Heavy-heavy and heavy-light quarks interactions generated by QCD vacuum

Mirzayusuf Musakhanov

National University of Uzbekistan

XIIth Quark Confinement and the Hadron Spectrum
Thessaloniki (Greece), 28 August – 3 September, 2016
Outline

1. QCD vacuum
2. Instanton liquid model
3. Heavy quarks in instanton liquid
4. Heavy and light quarks in instanton liquid
5. Discussion
QCD vacuum. Action and topological charge densities in different configurations on the lattice (Negele et al 1999).

⇒ liquid instanton model (Shuryak 1981, Diakonov-Petrov 1983). Recent progress: BPRST instantons ⇒ KvBLL instantons in terms of the coordinates of the instanton-dyons can describe large instantons!! ⇒ Liquid dyon model (Shuryak et al 2015 also Shuryak-Larsen Conf 12),
⇒ can describe confinement at temperature $T < T_c$ and deconfinement at $T > T_c$!!
Small size instantons still can be described in terms of their collective coordinates: positions and color orientations.
**Instanton sizes vs heavy quarkonium**

Very important: instanton size distribution function!!

KvBLL instanton average size $\rho \sim \frac{\alpha_s N}{2\pi \Lambda_{PV}} \sim 0.5 \text{ fm}$ at $N = 3$, $\alpha_s = 0.5$, $\Lambda_{PV} = 200 \text{ MeV}$ (Diakonov2009).

Instanton liquid model instanton average size $\rho \sim 0.3 \text{ fm}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>$J/\psi$</th>
<th>$\chi_c$</th>
<th>$\psi'$</th>
<th>$\Upsilon$</th>
<th>$\chi_b$</th>
<th>$\Upsilon'$</th>
<th>$\chi_b'$</th>
<th>$\Upsilon''$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>size $r$ [fm]</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table: Quarkonium states and its sizes in non-relativistic potential model (see Satz2012).

Most essential corrections to the quarkonium properties from the instantons with sizes $\sim r = \text{ small instantons!!}$

We may safely apply instanton liquid model.
Instanton

Instantons – self-dual classical solutions of Y-M equations in Euclidean space (BPRST1975) = describe the tunneling processes between the C-S states as $N_{CS} \rightarrow N_{CS} + 1$. Top. charge = 1. In singular gauge

$$A^a_{+\mu}(x) = \frac{2\rho^2 \bar{\eta}^\nu_{\mu a}(x - z)_\nu}{(x - z)^2[\rho^2 + (x - z)^2]}, \quad G^a_{\mu\nu} = \tilde{G}^a_{\mu\nu}.$$  

Collective coordinates $\zeta$:

$$4 \ (\text{position}) \ + \ 1 \ (\text{size}) \ + \ (4N_c - 5) \ (\text{orientations}) = 4N_c$$
Instanton liquid model and its main parameters

- Sum ansatz $A = \sum_{\pm} A_\pm$, $N_+ = N_- = N/2$.
- Average instanton size $\rho$ and inter-instanton distance $R = (V/N)^{1/4}$;
- Estimates:
  - Lattice: $R \approx 0.89 \text{ fm}$, $\rho \approx 0.36 \text{ fm}$,
  - Phenomenological: $R \approx 1 \text{ fm}$, $\rho \approx 0.33 \text{ fm}$,
  - Our estimate with account of $1/N_c$ corrections: $R \approx 0.76 \text{ fm}$, $\rho \approx 0.32 \text{ fm}$, correspond ChPT
    $F_{\pi,m=0} = 88 \text{MeV}$, $\langle \bar{q} q \rangle_{m=0} = -(255 \text{MeV})^3$

Thus within $10 - 15\%$ uncertainty different approaches give similar estimates

- Packing parameter $\pi^2 \left( \frac{\rho}{R} \right)^4 \sim 0.1 - 0.3$
  $\Rightarrow$ Independent averaging over instanton positions and orientations.
Heavy quarks in instanton liquid

Definitions: \( w = \int D\zeta (\theta^{-1} - iA_4)^{-1} \), \( < t_2|\theta|t_1 > = \theta(t_2 - t_1) \).
Static heavy quark propagator is
\( < T|w|0 > = \int D\zeta P \exp(i \int_L dx_4 A_4), \quad L = (\vec{x}, 0, T) \).
A solution of Pobylitca Eq. (DPP1989)

\[
w^{-1} = \theta^{-1} - \frac{N_{\text{tr}}}{2} \sum_{\pm} \theta^{-1}(w_{\pm} - \theta)\theta^{-1} + O(N^2/V^2),
\]

where \( w_{\pm} = (\theta^{-1} - iA_{\pm,4})^{-1} \).

