Quarkonium Production at RHIC

Anthony D Frawley Florida State University

12th Conference on Quark Confinement and the Hadron Spectrum Thessaloniki, Greece August 28 - September 4, 2016



In-medium Program

Study the screening length in hot matter via:

- Modifications to quarkonia production in A+A collisions
- Relative to the baseline production in p+p collisions
- Correcting for modifications due to production in a nucleus (p,d+A)
 - Traditionally called cold nuclear matter (CNM) effects

Physics is extracted from comparison with theory - so ideally, we would like to:

Vary the temperature of the medium in A+A

- Collision energy (RHIC vs LHC gives wide lever arm)
- Mass of colliding ions
- Collision centrality (but not easy to model)
- Vary the type and strength of underlying CNM effects
- Depends on collision energy
- Depends on rapidity

Quarkonia - PHENIX

Central arms ($|\eta| < 0.35$)

D, B $\rightarrow e^{\pm}$ J/ $\psi \rightarrow e^{+}e^{-}$ -0.35 < y < 0.35 $\Delta \Phi = \pi$

Muon arms ($1.2 < |\eta| < 2.2 (2.4)$)

D, B
$$\rightarrow \mu^{\pm}$$

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$
 $-2.2 < y < -1.2$
 $1.2 < y < 2.4$
 $\Delta \Phi = 2\pi$

High data rate, no triggering in Au+Au Good triggers in p+p, d,p+A Smaller dielectron acceptance than STAR



Quarkonia - STAR

J/ψ → e⁺e⁻ Y→ e⁺e⁻ |y| < 1.0 Δ Φ = 2π

Large dielectron acceptance Trigger difficult at low p_T

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ $Y \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ |y| < 0.5 $\Delta \Phi = 2\pi$

MTD: smaller dimuon acceptance But easy trigger, good S/B



Recent: STAR Au+Au J/ψ p_T dependence - from dimuons

First (preliminary) results for J/ ψ from the MTD, shown earlier this year. Good precision and p_T reach!



PHENIX, STAR, ALICE J/ ψ centrality dependence

midrapidity, integrated over all p_{T}

Transport models:

Liu et. al. Model I at RHIC: PLB 678 (2009) 72 Model I at LHC: PRC 89 (2014) 054911 Zhao et. al. Model II at RHIC: PRC 82 (2010) 064905 Model II at LHC: NPA 859 (2011) 114

Very nice agreement between PHENIX and STAR.

Coalescence is dominant at LHC for p_T integrated data.



PHENIX, ALICE J/\psi - forward rapidity



9

 $p_{t}(GeV)$

10

STAR, CMS J/ψ - high p_T

Transport models:

Liu et. al. Model I at RHIC: PLB 678 (2009) 72 Model I at LHC: PRC 89 (2014) 054911 Zhao et. al. Model II at RHIC: PRC 82 (2010) 064905 Model II at LHC: NPA 859 (2011) 114

Peter Chaloupka

Contrast: high p_T J/ψ show much stronger suppression at LHC energies

Higher energy density wins over coalescence at high p_T.



Au+Au J/ψ Energy scan at RHIC

PHENIX data at 39, 64 and 200 GeV.

The suppression seems to be:

- Strongest at 200 GeV
- Weaker at 64 GeV
- Weaker again at 39 GeV

Model (Zhao & Rapp): the suppression is similar at the three energies:

- As the energy increases, suppression increases
- But increased suppression is compensated by increased regeneration.



STAR, PHENIX U+U J/ψ

- Expect about 20% higher energy density (Kikola, Odyniec, Vogt, PRC 84, 054907 (2011))
- CNM effects are expected to be very similar
- Charm production is higher -N_{coll} increases





Both PHENIX and STAR observe weaker suppression for U+U

Charm coalescence wins? PRC 84, 054907 (2011)

U+U vs Au+Au J/ψ

Nuclear Modification Factor, R_{AA}

(a)

Phys. Rev. C 93, 034903 (2016)

PHENIX

ф

100

向

200

 $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu\mu$

1.2 < |y| < 2.2

300

 $|\bullet|$

400

• U+U $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ =193 GeV (gl. sys. 8.1%) pp reference: \sqrt{s} =200 GeV × 0.964

Deformed Woods-Saxon parameter set 1

 \Box Au+Au $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ =200 GeV (gl. sys. 9.2%)

Problem: competing models of U deformation:

- Set 1 has larger surface diffuseness
 - smaller N_{coll} values by 6-15%

Take invariant yield ratio:

Curves show centrality dependence if J/ψ production scaled with

- N_{coll} (dashed lines)
- N_{coll}² (solid lines)

