## Workshop Code & closeout Discussion

Florian Bernlochner for the NP WG conveners and the local organizers

## Many codes

Some very specialized:

SuperISO, SUSY\_Flavour, CKMfitter

Some are more statistic frameworks

GammaCombo, myFitter, BAT, EOS, SUSYFit

Thank you for the nice overview from all groups. This was extremely helpful and we will summarize your ideas & codes in the coming B2TIP meeting.

## Golden modes

Group	Observables	Mode	SM or CKM Fit Expectation	Belle 2014	Babar 2014		b Belle II 50/ ab LHCb 201	14 LHCb 8/R	LHCb 50/f
φ <sub>1</sub> /φ <sub>2</sub> WG page	$sin(2\phi_1)$	$B \rightarrow J/\psi K_S$		$0.667 \pm 0.023 \pm 0.012(1.4^{\circ})$		0.7°	0.4°	1.6°	0.6°
	S	$B \rightarrow \phi K_S^0$		0.90+0.09		0.053	0.018	0.2	0.04
		$B \rightarrow \eta' K_S^0$		$0.68 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.03$		0.028	0.011		
		$B \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_S^0$		$0.30 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.08$		0.100	0.033		
		D 7 RgRgRg				0.100	0.000		
	$\phi_2$	$B \rightarrow \pi\pi$ , $B \rightarrow \rho\pi$ , $B \rightarrow \rho\rho$		(85 ± 4)0 (Ballo + Bahar)		2°	1°		
	72	$B \rightarrow \pi\pi$ , $D \rightarrow \rho\pi$ , $D \rightarrow \rho\rho$		(85 ± 4)° (Belle + Babar)		-			
	4	m m(a) as(a)		/CO + 1400		6°	1.5°		
d₃ WG page	φ <sub>3</sub>	$B \rightarrow D^{(*)}K^{(*)}$		(68 ± 14)°		0	1.0		
				0.05   0.4   0.05					
Hadronic B WG page	A	$B \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^0$		$-0.05 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.05$		0.07	0.04		
Semileptonic & Leptonic WG page	$V_{cb}[10^{-3}]$ inclusive	$B \rightarrow X_e \ell \nu$		$41.6(1 \pm 0.024_{fit})$		1.2%			
	$V_{cb}[10^{-3}]$ exclusive	$B \rightarrow D^* \ell \nu$		$37.5(1 \pm 0.030_{exp} \pm 0.027_{thy})$		1.8%	1.4%		
	$V_{ub}[10^{-3}]$ inclusive	$B \rightarrow X_u \ell \nu$		$4.47(1 \pm 0.060_{exp} \pm 0.025_{thy})$		3.4%	3.0%		
		$B \to \pi \ell \nu$ (Hadronic tag)		$3.52(1 \pm 0.95_{fit})$		4.4%	2.3%		
	- 411, ,								
	$B[10^{-6}]$	B  ightarrow  au u u (Hadronic tag)		$96(1 \pm 0.26)$		10%	5%		
	B[10 <sup>-6</sup> ]	$B \rightarrow \mu\nu$		,,		20%	7%		
	R	$B \to D_{TV}$ (Hadronic tag)			0.440(1 ± 0.165)		3.4%		
	R				$0.332(1 \pm 0.090)$		2.3%		
		$B  o D^* \tau_{\mathcal{V}}$ (Hadronic tag)			0.332(1 ± 0.030)	9.975	2.3%		
	mino-di			9.45/1 + 0.049 + 0.116)		ma.	***		
Radiative & Electroweak WG page	$B[10^{-4}]$	$B \to X_s \gamma$ (inclusive)		$3.45(1 \pm 0.043 \pm 0.116)$		7%	6%		
	$A_{CP}$	$B \rightarrow X_{s+d}\gamma$		$2.2 \pm 4.4 \pm 0.8$		1	0.5		
	S	$B \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^0 \gamma$		$-0.10 \pm 0.31 \pm 0.07$		0.11	0.035		
	S	$B \rightarrow \rho \gamma$		$-0.83 \pm 0.65 \pm 0.18$		0.23	0.07		
	$B[10^{-6}]$	$B \rightarrow K \nu \bar{\nu}$		< 40					
	$B[10^{-6}]$	$B \rightarrow K^* \nu \bar{\nu}$		< 55					
	$C_7/C_9$	$B \rightarrow X_s \ell^+ \ell^-$		20%		10%	5%		
	-17-9								
	$B[10^{-6}]$	$B_s \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$		< 8.7		0.3	_		
		$B_s \rightarrow \tau \tau$				< 2			
	$B[10^{-3}]$	D <sub>4</sub> · · · ·		-			-		
	mtso=3t	D> ***		5 21/1 ± 0.052 ± 0.028\		0.000	0.000		
Charm WG page	$B[10^{-3}]$	$D_s \rightarrow \mu\nu$		5.31(1 ± 0.053 ± 0.038)		2.9%	0.9%		
	$B[10^{-3}]$	$D_s \rightarrow \tau \nu$		$5.70(1 \pm 0.037 \pm 0.054)$		3.5%	2.3%		
	$B[10^{-6}]$	$D^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$		< 1.5		30%	25%		
	$A_{CP}[10^{-4}]$	$D^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-$		$-32 \pm 21 \pm 9$		11	6		
	$A_{CP}[10^{-2}]$	$D^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$		$0.03 \pm 0.64 \pm 0.10$		0.29	0.09		
	$A_{CP}[10^{-2}]$	$D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^0$		$-0.21 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.09$		0.08	0.03		
	$A_{\Gamma}$			$-0.03 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.08$		0.1	0.03		
	$x[10^{-2}]$	$D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$		$0.56 \pm 0.19^{+0.07}_{-0.13}$		0.14	0.11		
	y[10-2]	$D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$		$0.30 \pm 0.15^{+0.13}_{-0.13}$ $0.30 \pm 0.15^{+0.05}_{-0.08}$		0.08	0.05		
	abs(q/p)	$D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$		0.90+0.16+0.08 0.90+0.15+0.06		0.10	0.07		
	d					6°	4°		
	*	$D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$		$-6 \pm 11^{+4}_{-5}$		-	-		

## Global fits for new physics

- First are the golden modes sufficient to perform a global search for new physics, be it model dependent or independent?
- What is needed to do such global fits: bare minimum is experimental cross correlations / common Nuisance parameter sources. Fit value, likelihood or chi2 contours?
  - In what forum should such fits occur? Inside Belle II, in collaboration with theorists?
    - We as experimentalists are good at measuring things. We are also happy to do interpretations, but our foremost task is to measure things right.
- If you are working on a global fit, it's interesting for us to know what the ultimate precision / limit of a model is, assuming the SM or NP.

For us it would be interesting to collect such results, so that they can be shown to illustrate the Belle II potential.