



Belle Monte-Carlo production on the Amazon EC2 cloud

Martin Sevior, Tom Fifield (University of Melbourne) Nobuhiko Katayama (KEK)



17th International Conference on Computing in High Energy and Nuclear Physics 21 - 27 March 2009 Prague, Czech Republic





Outline

- SuperBelle Project
- Computing Requirements of SuperBelle
- Value Weighted Output
- Commercial Cloud Computing EC2
- Belle MC production
- Implementation of Belle MC on Amazon EC2
- Benchmarks + Costs of Belle MC on EC2





Problems of Standard Model

- Quadratic divergence of Higgs mass correction.
 - ◆ Indicates new physics in TeV scale.
 - SUSY? Little Higgs? Extra Diemensions
- Pattern of CKM matrix is not explained.
 - Underlining mechanism by new physics implied.
 - Why 3 generations?
- Cannot explain the matter excess of universe.
 - CKM not enough. Other source of CPV implied.
- Does not have dark matter candidates WMAP : ~1/4 of universe is dark matter
 - ◆ Implies new particles (e.g. SUSY has). Extra Dimensions
- Neutrino Masses are too small for Higgs mechanism
 - New Physics at very high Energy



High Energy Physics in the Next Decade











Expected Luminosity



6



Physics Reach at Super-KEKB/Belle

	Belle'06 (~0.5ab ⁻¹)	5ab ⁻¹	50ab ⁻¹			CP asymmetry in $B \rightarrow KKK$, ϕK and n'K
$\Delta S(\phi K^0)$	0.22	0.073	0.029		0.3	
$\Delta S(\eta' K^0)$	0.11	0.038	0.020	5	:	
$\Delta S(K_SK_SK_S)$	0.33	0.105	0.037	N N	0.1	
$\Delta S(K_S \pi^0 \gamma)$	0.32	0.10	0.03	fron		Exerch for H^{\pm} in $B \rightarrow \tau v$
$Br(X_s\gamma)$	13%			ion		exp. limits
$A_{CP}(X_s \gamma)$	0.058	0.01	0.005	eviat	0.03	50ab ⁻¹ assume
$C_9 [A_{FB}(K*ll)]$		11%	4%	ă	, i	$5ab \ge 600^{-}$ 5 σ discovery
$\mathrm{C_{10}}[\mathrm{A_{FB}}(\mathrm{K*ll})]$		13%	4%		0.01	
$Br(B^{\scriptscriptstyle +} \to K^{\scriptscriptstyle +} \nu \nu)$	<9Br(SM)	33ab ⁻¹ for 50	discovery		10	5
$Br(B^{\scriptscriptstyle +}\!\to\!\tau\nu)$	3.5σ	10%	3%			
$Br(B^+ \rightarrow \mu \nu)$	<2.4Br(SM)	4.3ab ⁻¹ for 5	o discovery		10	$ \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \bullet $
$Br(B^+ \rightarrow D\tau \nu)$		7.9%	2.5%		10	Bractories (Belle, BaBar)
$Br(\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma)$	<45	<30	<8			
$Br(\tau {\rightarrow} \mu \eta)$	<65	<20	<4		10	
$Br(\tau\to 3\mu)$	<209	<10	<1 X1)-9		$tan \beta$
$\Delta sin2\phi_1$	0.026	0.016	0.012		10	
$\Delta\Phi_{2}\left(ho\pi ight)$	68°—95°	3°	1°		10	SM+seesaw
$\Delta \Phi_3$ (Dalitz)	20°	7°	2.5°			SUSY+Higgs Super B factory
ΔV_{ub} (incl.)	7.3%	6.6%	6.1%		10	$0^{-3} 10^{-2} 10^{-1} 1 10$
						Integ. Lum. (ab ⁻¹) Reach of B factories
24 March 2009		M. Sevior, T.	Fifie	ld, N	. Katayama - CHEP 2009 7	





Funding Status of SuperBelle

- Next Large Project for KEK
- Japanese Government awarded \$5 Million for new equipment for SuperBelle for 2009





Current KEKB Computer System

Data size ~ 1 ab⁻¹

New KEK Computer System has 4000 CPU cores

Storage ~ 2 PetaBytes SuperBelle Requirements

Initial rate of $2x10^{35}$ cm²sec⁻¹=> 4 ab⁻¹ /year

Design rate of 8x10³⁵ cm²sec⁻¹=> 16 ab⁻¹ /year

CPU Estimate 10 – 80 times current depending on reprocessing rate

So $4x10^4 - 3.4x10^5$ CPU cores

Storage 10 PB in 2013, rising to 40 PB/year after 2016



Cloud Computing"

