# Determination of strangeness using data from neutrino experiments and hadron collider

Investigations into the Strange Sea Quark Distribution

Kristin Lohwasser EPS, Vienna, 24th July 2015

Based on:

**Determination of Strange Sea Quark Distributions from Fixed-target and Collider Data** S. Alekhin, J. Blümlein, L. Caminada, K. Lipka, K. Lohwasser, S. Moch, R. Petti, R. Placakyte





#### The strange sea quark puzzle

> Strange sea quarks only weakly constrained by HERA data

LHC data seems to suggest enhancement of strange sea quarks compared to expectations from fixed target experiments: ATLAS fit to W/Z data [Phys. Rev. D85 (2012) 072004, Phys.Rev.Lett. 109 (2012) 012001]

... but not confirmed by CMS data [Phys. Rew. D 90 (2014) 032004]

- Currently among largest sources of uncertainties on W mass measurements at the LHC [ATL-PHYS-PUB-2014-015]
- Investigate these discrepancies and the consistency of the measurements in a joint QCD analysis with data from Fixed-target and Collider Data



## The fixed-target data: Neutrino scattering off Fe-target

#### > NuTeV and CCFR [Phys.Rev. D64, 112006 (2001)]

Measurement of absolute differential di-muon cross-section  $\sigma_{_{\mu\mu}}/dxdy$  Minimal muon energy threshold of 5 GeV

#### > NOMAD [Nucl.Phys. B876, 339 (2013)]

Measurement of ratio  $R_{\mu\mu} = \sigma_{\mu\mu}/CC$  (inc. charged current cross-section) as function of E, x and partonic center-of-mass,  $\sqrt{s}$  $\rightarrow$  cancellation of uncertainties including nuclear corrections

Minimal muon energy threshold 3 GeV

→ larger phase space reduces extrapolation (previous data) reducing sensitivity to charm quark fragmentation but inclusive branching ratio B<sub>µ</sub> requires still extra effort (backup slides)

Increased data set

 $\rightarrow$  3 x the statistics of NuTeV and CCFR data sets



## The fixed-target data: Charm production in emulsions

#### > CHORUS [New J.Phys. 13,093002 (2011)]

Measurement of ratio  $R_c = \sigma_c/CC$  (inc. charged current cross-section) with the total charm cross section  $\sigma_c$ as function of the neutrino energy E

 $\rightarrow$  Ratio cancels uncertainties including nuclear corrections

direct detection of charmed hadrons and their decays  $\rightarrow$  measurement of visible neutrino energy and charm decay length  $\rightarrow$  no dependence on branching ratio  $B_{\mu}$ 

no definite energy threshold, but lower energy resolution  $\rightarrow$  reducing even further sensitivity to charm quark fragmentation

Total of 2013 events  $\rightarrow$  low statistics

restriction on the kinematic region used for analysis of  $Q^2 > 1$  GeV



## The collider data: LHC measurements of W+charm

Detection of associated charm quark by semi-leptonic decay into muon or charmed hadron in various decay channels



#### ATLAS [JHEP 05, 068 (2014)]

Measurement of  $|\eta_{\ell}|$  cross section up to 2.5 **at hadron level** compared to prediction using aMC@NLO (NLO in W+c)

minimum lepton  $p_{T}$  of 20 GeV

#### CMS [JHEP 02, 013 (2014)]

Measurement of  $|\eta_{\ell}|$  cross section up to 2.1 **at parton level** compared to prediction using MCFM interfaced to APPLGRID

minimum lepton  $p_{T}$  of 35 GeV



## Further input data sets

- Deep inelastic scattering (DIS) neutral current (NC) inclusive production
- DIS charm production
- Fermilab fixed-target Drell-Yan (DY) FNAL-E-605 and FNAL-E-866

> LHC DY

Study the effect of the new data with strange sensitivity





## Investigation into the impact of new data

#### > Impact of single data sets

NuTeV/CCFR + 1) NOMAD

2) CHORUS

## 3) **CMS**

#### > Combined Impact of new data sets

NuTeV/CCFR +

- 4) CHORUS + NOMAD
- $\rightarrow$  impact of (anti)-neutrino induced charm data

#### 5) ATLAS + CMS

→ consistency check in view of different strange distributions obtained by experiments

#### 6) CHORUS + ATLAS + CMS

 $\rightarrow$  impact data sets independent of semi-leptonic branching ratio  $B_{\mu}$ 

## Fit ingredients and data treatment

#### > QCD to good approximation at NNLO accuracy

- $\rightarrow$  NNLO evolution
- $\rightarrow$  NNLO massless DIS and DY coefficient functions
- → NLO+massive DIS coefficient functions (fixed flavor number scheme) NLO + NNLO threshold corrections for neutral current (NC) NNLO description of charge current (CC) above Q ≫ m<sub>charm</sub> running masses (MS scheme of heavy-quark masses)
- $\rightarrow$  NNLO exclusive DY (DYNNLO 1.3 / FEWZ 3.1)