Instanton media contribution to the heavy quark mass

\[
\Delta m_Q = 16\pi i_0(0)(\rho^4/R^4)\rho^{-1}/N_c, \quad i_0(0) = 0.55.
\]

At \( \rho = 0.33 \text{ fm}, R = 1 \text{ fm} \) \( \Delta m_Q \approx 70 \text{ MeV} \),
\( \rho = 0.36 \text{ fm}, R = 0.89 \text{ fm} \) \( \Delta m_Q \approx 140 \text{ MeV} \)

\( \sim \) strength of a heavy quark-instanton interaction!!
Heavy quark-antiquark potential from Wilson loop

Averaged Wilson loop

\[ < T | W | 0 > = \int D\zeta P \exp(i \int_{L_1} dx_4 A_4) P \exp(i \int_{L_2} dx_4 A_4) \]

Here the lines \( L_1 = (\vec{x}_1, 0, T), L_2 = (\vec{x}_2, T, 0) \).

In leading order on \( \frac{N}{\sqrt{N_c}} \) static central potential (DPP1989)

\[ V_C(r) = \frac{N}{2\sqrt{N_c}} \sum_{\pm} \int d^3 z_{\pm} \text{tr}_c \left[ 1 - P \exp \left( i \int_0^T dx_4 A_{\pm,4}^{(1)} \right) \right] \times P \exp \left( -i \int_0^T dx_4 A_{\pm,4}^{(2)} \right) \]

\[ z_{\pm,4} = 0 \]
Spin-dependent parts of the potential

[U. Yakhshiev, Hyun-Chul Kim, B. Turimov, M.M., E. Hiyama
[arXiv:1602.06074 [hep-ph]].

from $1/m_Q^2$ expansion of the heavy quark propagator
(Eichten1980).

$$V(\vec{r}) = V_C(r) + V_{SS}(r)(\vec{S}_Q \cdot \vec{S}_{\bar{Q}}) + V_{LS}(r)(\vec{L} \cdot \vec{S})$$

$$+ V_T(r) \left[ 3(\vec{S}_Q \cdot \vec{n})(\vec{S}_{\bar{Q}} \cdot \vec{n}) - \vec{S}_Q \cdot \vec{S}_{\bar{Q}} \right],$$

where

$$V_{SS}(r) = \frac{1}{3m_Q^2} \nabla^2 V_C(r), \quad V_{LS}(r) = \frac{1}{2m_Q^2} \frac{1}{r} \frac{dV_C(r)}{dr},$$

$$V_T(r) = \frac{1}{3m_Q^2} \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{dV_C(r)}{dr} - \frac{d^2V_C(r)}{dr^2} \right).$$
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The potential

Solid curve \(\sim\) Set I \(\rho = 0.33\) fm and \(R = 1\) fm

\(\sim\) phenomenology (Shuryak1981, Diakonov-Petrov1983),

Dashed one \(\sim\) Set II \(\rho = 0.36\) fm, \(R = 0.89\) fm \(\sim\) lattice (Chu et al1994, Negele1998, DeGrand2001, Faccioli-DeGrand2003),

with \(1/N_c\) corrections (Goeke et al2007) \(m_c = 1275\) MeV.
Charmonium states in [MeV]. $\Delta M_{c\bar{c}} = M_{c\bar{c}} - 2m_c$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\Delta M_{c\bar{c}}(J^P)$</th>
<th>Set I</th>
<th>Set IIb</th>
<th>Exp.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta M_{\eta_c}(0^-)$</td>
<td>118.81</td>
<td>203.64</td>
<td>433.6 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta M_{J/\psi}(1^-)$</td>
<td>119.57</td>
<td>205.36</td>
<td>546.916 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta M_{\chi_{c0}}(0^+)$</td>
<td>142.43</td>
<td>250.86</td>
<td>864.75 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One can see that the instanton effects are not small $\sim 30 - 40\%$ in comparison with the experimental data and strongly depend on instanton liquid parameters. Since dyon liquid model pretend to describe QCD vacuum on the large distances too, it is natural to extend these calculations to the whole range of distances.
Light quarks in instanton liquid

Zero modes

\[(\hat{p} + g \hat{A}_\pm)\Phi_{\pm,0}(x, \zeta_\pm) = 0\]

\[
\Rightarrow Z[\xi^+, \xi] = \int D\zeta \text{Det}_{\text{low}}(\hat{p} + g \hat{A} + im) \exp (-\xi^+(\hat{p} + g \hat{A} + im)^{-1}\xi) =
\]

\[
= \int D\zeta \prod_f D\psi_f D\psi^\dagger_f \exp \int \left( \psi^\dagger_f (\hat{p} + im_f)\psi_f + \psi^\dagger_f \xi_f + \xi^+_f \psi_f \right)
\]

\[
\times \prod_f \left\{ \prod_{N_+} V_{+,f}[\psi^\dagger, \psi] \prod_{N_-} V_{-,f}[\psi^\dagger, \psi] \right\}, \quad V_{\pm,f}[\psi^\dagger, \psi] =
\]

\[
= i \int dx \left( \psi^\dagger_f(x) \hat{p} \Phi_{\pm,0}(x; \zeta_\pm) \right) \int dy \left( \Phi^\dagger_{\pm,0}(y; \zeta_\pm)(\hat{p} \psi_f(y)) \right).
\]

\[\psi^\dagger, \psi\] are constituent quarks.