Cloud Computing has captured market interest

Cloud computing makes large scale computing resources available on a commercial basis

A simple SOAP request creates a "virtual computer" instance with which one can compute as they wish

Internet Companies have massive facilities and scale, order of magnitude larger than HEP



Can we use Cloud Computing to reduce the TCO of the SuperBelle Computing? M. Sevior, T. Fifield, N. Katayama - CHEP 2009 10





Cloud Computing

Internet companies have established a Business based on CPU power on demand, one could imagine that they could provide the compute and storage we need at a lower cost than dedicated facilities.



MC Production is a large fraction of HEP CPU - seems suited to Cloud





Particularly useful for Peak Demand CPU needs Employ Cloud? Unneeded CPU? **Base Line CPU** Demand

Time





Value Weighted Output

- Question: Does the value of a cluster decrease with time?
- Yes! We've all seen sad old clusters nobody wants to use.
- How do we quantify how the value of a CPU decreases?
- Moores'Law! "Computing Power Doubles in 1.5 years"

Moores Law: $P = 2^{t/1.5}$ P = CPU Power, t time in years

 \Rightarrow P = $e^{\lambda t}$ λ = 0.462 years⁻¹

Suppose a CPU can produce X events per year at purchase:

Conjecture: The Value of that output drops in proportion to Moores' Law

Define a concept: Value Weighted Output (VWO)





Value Weighted Output, VWO

So for a CPU with an output of X events per year:

$$VWO = Xe^{-\lambda .0} + Xe^{-\lambda .1} + Xe^{-\lambda 2} + Xe^{-\lambda 3} + \dots$$

Truncating after 5 years (typical lifespan of a cluster), gives

$$VWO = \sum_{t=0}^{5} Xe^{-\lambda t} \cong \int_{0}^{5} Xe^{-\lambda t} = 1.9X \quad \text{(Taking t to infinity gives 2.2 X)}$$

On the other hand the support costs are constant or increase with time

So another attraction of Cloud Computing is that the purchase of CPU power can Be made on a yearly basis.

The legacy kit of earlier purchases need not be maintained

Downsides are well known here. Not least of which is Vendor lock in.





Amazon Elastic Computing Cloud (EC2)

- Acronyms For EC2
- Amazon Machine Image (AMI)
 - Virtual Machine employed for Computing
 - (\$0.1 \$0.8 per hour of use)
- Simple Storage Solution (S3)
 - ◆ (\$0.15 per Gb per Month)
- Simple Queuing Service (SQS)
 - Used control Monitor jobs on AMI's via polling (pay per poll)
 - Really cheap!

•Chose to investigate EC2 in detail because it appeared the most mature

- •Complete access to AMI as root via ssh.
- •Large user community
- Lots of Documentation and online Howto's
- Many additional OpenSource tools





Interfacing with EC2

Use SOAP requests

Elastic Fox plugin for Firefox

- Provided by Amazon
- ◆ Register, locate, view, start and stop AMIs from Firefox
- **S**3Fox
 - ♦ 3rd party firefox plugin
 - ◆ Move data to and from S3 with Firefox
- Browser control very helpful getting started with EC2

Download Elastic Fox from:

http://developer.amazonwebservices.com/connect/entry.jspa?externalID=609

Getting Started Guide:

http://developer.amazonwebservices.com/connect/entry.jspa?externalID=1797&categoryID=174

S3Fox

http://www.rjonna.com/ext/s3fox.php





Building the AMI's

- AMI's can be anything you want.
- Many prebuilt AMI's available but no Scientific Linux
- Create Virtual Machines and Store them on S3
- Built 4 AMI's
 - ◆ An Scientific Linux (SL) 4.6 instance (Public)
 - ◆ SL4.6 with Belle Library (Used in initial Tests) (Private)
 - ◆ SL5.2 (Public)
 - ◆ SL5.2 with Belle Library (Production, Private)
- We used a loopback block device to create our virtual image.
 Standard yum install of SL but with a special version of tar
 Belle Libraries added to the base AMI's via rpm and yum
 Uploaded to S3 and registered
- •(Found a bug in ElasticFox during the SL5.2 registration!)





