Highlights from PHENIX





Introduction

Highlights selected from recent PHENIX results

- Beam Energy scan 7.7 200 GeV
- Direct photon emission in AuAu
- Dilepton continuum in AuAu
- Charm and Bottom energy loss in AuAu
- Strongly coupled matter in small systems (pA, dA, ³HeA)

Summary and Outlook





The PHENIX Experiment



The PHENIX Experiment



PHENIX Results after 15 Years of Operation

Highlights based on:

Advanced analysis techniques: Example b/c separation



Stony Brook University

Versatile operation RHIC



Results from detector upgrades





Schematic View of Space-Time Evolution



Beam Energy Scan

• Comprehensive surveys of multiple observables as function of beam energy

• HBT Radii:

PHENIX: arXiv 1410:2559 (2014)

- N_{ch}, E_T :
- net N_{ch} cumulants

PHENIX: arXiv 1509:06727 (2015)

PHENIX: arXiv 1506:07834 (2015)



Monotonic change of baryo-chemical potential at constant freeze-out temperature



Thermal Radiation from Hot & Dense Matter

Black Body Radiation

- Real or virtual photons
- Spectrum and yield sensitive to temperature Avg. inv. slope ∝ T, Yield ∝ T³
- Space-time evolution of matter collective motion → Doppler shift

→ anisotropy



High yield \rightarrow high T \rightarrow early emission Large Doppler shift \rightarrow late emission

Microscopic view of thermal radiation

QGP:hadron gas: g^{mmm} q π q γ ρ q γ ρ photonslow mass lepton pairs

Need realistic model simulation for rates and space-time evolution for quantitative comparison with data



Direct Photon Emission from 200 GeV Au+Au



Anisotropic Emission of Direct Photons

PHENIX: arXiv:1509.07758 (2015)



Anisotropic emission of direct photon with large v₂ and v₃





Direct Photon Puzzle



Stony Brook University

Emission at hadronization

Extended emission

*list not complete

Large yield and v_n challenge understanding of sources, emission rates and space-time evolution



Low Mass e⁺e⁻ Pair Emission

PHENIX run 2004 data show large low mass dilepton excess

- factor of ~5 in min.bias collisions
- Small signal-to-background (S/B) ratio ~ 1:500
- Much larger than expected from theoretical models
- Recent STAR results shows smaller (factor ~1.8) enhancement

STAR: Phys.Lett. 113 022301 (2014)

PHENIX: arXiv 1509.04667 (2015)

- PHENIX Hadron Blind Detector (HBD) upgrade designed to improve S/B and to study e⁺e⁻ continuum more precisely
- **HBD data taken in 2010 now fully analyzed:**
 - Active rejection of conversion and Dalitz pairs (close pairs)
 - Improved hadron rejection
 - Neural networks to optimize cuts



- Quantitative understanding of background
- Results verified by 2nd analysis using different techniques



11

PHENIX: Phys.Rev.C81 034911 (2010)

Low Mass e⁺e⁻ Enhancement in 200 GeV Au+Au







Energy Loss of Open Heavy Flavor

PHENIX: Phys. Rev. C 84, 044905 (2011)



Discovery of large suppression and elliptic flow of single electrons from heavy flavor decays



 Data implies charm AND bottom lose energy



Silicon Vertex Tracker (VTX) since 2011

Use distance of closest approach (DCA) to unfold charm and bottom contribution

Electron DCA_T



PHENIX: arXiv:1509.04662 (2015)

- Prompt components
 - **Dalitz** $(\pi, \eta \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma)$
 - $J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-$
- Non-prompt components
 - Conversions γ → e⁺e after ~75% rejected by 2nd hit in VTX
 - $K_s^0, K^{\pm} \rightarrow e \nu \pi$
- Mis-reconstructed component
 - Hadrons identified as electrons
 - Wrong VTX hit association





Silicon Vertex Tracker (VTX) since 2011

Use distance of closest approach (DCA) to unfold charm and bottom contribution

Electron DCA_T



PHENIX: arXiv:1509.04662 (2015)

- Prompt components
 - **Dalitz** $(\pi, \eta \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma)$
 - $J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-$
- Non-prompt components
 - Conversions γ → e⁺e after ~75% rejected by 2nd hit in VTX
 - $K_s^0, K^{\pm} \rightarrow e \nu \pi$
- Mis-reconstructed component
 - Hadrons identified as electrons
 - Wrong VTX hit association