Small packing parameter \(\Rightarrow\) independent averaging:

\[
V_{\pm}[\psi^\dagger, \psi] = \int d\zeta \prod_f V_{\pm,f}[\psi^\dagger, \psi]
\]

\(\Rightarrow\) non-local \((\sim \rho)\) t’Hooft-like vertex with \(2N_f\)-legs.
Spontaneous Breaking of the Chiral Symmetry (SBCS)

Partition function $Z$ in saddle-point approximation (leading order on $1/N_c$) $\rightarrow$ effective coupling $\lambda$ and vacuum average of scalar field $\sigma_0$ (SBCS) $\rightarrow$ Dynamical quark mass $M(p)$, where $p$-dependence from zero-mode $\Phi_0$.

At $\rho = 0.33$ fm, $R = 1$ fm $M(0) \approx 360$ MeV

$\sim$ strength of light quark-instanton interaction!!

Successful reproducing of quark condensate, pion and nucleon properties etc!! (see eg Diakonov2002).

Next to leading order $1/N_c$ corrections: most important are meson loops. Successful reproducing of Low Energy Constants of Chiral Perturbation Theory (Goeke etal 2007).
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Account of light quarks: \( D\zeta \Rightarrow D\zeta \text{Det}_{\text{low}}(\hat{p} + g\hat{A} + im) \).

Heavy quark propagator is

\[
\int \prod_f D\psi_f D\psi_f^\dagger \exp \int \left( \psi_f^\dagger (\hat{p} + im_f)\psi_f \right) \Pi_\pm \left( \frac{V_\pm[\psi^\dagger,\psi]}{N_\pm} \right)
\]

\[
< T|w[\psi,\psi^\dagger]|0 > ,
\]

where \( w[\psi,\psi^\dagger] = \prod_\pm \left( \frac{V_\pm[\psi^\dagger,\psi]}{N_\pm} \right) \int D\zeta (\theta^{-1} - iA_4)^{-1} \prod_f \prod_\pm \frac{V_\pm,f[\psi^\dagger,\psi]}{N_\pm} \]

Solution of extended Pobilitca Eq. is \( w^{-1}[\psi,\psi^\dagger] = \)

\[
= \theta^{-1} - \frac{N}{2} \sum_\pm \frac{1}{V_\pm[\psi^\dagger,\psi]} \Delta_{H,\pm}[\psi^\dagger,\psi] + O(N^2/V^2),
\]

\[
\Delta_{H,\pm}[\psi^\dagger,\psi] = \int d\zeta_\pm \prod_f V_\pm,f[\psi^\dagger,\psi] \theta^{-1}(w_\pm - \theta)\theta^{-1}.
\]

\( \Rightarrow \) heavy \((Q)\)-light quarks(\(\psi\)) interaction term

\[
S_{Q\psi} = -\lambda \sum_\pm Q^\dagger \Delta_{H,\pm}[\psi^\dagger,\psi] Q
\]
Heavy–light quarks interactions

at light quark number $N_f = 1$

is $S_Q\psi =$

$$\begin{align*}
= i & \int \frac{d^4 k_1}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 k_2}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} (2\pi)^4 \delta^3 (\vec{k}_2 + \vec{k}_1 - \vec{q}) \delta (k_{2,4} - k_{1,4}) \\
( M(k_1) M(k_2) )^{1/2} & \Delta m_Q R^4 \frac{i_0(q\rho)}{i_0(0)} \left[ \frac{2N_c^2 - N_c}{2N_c^2 - 2} \psi^+(k_1) \psi(k_2) Q^+ Q + \right. \\
+ \frac{N_c^2 - 2N_c}{2N_c^2 - 2} ( & \psi^+(k_1) QQ^+ \psi(k_2) + \psi^+(k_1) \gamma_5 QQ^+ \gamma_5 \psi(k_2) ) \right]
\end{align*}$$

First term is heavy quark–light meson interaction term, while second and third terms – $Qq$ mesons degenerated on parity. It is similar to (Chernyshev etal94,95).
Heavy quark-antiquark – light quarks interactions