Playing with AMI's

- Important part is to load a script that pulls your ssh key used to register the AMI from Amazon
- With this you can ssh dirctly into your AMI as root! (no root password)
- Start the AMI with ElasticFox => gets a IP
- ssh as root into the IP => full control!
- scp data to and from the AMI
- Create as many AMIs as you wish to pay for
- (\$0.1 \$0.8 per hour)





Initial Tests

• Quick tests to check things and first guess at costs

Instance Type	EC2CU	RAM	ARCH	\$/Hour
m1.small	1	1.7	32 bit	0.10
m1.large	4	7.5	64 bit	0.40
m1.xlarge	8	15	64 bit	0.80
c1.medium	5	1.7	32 bit	0.20
c1.xlarge	20	17	64 bit	0.80





Initial Test results

Machine	cost/10 ⁴ events	cost/10°events
Small EC2 Instance	\$2.065	\$206,541.575
Large EC2 Instance	\$1.175	\$117,504.489
Extra Large EC2 Instance	\$1.176	\$117,637.111
HighCPU Med EC2 Instance	\$1.029	\$102,913.583
HighCPU XL EC2 Instance	\$0.475	\$47,548.933

10⁹ events is approximately the MC requirement of a Belle 3-month run

PowerEdge 1950 8-core box (used in Melbourne Tier 2) Cost ~ \$4000 10⁴ events in 32 minutes

Amortization Period	Events Generated	Cost/10 ⁴ events	VWO Cost/10 ⁴ events
8000 hours - 1 Year	42x10 ⁶ events	\$0.95	\$0.50
16000 hours - 2 Year	84x10 ⁶ events	\$0.47	
24000 hours - 3 Years	126x10 ⁶ events	\$0.32	

Electricity consumption: 400 W => 3500 KWhr/Yr ~\$700/year in Japan Over 5 years, VWO cost is \$0.94 per 10⁴ events





Full scale tests

- Initial test was for a series of runs on a single CPU
- Neglected important additional steps as well as startup/shutdown
- Next step was a full scale Belle MC production test.
- Million event Generation to be used for Belle Analysis



Automating Cloud Production







Accessing Data on the Cloud

- Full scale Belle MC production requires 3 types of data
- *.pgen files which contain the 4-vectors of the Physics processes
- Random triggered background Data, ("addBG") to be overlayed on the Physics
- Calibration constants for alignment and run conditions
- *.pgen and addBG data were loaded onto S3
- Accessed via a FUSE module and loaded into each AMI instance
- Calibration data was accessed via an ssh tunnel to a postgres server at Melbourne







Lifeguard

- Employ an Open Source tool called Lifeguard to manage the pool of AMIs.
- Manages the MC production as a Queuing Service
- Constantly monitors the queue
- Starts and stops AMIs as necessary
- Deals with non-responsive AMIs
- Tracks job status



Shutsdown idle AMI's at the end





Detailed Flow and Timings

- 1. Copy addBG Data to S3 2. Copy pgen files to S3
- 1. Start AMI Instance [3-10mins]
 - 2. Download and setup SSH key from special amazon address [1secs]
 - 3. Download cloud mc production package (Melbourne) and untar [2mins] 4. Download lifeguard package (Melbourne) and untar [3mins]

 - 5. Execute lifeguard service [Osecs]
- On the first job that runs:
 - 1. Setup an SSH tunnel to the postgres server [5secs]
 - 2. load s3fs fuse module [1secs]
 - 3. make mountpoint and mount pgen [2secs]
 - 4. make mountpoint and mount addbg [2secs]
 - 5. untar pgen and copy from S3 to local AMI disk [10mins]
 - 6. copy addbg files from S3 to local AMI disk [10mins]

(This step implies 5 MB/Sec transfer from S3 to local instance)

Then as below:

- Otherwise:
 - 1. start basf [5secs]
 - 2. (basf accesses melbourne postgres server) [1mins]
 - 3. Start MC generation
 - 4. Complete MC generation (BASF stops)
 - 5. Transfer output files (mdst, status only) to S3 [<5mins]

At Completion of the MC block, pull the data from Cloud into KEK





Screenshots

Ingesting

xenon lifeguard

xenon lifeguard # ./cloud_sub.sh generation.scr simulation.scr TestCloudSub2 001
Feb 24, 2009 4:21:04 PM com.directthought.lifeguard.ingestor.FileIngestor main
FINE: using bucket :autocloudmc

Feb 24, 2009 4:21:04 PM com.directthought.lifeguard.util.QueueUtil getQueueOrElse
FINE: trying...