Set 1 (blue) Not much difference set 2 (red) Favors N_{coll}² for central



J/ψ Flow in Au+Au at 200 GeV

< 0-80% Old: STAR J/ψ flow 0.1 measurements using dielectrons initially produced [31] -0.1 coalescence from thermalized cc [32] initial + coalescence [34] initial + coalescence [35] -0.2hydrodynamic [36] 2 8 10 p₊ (GeV/c) 0.4 Au+Au 200 GeV 0-80 % **STAR** preliminary 0.3 New: preliminary STAR 0.2 dimuon measurements 0.1 Ā < < 0 this preliminary dimuon -0.1 analysis uses only 1/7 of ★ J/ψ→μ⁺μ⁻ lyl < 0.5, Run14 -0.2 J/ψ→e⁺e⁻ lyl < 1, Run10+11 available data - more to -0.3 maximum non-flow -0.4^C come 9 8 10 p_{_} (GeV/c)

$d+Au \psi'$ - mid rapidity





12

14

16

10

18

R_{dAu}

0

0

2

4

6

8

N_{coll}

PHENIX R_{dAu} data PRL111 (2013) 202301

Too strong for CNM effects: Interpreted as final state suppression due to effects of comoving matter on the weakly bound ψ ' state



d+Au ψ ' - comparison with p+Pb from ALICE

Double ratio has similar dependence on collision centrality at the two very different collision energies Seems to be explained well by final state models



p+Al, p+Au ψ'

Add forward/backward rapidity p+Au and p+Al measurements from RHIC 2015 run - strong suppression at backward rapidity, consistent trend with midrapidity

Coming (very) soon: Final results for ψ(2S)/ψ(1S) ratios at forward/backward rapidity in
 p+p, p+Al, p+Au, ³He+Au







PHENIX Y(1S+2S+3S) in Au+Au

B dσ_Y/dy [pb]

 10^{2}

10

PHENIX

 $p+p \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S+2S+3S) + \Sigma$

STAR

 $\sqrt{s} = 200 \text{ GeV}$

global uncertainty = 10%

Statistics starved measurement for PHENIX due to

- small acceptance at midrapidity,
- small cross section at forward/ backward rapidity

Described by the models, but the data do not provide a strong constraint



New: STAR Y production in U+U at 193 GeV/c

Fit dielectron mass spectrum with Crystal Ball lineshapes

Extract RAA for

• Y(1S+2S+3S)

• Y(1S)

Well described by Strickland model B & the other models





Y(1S+2S+3S) comparisons at RHIC

Y(1S+2S+3S) for d+Au, U+U and Au+Au

Common trend with N_{part} for suppression in Au+Au (200 GeV) and U+U (193 GeV).



Y(1S), Y(1S+2S+3S) - comparison STAR/CMS

Y(1S+2S+3S) for U+U, Au+Au at RHIC from STAR Pb+Pb at 2.76 TeV from CMS

Trend is for stronger suppression at 2.76 GeV in both cases



Recent: STAR Y's from dimuons

First results using dimuons from the STAR MTD

Best Y(2S+3S)/Y(1S) ratio measurement so far

Indicates that Y(2S+3S)/Y(1S) ratio is larger at RHIC than at LHC



AuAu @ RHIC

PbPb @ LHC

pp

Future: sphenix

the PHENIX detector is no more (being dismantled this summer) Although we have a lot of data to analyze yet!

• Jets

Upsilons



sPHENIX Upsilons

Proposed tracker:

- Inner barrel: 3 MAPS pixel layers (copy of ALICE ITS upgrade IB)
- Intermediate tracker: 4 silicon strip layers
- Outer tracker: Compact TPC

Measure Upsilons with dielectrons Anticipate ~ 80 MeV mass resolution



Tim Hallman, RHIC User's Meeting June 2016

RHIC / LHC Timeline



Backup

Cold nuclear matter effects

The lack of a pattern in the R_{AA} values is due to processes that modify the quarkonia yield in a nuclear target - cold nuclear matter (CNM) processes



Notes:

- Gluon shadowing affects the underlying charm yield.
- Breakup reduces the fraction of charm forming bound charmonium.
- Initial state energy loss changes the rapidity distribution
- Cronin effect modifies only the p_T distribution.

Cu+Au J/ψ

Asymmetric heavy beams Compare forward backward R_{AA} in the muon arms





At backward rapidity, Cu+Au similar to Au+Au

The forward backward difference for Cu+Au is consistent with the expected effect of shadowing (EPS09)

Au+Au J/ψ centrality dependence



J/ψ Data



