Multiple Parton Interaction Studies at DØ

Don Lincoln Fermilab on behalf of the DØ Collaboration

> EUROPEAN PHYSICAL SOCIETY CONFERENCE ON HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS 2015 222 - 29 JULY 2015 VIENNA, AUSTRIA

Outline

- Big ideas and history
- Double Parton interactions in $\gamma\gamma$ + dijet
- Double Parton interaction in $J/\psi + \Upsilon$ events
- Summary / interpretation

Hadron-Hadron collision: simplified



Hadron-Hadron collision: traditional



Hadron-Hadron Collision: Double parton interactions



Historical data #1

Charged particle multiplicity

UA5, 540 GeV, ppbar

Multiple Parton Interaction models

Hard scattering only; +ISR/FSR



 σ_n is a cross section to produce a final state with *n* tracks (Nch).

Historical data #2

Jet pedestal effect



ET density distribution inside and around jet can only be described if MPI contribution is taken into account.

ET density and charge particle multiplicities allowed T.Sjostrand and M.van Zijl to build first real, software-implemented MPI model (aka "Tune A") and describe many "puzzling features" in jet productions in UA1-UA5: PRD36 (1987) 2019

Motivation

Most MPI processes are non-perturbative

implemented in models of hadron structure and fragmentation.

Being phenomenological, experimental input crucial. [mainly minbias] Tevatron (0.63, 1.8, 1.96 TeV; + recent 0.3, 0.9 runs) SPS (0.2, 0.54, 0.9 TeV), LHC (7 & 8 TeV data) Tevatron DY and similar LHC data.

MPI can be tested in higher pT regime [e.g. pT(jet) > 15 GeV]

In the energy regime of perturbative QCD! MPI events can mimic a signature of a new physics processes

Having measured MPI observable and reliably calculable partonic cross section, one can limit MPI phenomenological models.

Double Parton events: Higgs production background



Many Higgs production channels can be mimicked by a Double Parton event!

Same is true for many other rare (especially multijet) processes

Double parton and effective cross sections

$$\begin{split} & \sigma_{\text{DP}} \ \ \text{-double parton cross section for processes A and B} \\ & \sigma_{\text{eff}} \ \ \text{-characterizes size of effective interaction region} \\ & \rightarrow \text{ information on the spatial distribution of partons.} \\ & \text{Uniform: } \sigma_{\text{eff}} \text{ is large and } \sigma_{\text{DP}} \text{ is small} \\ & \text{Compact: } \sigma_{\text{eff}} \text{ is small and } \sigma_{\text{DP}} \text{ is large} \end{split}$$

 $\rightarrow \sigma_{\text{eff}}$ is phenomenological parameter => should be measured in experiment !

Effective cross section $\sigma_{\mbox{\tiny eff}}$ is directly related with parton spatial density:

$$\sigma_{\text{eff}} = \left[\int d^2\beta [F(\beta)]^2\right]^{-1}$$
$$F(\beta) = \int f(b)f(1-b)d^2b$$

where f(b) is the density of partons in transverse space.

=> Having σ_{eff} measured we can estimate f(b)



 $\sigma_{DP} = \frac{\sigma_A \sigma_B}{\sigma_{\text{eff}}}$

- Extraction of DP events
- Measurement of effective cross section

• Basic approach:

- Determine photon purity
- Determine photon + jet acceptance
- Determine double parton fractions
- Count events, divide by luminosity
 - Calculate DP cross-section
 - Calculate σ_{eff} .
 - The usual.

```
Kinematic cuts:
```

```
Diphotons
P_T^{\gamma 1} > 16 \text{ GeV}, P_T^{\gamma 2} > 15 \text{ GeV}
|y| < 1.0
```

```
Dijets
15 < P<sub>T</sub><sup>jet</sup> < 40 GeV
|y| < 3.5
```

```
Number events

N_{1vtx} = 401

N_{2vtx} = 442
```

Double parton origins

p



Note that the jet cross section is dominated by $gg \rightarrow gg$ in this kinematic regime.

New!!!

Double parton origins

For two hard interactions: at one pp collision (Double Parton scattering)

$$P_{DP} = \left(\frac{\sigma^{\gamma\gamma}}{\sigma_{hard}}\right) \left(\frac{\sigma^{jj}}{\sigma_{eff}}\right)$$

For two hard scattering events at two separate pp collisions
$$P_{DI} = 2\left(\frac{\sigma^{\gamma\gamma}}{\sigma_{hard}}\right)\left(\frac{\sigma^{jj}}{\sigma_{hard}}\right)^{\prime}$$

Same approach as in 1fb⁻¹ measurement, PRD81, 052012 (2010)



New

jet

jet

jet

jet

+

$$N_{DP} = P_{DP} \times N_{1int} = \left(\frac{\sigma^{\gamma\gamma}}{\sigma_{hard}}\right) \left(\frac{\sigma^{jj}}{\sigma_{eff}}\right) N_{1col} A_{DP} \epsilon_{DP} \epsilon_{1vtx}$$

$$N_{DI} = P_{DI} \times N_{2int} = 2\left(\frac{\sigma^{\gamma\gamma}}{\sigma_{hard}}\right) \left(\frac{\sigma^{jj}}{\sigma_{hard}}\right) N_{2col} A_{DI} \epsilon_{DI} \epsilon_{2vtx}$$

$$\sigma_{eff} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{N_{DI}}{N_{DP}} \frac{A_{DP}}{A_{DI}} \frac{\epsilon_{DP}}{\epsilon_{DI}} \frac{\epsilon_{1vtx}}{\epsilon_{2vtx}} \frac{N_{1col}}{N_{2col}} \sigma_{hard}$$

Note that

(a) all variables are experimentally derived; or

(b) all variables are in ratios that greatly reduce systematic uncertainties

arXiv:XXXXX

New!!!