Unfolding Charm and Bottom Spectra

PHENIX: arXiv:1509.04662 (2015) DCA for B, D decays depends on momentum distribution which is not *a priori* known 3.5 < p_T^c <4.0 (b) **Input:** Variables: c.b hadron Heavy flavor yields θ : electron data *x*: 3.2e-02 1.8e-02 p_{T}^{c} 7.0e-03 (c) 7.0e-03 (c) 3.0e-03 $2.5 < p_T^b < 3.0$ $d\theta_c \ d\theta_b$ yield $\frac{1}{N_{evt}}\frac{dN^{ehf}}{dp_T}$ (d) $dp_T' dp_T$ $DCA_T(p_T)$ Markov Crain MC 1.8e-02 3.2e-02 7.0e-03 3.0e-03 3.5 < p_T^c <4.0 vield $\pi(\theta)$ surpress Likelihood discontinuities $P(x|\theta)$ deviations from θ_{prior} р_т **Output:** Probability for θ given x: $p(\theta|x) = \frac{P(x|\theta)\pi(\theta)}{P(x)}$ p_{T}^{c} p_T Stony Brook University PH

Charm and Bottom Hadrons

PHENIX: arXiv:1509.04662 (2015)





Charm and Bottom R_{AA}

PHENIX: arXiv:1509.04662 (2015)



Mapped p_T dependence separately for charm and bottom suppression





Comparison to Theoretical Models

PHENIX: arXiv:1509.04662 (2015)





QGP in Small Systems

- CMS discovery of collective phenomena "the ridge" in p+p and p+Pb
- Use versatility RHIC for a set of controlled experiment
 - Engineer initial state geometry through collision system





Flow in Small Systems at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$

PHENIX ³HeAu: Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 142301 (2015) **Top 5% in centrality** PHENIX dAu: Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 192301 (2015) _{- 0.3} v₂: 0-5% ³He+Au 200 GeV $r^{^{3}HeAu}_{2} \geq v_{2}^{dAu} > v_{2}^{pAu}$ v₃: 0-5% ³He+Au 200 GeV v_{2} 0.25 v₂: 0-5% d+Au 200 GeV > ³He+Au 200GeV 0-5%, arXiv:1507.06273 0.22 0.2 0.2 d+Au 200GeV 0-5%, PRL. 114, 192301 p+Au 200GeV 0-5% 0.18 0.16 0.15 • ٧, 0.14 0.12 0.1 0.1 0.08 0.06 0.05 ۷3 0.04 **PH**^{*}ENIX 0.02 preliminary 0^L 0 1.5 0.5 0.5 2 2.5 3 3.5 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5 p_{_} [GeV/c] p_ [GeV/c]

> Collective motion: Large anisotropy v₂ in p+Au, d+Au, and v2, v3 ³He-Au





Empirical Scaling Behavior





Comparison to Model Predictions

3He(d)+A $\uparrow v_n$, p+A $\downarrow v_n$ under predicts v_n at high p_T



and early time evolution



Jet Measurements in d+Au

24

PHENIX: arXiv 1509.04657 (2015)

- Jet reconstruction in p+p and d+Au
 12 < p_T < 50 GeV/s
- R_{dA} ~ 1 for min.bias d+Au
- R_{dA} shows strong centrality dependence
 - For central collisions jets are suppressed
 - For peripheral collisions jets are enhanced

No evidence for final state effects in jet measurements in d+Au



ψ(2S) Suppression in p+A Collisions



- Strong suppression of $\psi(2S)$ at backward rapidity in p+Au
 - 50% suppression of double ratio to J/ψ in min.bias collisions

Evidence for final state effect in charmonium production in p+Au



Summary and Outlook

- Large yield and large anisotropy (v2,v3) of direct photons
 - Challenges understanding of sources, rates and space-time evolution
- Final results on low mass dielectron continuum
 - Moderate enhancement consistent with broadening of p in medium
- Separate charm and bottom both are suppressed at high p_T
 - New constraints for theoretical models
- Strongly coupled matter created in central pA, dA, ³HeA
 - New sensitive to initial state and early collision dynamics ٩
 - No indication for jet energy loss
 - **Evidence for final state effects in charmonium**

Outlook beyond QM2015

- Many ongoing analysis
- High statistics data sets Au+Au 2014, p+p 2015
- Final run in 2016: high ۵. statistics Au+Au and d+Au energy scan



A Large-Acceptance Jet and Y Detector for RHIC



- Science case endorsed through Department of Energy review
- First constitutional collaboration meeting December 10-12, 2015 at Rutgers University, New Jersey, USA







Systematic Uncertainties on v_n

$$\sigma_{v_n^{dir}}^2 = \left(\frac{R_{\gamma}}{R_{\gamma}-1}\right)^2 \times \sigma_{v_n^{inc}}^2 + \left(\frac{1}{R_{\gamma}-1}\right)^2 \times \sigma_{v_n^{dec}}^2 + \left(\frac{v_n^{dec}-v_n^{inc}}{R_{\gamma}-1}\right)^2 \times \sigma_{R_{\gamma}}^2 + \sigma_{EP}^2$$

