda(L/2)}$, on the top-right $\sigma(L/2)^2/N$, on the bottom-left $\log(\sqrt{\lambda(L/2) - \Lambda^2}/\Lambda)$ and on the bottom-right $\log(\sigma^2(L/2)/N)$. These plots are obtained for various values of L keeping $\Lambda = 1$.

CP^{N-1} sigma model on finite worldstrip: summary

- Unique solution of the generalized gap equation (funct. saddle point eqn)
- No "Higgs" phase ($\langle\lambda
 angle=0;~\langle\sigma(x)
 angle=\mathrm{const}\sim\Lambda$) exists
- No phase transition at $L \sim \frac{1}{\Lambda}$ cfr. Milekhin '14
- The standard 2D CP^{N-I} system emerging at large L ($\lambda \sim \Lambda^2$, $\sigma=0$)
- Exactly the same result holds for D-D and N-N boundary conditions
- Interpolates a ID system (QM) at L < $\frac{1}{\Lambda}$ to a 2D QFT at L= ∞
- The dynamical breaking of SU(N) symmetry does not occur Cfr. p. 6

• With the periodic boundary condition, a phase transition at L~ $\frac{1}{\Lambda}$ Shifman -Yung '15

Conclusion:

- NonAbelian monopole concept consistent with quantum mechanics V
- Monopoles ~ <u>N</u> of unbroken SU(N)= isometry group of CP(N-I) (Fig p. 17)
- Nonlocal transformations typical of EM duality 1

The idea

- Quark confinement = dual Higgs phase of nonAbelian variety:
- Monopoles (nonAbelian and strongly coupled) condense, induce confinement and trigger XSB • Difficulty for us, not for Nature !!

to be pursued

THE END

Non-Abelian monopoles



 $SU(3) \xrightarrow{\langle \phi \rangle} \frac{SU(2) \times U(1)}{\mathbb{Z}_2}, \qquad \langle \phi \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} v & 0 & 0\\ 0 & v & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ Goddard-Nuyts-Olive, E.Weinberg, Lee, Yi, Bais, Schroer, 77-80 cfr. (Dirac)

 $2 \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{Z}$

"Monopoles are multiplets of H (GNO)"

\sim H generated by	$\alpha^* = - \alpha$	Н	Ĥ
The generated by	$\alpha \ \equiv \frac{1}{\alpha \cdot \alpha}.$	U(N)	U(N)
		SU(N)	SU(N)/ZN
		SO(2N)	SO(2N)
b > - y - b.T		SO(2N+1)	USp(2N)

 $\langle \Phi \rangle = v = h$ •

Difficulties









But this allows a direct description of IR physics !!

For
$$USp(2N), N_f = 4$$

the GST dual is (both the A and B sectors are free doublets) :

$$\boxed{1-SU(2)-4}.$$

U(1)

the effects of m_i and $\mu \Phi^2$ perturbation can be studied with the superpotential:

$$\sqrt{2} Q_0 A_D \tilde{Q}^0 + \sqrt{2} Q_0 \phi \tilde{Q}^0 + \sum_{i=1}^4 \sqrt{2} Q_i \phi \tilde{Q}^i + \mu A_D \Lambda + \mu \operatorname{Tr} \phi^2 + \sum_{i=1}^4 m_i Q_i \tilde{Q}^i ,$$

cfr. UV Lagrangian:

$$W = \mu \operatorname{Tr} \Phi^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} Q_a^i \Phi_b^a Q_c^i J^{bc} + \frac{m_{ij}}{2} Q_a^i Q_b^j J^{ab}$$
$$m = -i\sigma_2 \otimes \operatorname{diag}\left(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{n_f}\right).$$

Correct flavor symmetry for all {m}

- $m_i = m$: $SU(4) \times U(1)$;
- $m_i = 0$: SO(8); etc.,



Giacomelli, Konishi '12,'13