# THE ALICE WORKLOAD MANAGEMENT SYSTEM: STATUS BEFORE THE REAL DATA TAKING

# Stefano Bagnasco INFN Torino

On behalf of the ALICE AliEn team



CHEP2009 - Prague, Mar 23-27 2009

# OUTLINE OF THE TALK

- Job Management model in ALICE
- Issues with gLite 3.1 WMS
- Experience with CREAM
- Conclusions





# KEY CONCEPTS I

- Task Queue and Optimizers
  - Central DB of jobs to be executed
  - Optimizers split and arrange jobs according to input data, priority policies and/or user defined criteria
- Site VO-Box
  - Thin interfaces to underlying Grid site services
  - Submits JobAgents to site
  - Takes care of proxy management
- JobAgent
  - Runs on WNs, downloads payload from the TQ and executes it
  - JAs create a "virtual grid" on top of existing Grid infrastructures



### ALICE JOB MANAGEMENT I





# ALICE JOB MANAGEMENT II





# KEY CONCEPTS II

- Task Queue and Optimizers
  - Central DB of jobs to be executed
  - Optimizers split and arrange jobs according to input data, priority policies and/or user defined criteria
- Site VO-Box
  - Thin interfaces to underlying Grid site services
  - Submits JobAgents to site
  - Takes care of proxy management

#### JobAgent

- Runs on WNs, downloads payload from the TQ and executes it
- JAs create a "virtual grid" on top of existing Grid infrastructures



### ALICE JOB MANAGEMENT III





### ALICE JOB MANAGEMENT IV





# ALICE JOB MANAGEMENT V





# KEY CONCEPTS III

- Task Queue and Optimizers
  - Central DB of jobs to be executed
  - Optimizers split and arrange jobs according to input data, priority policies and/or user defined criteria
- Site VO-Box
  - Thin interfaces to underlying Grid site services
  - Submits JobAgents to site
  - Takes care of proxy management
- JobAgent
  - Runs on WNs, downloads payload from the TQ and executes it
  - JAs create a "virtual grid" on top of existing Grid infrastructures



### ALICE JOB MANAGEMENT VI





### ALICE JOB MANAGEMENT VII



The ALICE Workload Management System - CHEP2009-12/3475

# ALICE JOB MANAGEMENT VIII





# EXPERIENCE WITH WMS

#### • **Problem**: huge backlogs in the internal queue

- Reason still unclear
- Several conditions can trigger the problem
- These jobs are "invisible" from the IS
- No direct access to WMS internal status
  - This problem is impossible to catch from the VO-Box





**Stefano Bagnasco - INFN Torino** The ALICE Workload Management System – CHEP2009- 14/3475

## SUBMISSION THROUGH WMS





**Stefano Bagnasco - INFN Torino** The ALICE Workload Management System – CHEP2009- 15/3475

### SUBMISSION THROUGH CREAM







#### • CREAM CE allows direct submission

- Would have been possible also with older CEs, maybe using Condor
- Only extra service needed is a GridFTP server on the VO-Box
- Second VO-Box needed for parallel submission
- First tests in FZK (summer 2008)
  - Very good results
  - Decision to push towards CREAM
- Test phase with 5 sites
  - 67 kJobs in 1 month



- CE installation not always straightforward
  - Many sites reported problems and inconsitencies in documentation
- Excellent support from CREAM developers
  - Thanks to Massimo Sgaravatto
- Afterwards CREAM CE is very stable
- Missing feature: multi-CE submission
  - Simple site-wide load balance



# CREAM VS. WMS SUBMISSION





- CREAM and "normal" CEs competing for resources
  - Same WNs, same PBS server physically hosted on the old CE
  - Two WMSs in load-balancing configuration
  - Separate VO-Boxes (similar machines)
- Lower latency translates into steeper ramps
- Factor 2 à regime
  - Please note different vertical scale



# CONCLUSIONS

- The overall ALICE job management model is mature and proved itself quite stable and scalable
- The VO-Box + JobAgent mechanism provides a good user and central services insulation layer
- Experience with the gLite 3.1 WMS was disappointing
- Direct submission to the CREAM CE fits well into the schema, and is currently proving itself reliable and very efficient

#### • Questions, comments?