Feb 24, 2009 4:21:07 PM com.directthought.lifeguard.util.QueueUtil getQueueOrElse FINE: trying...

Feb 24, 2009 4:21:11 PM com.directthought.lifeguard.IngestorBase ingest FINE: ingested:input.sh [E9275C64EAAE8996E1B0600F1BF18550]

Pool Manager starts a new instance



Screenshots



• 10 jobs in the queue, 9 Instances start

Reservation ID 🔺	Instance ID	AMI	State	Pu	Privat	Кеу		R		Туре	Local Launch	Availability Z
r-b069d0d9	i-6823b501	ami-06fc1b6f	running	ec2-1	domU-12	fifieldt	default		0	m1.small	2009-02-25 10:4	us-east-1b
r-3e68d157	i-6720b60e	ami-06fc1b6f	pending	1		fifieldt	default		0	m1.small	2009-02-25 10:4	us-east-1b
r-3e68d157	i-6620b60f	ami-06fc1b6f	pending	1		fifieldt	default		1	m1.small	2009-02-25 10:4	us-east-1b
r-3e68d157	i-7920b610	ami-06fc1b6f	pending	1		fifieldt	default		2	m1.small	2009-02-25 10:4	us-east-1b
r-3e68d157	i-7820b611	ami-06fc1b6f	pending	1		fifieldt	default		3	m1.small	2009-02-25 10:4	us-east-1b
r-3e68d157	i-7b20b612	ami-06fc1b6f	pending	1		fifieldt	default		4	m1.small	2009-02-25 10:4	us-east-1b
r-3e68d157	i-7a20b613	ami-06fc1b6f	pending	1		fifieldt	default		5	m1.small	2009-02-25 10:4	us-east-1b
r-3e68d157	i-7d20b614	ami-06fc1b6f	pending	1		fifieldt	default		6	m1.small	2009-02-25 10:4	us-east-1b
r-3e68d157	i-7c20b615	ami-06fc1b6f	pending	1		fifieldt	default		7	m1.small	2009-02-25 10:4	us-east-1b
r-3e68d157	i-7f20b616	ami-06fc1b6f	pending	1		fifieldt	default		8	m1.small	2009-02-25 10:4	us-east-1b

• 10 jobs in the queue, 10 instances run

Reservation ID 🔺	Instance D	AMI	State	Pub	Priva	Key		R		Туре	Local Launch	Availability Z
r-b069d0d9	i-6823b501	ami-06fc1b6f	running	ec2-17	domU-1	fifield:	default		0	m1.smal	2009-02-25 10:4	us-east-1b
r-3e68d157	i-6/20b60e	ami-06fc⊥b6f	running	ec2-/2	domU-1	fifield .	default		υ	m⊥.smal	2009-02-25 10:4	us-east-1b
r-3e68d157	i-6620b60f	ami-06fc1b6f	running	ec2-17	domU-1	fifield:	default		1	m1.smal	2009-02-25 10:4	us-east-1b
r-3e68d157	i-7920b610	ami-06fc1b6f	running	ec2-72	domU-1	fifield:	default		2	m1.smal	2009-02-25 10:4	us-east-1b
r-3e68d157	i-7820b611	ami-06tc1b6t	running	ec2-17	domU-1	fifield:	default		3	m1.smal	2009-02-25 10:4	us-east-1b
r-3e68d157	i-7b20b612	ami-06fc1b6f	running	ec2-72	domU-1	fifield:	default		4	m1.smal	2009-02-25 10:4	us-east-1b
r-3e68d157	i-7a20b613	ami-06fc1b6f	running	ec2-17	domU-1	fifield .	default		5	m1.smal	2009-02-25 10:4	us-east-1b
r-3e68d157	i-7d20b614	ami-06fc1b6f	running	ec2-17	domU-1	fifield:	default		6	m1.smal	2009-02-25 10:4	us-east-1b
r-3e68d157	i-7c20b615	ami-06fc1b6f	running	ec2-17	domU-1	fifield:	default		7	m1.smal	2009-02-25 10:4	us-east-1b
r-3e68d157	i-7f20b616	ami-06fc1b6f	running	ec2-75	domU-1	fifield .	default		8	m1.smal	2009-02-25 10:4	us-east-1b