New!!!

ϵ_{1vtx}
ϵ_{2vtx}

 1.021 ± 0.005 Determined in data



0.521 ± 0.015 Determined in MC [Pythia and Sherpa]. Difference in acceptance due to variation of energy in jet and photon cones, which vary the chance to pass p_T and η cuts.

ϵ_{DP}	1.372 ± 0.039 Determined in MC [Pythia and Sherpa].
	Difference in acceptance due to variation of energy near photons,
ϵ_{DI}	which affects photon isolation.

Note that

- (a) all variables are experimentally derived; or
- (b) all variables are in ratios that greatly reduce systematic uncertainties

arXiv:XXXXX

N_{DP}: Single Parton vs Double Parton: △S distribution



DP events have two uncorrelated scattering centers. Δ S should be flat.

For SP events, Δ S should peak at π .

 $\Delta S = \Delta \phi(p_T^{\gamma,\gamma}, p_T^{\gamma)})$



In single vertex events, can use ΔS distribution to fit to DS determine N_{DP} = 401 × f_{DP}

arXiv:XXXX

N_{DI}: Number of Double Interaction Events: Vertex pointing

DI $\gamma\gamma$ + dijet events must come from two vertices, one with diphoton and one with dijets.

Look at events with two vertices and use tracks associated with jets to determine their vertex of origin.

 $N_{DI} = 442 \times f_{DI}$



Number of one and two vertex collisions

Determined by world average Tevatron

 σ_{hard} = 44.76 ± 2.89 mb



The number of events with one and two collisions determined by integrating σ_{hard} and the known instantaneous luminosity profiles, plus Poissonian statistics.

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{N_{1col}}{N_{2col}} \sigma_{hard} = 18.92 \pm 0.49 \text{ mb}$$

Effective cross section

- Having measured number of DP events and corresponding acceptances and efficiencies one can calculate σ_{eff} .
- Measured $\sigma_{\mbox{\tiny eff}}$ is in agreement with all Tevatron and LHC measurements.



Double Parton Interactions in $J/\psi + \Upsilon$ production

- Almost 100% DP
 - 97% [Estimated: arXiv:1504.06531]
 - If highly-DP, excellent laboratory in which to study the phenomenon.
 - However, dominantly gluonic initial state.
 - Study only $J/\psi + \Upsilon \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$





Data selection

- \blacktriangleright L = 8.1 fb⁻¹ statistics
- Logical OR of low pT unprescaled di-muon triggers
- \succ pT(μ)>2 GeV if |η| < 2.

exclude muons having hits just outside of toroid

veto cosmic muons by timing cut

- muon track segment is matched to the central tracker
- track with at least 3 hits
- > opposite charge μ
- r-DCA (transverse distance of closest approach of the track to the primary vertex point) < 0.5 cm; z-DCA < 2 cm</p>
- Muon pair is in
 2.88 < Mμμ < 3.32 GeV
 9.1 < Mμμ < 10.2 GeV







Results



2D fit. $J/\psi + \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)$ N_{sig} = 14.5 ± 4.6 (stat) ± 3.4 (syst)

P(accidental) = 2.5×10^{-4} (3.5 σ)





Summary

> Studies of MPI events are important \rightarrow lead to a knowledge of fundamental hadron structure.

- > Provide a better description of complex final states in hadron-hadron collisions.
- > Double parton production in $\gamma \gamma$ + 2-jet final states using (L = 8.1 fb⁻¹):

	γγ + dijet
Fraction DP events	0.193 ± 0.037
σ _{eff} (mb)	21.3 ± 1.5 (stat) ± 4.5 (syst)

> We have also studied production of $J/\psi + \Upsilon$ production and found that

	$J/\psi + \Upsilon$
Evidence for $J/\psi + \Upsilon$	3.5 σ

> A simple study in $\Delta \varphi(J/\psi, \Upsilon)$ supports DP-dominance claim.

> Studies of the $J/\psi + \Upsilon$ final state are ongoing. Should be available soon, including σ_{eff} .

D0 detector



Stracking in magnetic field of 2T:

Silicon microstrip and central fiber tracker

Calorimeter: Liquid argon sampling calorimeter

Central and Endcap, coverage : $|\eta|$ <4.2

Muon system: Drift chambers and scintillation counters,1.8 T toroid Wide muon system coverage (|η|<2); thick shielding suppresses background.</p>