- Revisited systematic uncertainty calculation based on discussions with ALICE
- Non-linear dependence of uncertainty on R_v
 - Asymmetric uncertainties
- Model probability distributions with MC



Source	0-20%	20-40%	40-60%	Type
R_{γ} (from [3])	5.5%	5.5%	5.5%	В
$\mathbf{v}_{2}^{\mathrm{inc}}$ inclusive photons				
conversion method	$<\!\!1\%$	$<\!\!1\%$	$<\!\!1\%$	в
calorimeter method	4%	3%	4%	В
v ₂ ^{dec} decay photon				
meson v_2 (stat)	$<\!\!1\%$	$<\!\!1\%$	$<\!\!1\%$	Α
$\pi^0 v_2 \text{ (sys)}$	5%	3%	2%	В
$\eta, \omega v_2 \text{ (sys)}$	$<\!\!1\%$	$<\!\!1\%$	$<\!\!1\%$	В
Event plane	3%	3%	3%	\mathbf{C}
$\mathbf{v}_3^{\mathrm{inc}}$ inclusive photons				
conversion method	$<\!\!1\%$	$<\!\!1\%$	$<\!\!1\%$	В
calorimeter method	5%	7%	10%	В
$\mathbf{v}_3^{ ext{dec}}$ decay photon				
meson v_3 (stat)	1%	2%	4%	Α
$\pi^0 v_3 \; { m (sys)}$	11%	11%	11%	В
$\eta, \omega v_3 { m (sys)}$	$\sim 1\%$	$\sim 1\%$	$\sim 1\%$	в
Event plane	6%	7%	18%	С

Model Dependence of Heavy Flavor

Mass spectra







Comparison to previous PHENIX analysis

- □ Hadron contamination: was 30%, now 5% in MB
- Signal sensitivity: a factor of ~3.5 improvement in 0.15-0.75 GeV/c²
- Pair cuts: now stronger pair cuts fully remove detector correlations
- Flow: now included in the shape of the mixed BG
- e-h pairs: now subtracted
- □ Jets: oposite jets component now explicitly subtracted
- Background subtraction: all correlated components calculated and subtracted on absoulte terms

Dilepton Enhancement

TABLE IX: The enhancement factor, defined as the ratio between the measured yield and the expected yield for $0.15 < m_{ee} < 0.75 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, for different centrality bins. The meaning of the errors is defined in the text.

Centrality	Enhancement (\pm stat \pm syst \pm model)
00-10 %	$7.6 \pm 0.5 \pm 1.5 \pm 1.5$
10-20 %	$3.2 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.6$
20-40~%	$1.4 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.3$
40-60 %	$0.8 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.2$
60-92~%	$1.5 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.3$
Min. Bias	$4.7 \pm 0.4 \pm 1.5 \pm 0.9$

TABLE VIII. Enhancement factors, defined as the ratio of measured over expected dilepton yield in the mass region $m_{ee} = 0.30-0.76 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, for the five centrality bins and for MB. The enhancement factors are quoted separately for the two cases where the correlated yield from $c\bar{c}$ decays is calculated with PYTHIA or MC@NLO. The ±model uncertainties represent the cocktail systematic uncertainties.

Centrality	Enhancement factor $\pm {\rm stat} \pm {\rm syst} \pm {\rm model}$		
	MC@NLO $c\bar{c}$	PYTHIA $c\bar{c}$	
MB	$1.7\ {\pm}0.3\ {\pm}0.3\ {\pm}0.2$	$2.3\ {\pm}0.4\ {\pm}0.4\ {\pm}0.2$	
0%– $10%$	$2.3\ {\pm}0.7\ {\pm}0.5\ {\pm}0.2$	$3.2 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.2$	
10%– $20%$	$1.3\ {\pm}0.4\ {\pm}0.5\ {\pm}0.2$	$1.8\ {\pm}0.6\ {\pm}0.7\ {\pm}0.2$	
20%40%	$1.4\ {\pm}0.2\ {\pm}0.3\ {\pm}0.2$	$1.8\ {\pm}0.3\ {\pm}0.4\ {\pm}0.2$	
40%60%	$1.2\ {\pm}0.2\ {\pm}0.3\ {\pm}0.2$	$1.6\ {\pm}0.2\ {\pm}0.4\ {\pm}0.2$	
60%–92%	$1.0\ {\pm}0.1\ {\pm}0.2\ {\pm}0.2$	$1.4\ {\pm}0.2\ {\pm}0.3\ {\pm}0.2$	



Testing Possible Baryon Enhancement II

- Including above enhancement causes an increase in the bottom electron fraction.
- Within systematic uncertainties of the measurement.
- Not included as an additional uncertainty.





Small Systems