• Jobs finish, idle timeout expires, shut down

Reservation ID 🔺	Instance ID	AMI	State	Pub	Priva	Key		Re		Туре	Local Launch	Availability Z
r-b069d0d9	i-6823b501	ami-06fc1b6f	shuttin	ec2-17	domU-1	fifieldt	default	User in	0	m1.small	2009-02-25 10:4	us-east-1b
r-9468d1fd	i-9c20b6f5	ami-06fc1b6f	shuttin	ec2-17	domU-1	fifieldt	default	User in	0	m1.small	2009-02-25 10:5	us-east-1b
r-3e68d157	i-6620b60f	ami-06fc1b6f	shuttin	ec2-17	domU-1	fifieldt	default	User in	1	m1.small	2009-02-25 10:4	us-east-1b
r-3e68d157	i-7920b610	ami-06fc1b6f	shuttin	ec2-72	domU-1	fifieldt	default	User in	2	m1.small	2009-02-25 10:4	us-east-1b
r-3e68d157	i-7820b611	ami-06fc1b6f	shuttin	ec2-17	domU-1	fifieldt	default	User in	3	m1.small	2009-02-25 10:4	us-east-1b
r-3e68d157	i-7b20b612	ami-06fc1b6f	shuttin	ec2-72	domU-1	fifieldt	default	User in	4	m1.small	2009-02-25 10:4	us-east-1b
r-3e68d157	i-7a20b613	ami-06fc1b6f	shuttin	ec2-17	domU-1	fifieldt	default	User in	5	m1.small	2009-02-25 10:4	us-east-1b
r-3e68d157	i-7d20b614	ami-06fc1b6f	shuttin	ec2-17	domU-1	fifieldt	default	User in	6	m1.small	2009-02-25 10:4	us-east-1b





Retrieval

Using the ID from ingest, list & retrieve files produced

xenon lifeguard # ./retrieve.pl -i 5A1A2F43BE25F0B34BC22EBB262804D8 -l CloudMC-001-TestCloudSub2 input: 5A1A2F43BE25F0B34BC22EBB262804D8 2DFC915CFA8BF9868279F2146C9B558F TestCloudSub2-001-service.log C6B07F632F24BE1E4E692062BE67BA42 TestCloudSub2-001-generation.log A42BACD8DC3B348E18D01906198ACC91 TestCloudSub2-001-simulation.log B8294F73D44054F1B8091D10A151489A TestCloudSub2-001-template.mdst xenon lifeguard # ./retrieve.pl -i 5A1A2F43BE25F0B34BC22EBB262804D8 C6B07F632F24BE1E4E692062BE67BA42 A42BACD8DC3B348E18D01906198ACC91 E 3D44054F1B8091D10A151489A





Results

- Exp 61 Charged RunRange 3 Stream 1 ~ 850 K events
- Run 20 HighCPU-XL instances (8 cores, 17Gb RAM)
- Retrieve addbg data from S3
- Store results in S3 before transfer to KEK
- A way to look at real cost of cloud