Now averaged Wilson loop is given by

$$\int \prod_f D\psi_f D\psi_f^\dagger \exp \int \left( \psi_f^\dagger (\hat{p} + im_f) \psi_f \right) \prod_{\pm} \left( V_{\pm}[\psi^\dagger, \psi] \right)^{N_{\pm}}$$

$$\text{tr} \, < T | W[\psi, \psi^\dagger] | 0 >$$

where

$$< T | W[\psi, \psi^\dagger] | 0 > =$$

$$\prod_{\pm} \left( V_{\pm}[\psi^\dagger, \psi] \right)^{-N_{\pm}} \int D\zeta \prod_f \prod_{\pm} V_{\pm, f}[\psi^\dagger, \psi]$$

Solution of extended Pobilitca Eq.

$$W^{-1}[\psi, \psi^\dagger] = w_1^{-1}[\psi, \psi^\dagger](\times) w_2^{-1, T}[\psi, \psi^\dagger]$$

$$- \frac{N}{2} \sum_{\pm} \left( V_{\pm}[\psi^\dagger, \psi] \right)^{-1} \int d\zeta \prod_{\pm} V_{\pm, f}[\psi^\dagger_f, \psi_f]$$

$$\left( \theta^{-1} \left( w_1^{(1)} - \theta \right) \theta^{-1} \right)(\times) \left( \theta^{-1} \left( w_1^{(2)} - \theta \right) \theta^{-1} \right)^T + O\left( \frac{N^2}{V^2} \right)$$

where, superscript $T$ means the transposition, $(\times)$ – tensor product
Heavy quark–antiquark potential $V_{lq}$, generated by light quarks ($N_f = 1$)

Figure: Heavy quark–antiquark potential $V_{lq}(r/\rho)$ (in MeV), generated by light quarks, at Set II $\rho = 0.36$ fm, $R = 0.89$ fm.
Quarkonium light hadron transitions.

Charmonium sizes $r_c \sim 0.4 \text{ fm}$, bottomonium sizes $r_b \sim 0.2 \text{ fm}$. Hadronic transitions at the assumption $\lambda_g \gg r_c, r_b \Rightarrow$ multipole expansion (see eg Voloshin12):

But $\lambda_g \approx \rho = 0.33 \text{ fm} \sim r_c, r_b$.
What are an instanton corrections?
Quarkonium pion transitions $(Q^+ Q)' \rightarrow (Q^+ Q) \pi \pi$.

Essential part of heavy–light quarks interactions at $N_f = 2$ –the co-product of colorless heavy $Q^+ Q$ and light $\psi^+ \psi$ quarks factors $\Rightarrow$ heavy quark–pion interaction action:

$$S_{Q\pi} = -\frac{i}{2}\Delta m_Q R^4 f_{\pi Q}^2 \int d^4x \text{tr} \partial_\mu U^\dagger(x) \partial_\mu U(x)$$
$$\times \int e^{-i\vec{p} \cdot \vec{x}} \frac{d^4p_1}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4p_2}{(2\pi)^4} i_0(p_\rho) / i_0(0) Q^\dagger(p_2) Q(p_1)$$

where $f_{\pi Q}^2 \simeq 0.3 f_\pi^2$ and pions $\vec{\phi}$ are given by $U = \exp(i\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\phi})$. Similar heavy quark-antiquark – pion interaction term $S_{QQ\pi}$.

Both of these terms give a contribution to the quarkonium pion transitions and needs detailed investigations.
Discussion

- Instantons with sizes $\rho \sim$ heavy quarkonium sizes $r$ give most essential contribution to their properties. In this case instanton liquid model is applicable.

- The strength of a heavy quark-instanton interaction is defined by $\Delta m_Q \sim$ packing parameter $\rho^{-1}$, at $\rho = 0.33 \text{ fm}$, $R = 1 \text{ fm}$ $\Delta m_Q \approx 70 \text{ MeV}$.

- The analogous quantity for light quarks is $M \sim$ (packing parameter)$^{1/2}$ $\rho^{-1}$, at the same $\rho$, $R \ M \approx 360 \text{ MeV}$. Light quarks much more strongly interact with instantons due to zero-modes.

- Instantons naturally generate also heavy-light quarks interaction, which might be important for the heavy quarkonium and heavy-light quarks systems properties. It can be responsible for the SBCS effects in heavy quarks physics.
Future work

- Extend the calculations of heavy-heavy quarks potential to all distances within dyon model.
- Take into account light quarks in the observables of heavy quark physics:
  - in the heavy-heavy quarks potential;
  - quarkonium pion transitions;
  - heavy-light mesons etc.

Thank you for the attention.