#### > Deuteron corrections in DIS

- $\rightarrow$  Fermi motion, off-shell effects
- > Power corrections in DIS
  - $\rightarrow$  target mass effects
  - $\rightarrow$  dynamical twist-4 terms

For ATLAS and CMS data, a **fast interpolation grid** is used Phys.Rev. D89, 054028 (2014)

- > Starting scale  $Q_0 = 3 \text{ GeV}$
- > Strange parametrization  $s(x,\mu_0) = A_s x^{a_s} (1-x)^{b_s}$



## 1) NuTeV/CCFR + NOMAD

 $\mu$ =3 GeV, n<sub>f</sub>=3



 $\rightarrow$  largest effect at high-x due to better coverage of NOMAD

 $\rightarrow \chi^2$ /NDP = 49 / 48 (number of data points)

 $\rightarrow$  Some deviations of partial fits from total fit – but all within uncertainty

## 2+4) NuTeV/CCFR + CHORUS (+NOMAD)





 $\rightarrow \chi^2/\text{NDP} = 5.9 / 6$  (number of data points)  $\rightarrow$  CHORUS data somewhat higher than fits



## 3) NuTeV/CCFR + CMS



#### Strange slightly enhanced for CMS data

➤ Enhancement increases if only CMS or CMS+CHORUS are used poorly constrained strange → fix low-x strange exponent a<sub>s</sub>=-0.234±0.036 from all neutrino data for fit stability

 $\rightarrow$  Extracted strange suppression consistent with previous CMS result



## 5) ATLAS + CMS

#### > Strange suppression

 $\rightarrow$  Quantifies strange quark distribution compared to  $\overline{d}$ -valence

$$r_{s}(x,\mu^{2}) = \frac{s(x,\mu^{2}) + \overline{s}(x,\mu^{2})}{2\overline{d}(x,\mu^{2})}$$

#### > Difference in r<sub>s</sub> determined from fits of either experiement

 $\rightarrow$  Depends on parametrisation, high-*x* region not covered by data





## 5) ATLAS + CMS

## > ATLAS data well described by fits using CHORUS+CMS data

- $\rightarrow \chi^2/\text{NDP} = 34.5 / 30$
- $\rightarrow$  Data are consistent!

ATLAS (7 TeV, 4.6 1/fb)





## 6) CHORUS + ATLAS + CMS versus NuTeV/CCFR+NOMAD

> Data without reliance on ratio B<sub>n</sub> generally increases strangeness

Largest pull from highest |η,|-bin of ATLAS experiment



> Strange suppression:

This analysis  $r_s = 0.56 \pm 0.04$ 

ATLAS profiling of HERAPDF

$$r_s = 0.96 \,{}^{+0.16}_{-0.18} \,{}^{+0.21}_{-0.24}$$

Correlation of strange with d-valence: Larger strangeness compensated in ATLAS analysis by x(d-u) For this analysis constrained by FNAL-E-866 data



## Some discrepancies in $x(\overline{d}-\overline{u})$

Correlation of strange with d-valence leads to discrepancies with FNAL-E-866 experiment

 $x(\overline{d}-\overline{u}) \sim 0$  for other fits / data



#### Conclusions

- Investigation into strange quark content of the proton → Addition of new neutrino and collider data sets
- Little impact on central value due to higher statistics neutrino-Fe data (NOMAD)
- Strangeness enhanced by 20% for data not relying on semi-leptonic branching ratio B<sub>n</sub>
  - $\rightarrow$  for both emulsion-neutrino and LHC collider data
- > Inclusion of ATLAS and CMS data in one fit without problems
  - $\rightarrow$  data fully compatible
  - $\rightarrow$  highest ATLAS of  $|\eta_{\ell}|$ -bin with most effect

> 3% uncertainty on LHC measurements needed to improve strange fit



#### Backup



## First picture to appear when you google "vienna strange"



Kristin Lohwasser | Determination of strangeness using the data from neutrino experiments and hadron collider | 24.7.2015 | Page 17

## Backup: Energy-dependent branching ratio

> Branching ratio depends on semi-leptonic branching fractions and production fractions, which are dependent on neutrino energy



$$B_{\mu} = \sum_{h} B^{h}_{\mu} f_{h}(E_{\nu})$$

Fitted simultaneously with PDFs with constraints from emulsion data

$$B_{\mu}(E_{\nu}) = \sum_{h} r^{h}(E_{\nu}) B_{\mu}^{h} = a/(1+b/E_{\nu})$$

$$B_{\mu}(E_{\nu}) = \frac{B_{\mu}^{(0)}}{1 + B_{\mu}^{(1)}/E_{\nu}}$$