Running

Reservation ID	Instance ID	AMI	State	Public DNS	Private DNS	Кеу	Туре	Local Launch Time	Tag
r-fd46c194	i-ae71efc7	ami-7735d	terminated			fifieldt	c1.xlarge	2009-03-15 14:22:32	
r-fd46c194	i-al71efc8	ami-7735d	terminated			fifieldt	c1.xlarge	2009-03-15 14:22:32	
r-e940c780	i-1169f778	ami-7735d	terminated			fifieldt	c1.xlarge	2009-03-15 16:16:03	
r-aa40c7c3	i-d669f7bf	ami-7735d	running	ec2-174-129-116-137.compu	ip-10-250-163-223.ec2.internal	fifieldt	c1.xlarge	2009-03-15 16:19:23	
r-aa40c7c3	i-a969f7c0	ami-7735d	running	ec2-75-101-243-21.compute	ip-10-250-43-159.ec2.internal	fifieldt	c1.xlarge	2009-03-15 16:19:23	
r-aa40c7c3	i-a869f7c1	ami-7735d	running	ec2-75-101-226-223.comput	ip-10-250-94-207.ec2.internal	fifieldt	c1.xlarge	2009-03-15 16:19:23	
r-aa40c7c3	i-ab69f7c2	ami-7735d	running	ec2-67-202-38-36.compute-1	ip-10-250-222-239.ec2.internal	fifieldt	c1.xlarge	2009-03-15 16:19:23	
r-aa40c7c3	i-aa69f7c3	ami-7735d	running	ec2-174-129-114-89.comput	ip-10-251-63-239.ec2.internal	fifieldt	c1.xlarge	2009-03-15 16:19:23	
r-aa40c7c3	i-ac69f7c5	ami-7735d	running	ec2-72-44-33-190.compute-1	ip-10-250-230-255.ec2.internal	fifieldt	c1.xlarge	2009-03-15 16:19:23	
r-aa40c7c3	i-af69f7c6	ami-7735d	running	ec2-174-129-153-242.compu	ip-10-250-71-63.ec2.internal	fifieldt	c1.xlarge	2009-03-15 16:19:23	
r-aa40c7c3	i-ae69f7c7	ami-7735d	running	ec2-75-101-205-44.compute	ip-10-250-198-239.ec2.internal	fifieldt	c1.xlarge	2009-03-15 16:19:23	
r-aa40c7c3	i-a169f7c8	ami-7735d	running	ec2-67-202-1-207.compute-1	ip-10-250-146-223.ec2.internal	fifieldt	c1.xlarge	2009-03-15 16:19:23	
r-aa40c7c3	i-ad69f7c4	ami-7735d	terminated			fifieldt	c1.xlarge	2009-03-15 16:19:23	
r-574fc83e	i-2766f84e	ami-7735d	running	ec2-174-129-156-115.compu	ip-10-250-211-79.ec2.internal	fifieldt	c1.xlarge	2009-03-15 16:29:30	
r-164fc87f	i-dc66f8b5	ami-7735d	pending			fifieldt	c1.xlarge	2009-03-15 16:35:35	
r-164fc87f	i-c566f8ac	ami-7735d	running	ec2-75-101-216-251.comput	ip-10-250-210-175.ec2.internal	fifieldt	c1.xlarge	2009-03-15 16:35:35	
r-164fc87f	i-c466f8ad	ami-7735d	running	ec2-75-101-217-173.comput	ip-10-250-35-175.ec2.internal	fifieldt	c1.xlarge	2009-03-15 16:35:35	
r-164fc87f	i-c766f8ae	ami-7735d	running	ec2-72-44-36-91.compute-1	ip-10-250-34-47.ec2.internal	fifieldt	c1.xlarge	2009-03-15 16:35:35	
r-164fc87f	i-d966f8b0	ami-7735d	running	ec2-174-129-182-249.compu	ip-10-250-187-207.ec2.internal	fifieldt	c1.xlarge	2009-03-15 16:35:35	
r-164fc87f	I-d866f8b1	ami-7735d	running	ec2-75-101-243-99.compute	lp-10-250-30-159.ec2.internal	fifieldt	c1.xlarge	2009-03-15 16:35:35	
r-164fc87f	i-db66f8b2	ami-7735d	running	ec2-75-101-181-136.comput	ip-10-250-127-143.ec2.internal	fifieldt	c1.xlarge	2009-03-15 16:35:35	
r-164fc87f	i-da66f8b3	ami-7735d	running	ec2-174-129-178-75.comput	ip-10-250-63-239.ec2.internal	fifieldt	c1.xlarge	2009-03-15 16:35:35	
r-164fc87f	i-dd66f8b4	ami-7735d	running	ec2-67-202-16-241.compute	ip-10-250-218-95.ec2.internal	fifieldt	c1.xlarge	2009-03-15 16:35:35	
r-164fc87f	i-c666f8af	ami-7735d	terminated			fifieldt	c1.xlarge	2009-03-15 16:35:35	





Costs

- Managed to do 752,233 events in time for this presentation
- CPU cost: \$80
 - ◆ 20 Instances, 4 hours 57minutes
- Storage cost: \$0.20
 - Storage on S3: Addbg 3.1Gb, pgen 0.5Gb, results 37Gb, \$6.08/month or \$0.20/day
- Transfer cost: \$6.65
 - ◆ Addbg, pgen in: \$0.36, mdst out: \$6.29
- **Total Cost: \$86.85**

Naïve early estimate without automation and storage overhead ~\$40 Need to get equivalent times for GRID production of MC data





Conclusions

- Value Weighted Output metric to estimate the present time value of CPU
- Can make a few more tweaks to minimize costs
- Charged for the period of time we claim the AMIs
- Keep AMIs active!
- Cloud is promising for MC production
- Can deliver Peak Demand if needed
- Transfer speeds from S3 to AMI likely too slow for large scale data analysis for HEP
- On-demand creation of virtual machines is a flexible way of utilizing **Computational Grids**

Thank you!

