Colliding Beams

M. Bai

Forschungszentrum, Juelich

BND School, Sept, 2015

重

 299

メタト メミト メミト

4 0 8

Outline

o Introduction of collider

- \blacktriangleright Type and History of collider
- \blacktriangleright Collider performance
	- \star luminosity, its definition
	- \star optimization
- **Beam dynamics Challenges of colliding beams**
	- \blacktriangleright what is beam-beam force?
	- \triangleright Beam-beam effect on beam dynammics
	- \blacktriangleright beam-beam compensation
- Measures to reach high luminosity performance
- **•** Future colliders

 QQ

医单位 医单位

Motivation of Colliders

The advantage of a collider is to reach higher energy. The center of mass energy of a two head on collision particles is

$$
E_{cm} = \sqrt{m_1^2 + m_2^2 + 2E_1E_2(1 + \beta_1\beta_2)}
$$

where E_1 , E_2 are the energy of the two colliding beams, respectively. And, β_1 and β_2 are the Lorentz β of each beam. For two relativistic beam of the same particle with the same energy of E , the effective energy is simply $\sqrt{s} \simeq 2E$

Typically, collider is used to

- To discover new particles: HiggsLHC, Top quarkTevatron
- To explore the inner structure of matter quark-gluon plasma@RHIC, proton spin structure@RHIC and HERA

イロメ イ何 メイヨメ イヨメーヨー

 QQ

History of collider

o orders of magnitude increase of energy over the past 40 years to

- \blacktriangleright explore the fine structure of matter
- \blacktriangleright to discover/produce heavier particles
- more lepton colliders than hadron colliders

4 D F → 何 ▶ œ. \rightarrow

List of Colliders

M. Bai (Forschungszentrum, Juelich) [Colliding Beams](#page-0-0) BND School, Sept, 2015 5 / 43

 299

Figure of merit of a typical collider

- Peak luminosity: # of collisions per unit area and per unit time
- For the case of head-on collisions

Ways to increase the peak luminosity

- Increase # of particles in each beam, ie bunch intensity
- Increase # of bunches \bullet
- Make each bunch more bright, ie shrink the size of the bunch a \bullet collision point

 QQ

What is Luminosity?

For a fixed target experiment,

Density p₁ Flux=NIA Length: I

the event rate is given by

$$
R = \frac{N}{A} \rho_T \sigma_T
$$

where σT is the cross section of interaction. And, $L = \frac{N}{A}$ $\frac{N}{A}\rho_{\mathcal{T}}$ is defined as luminosity

In a collider with two relativistic beams,

the luminosity is

$$
L = \int \int \int \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_1(x, y, s - ct)
$$

$$
\rho_2(x, y, s + ct) 2cdtds dxdy.
$$

The event rate of the collider is $R = L\sigma_c$ where σ_c is the interaction cross section

Luminosity and Legacy of B. Touschek

o the term of luminosity was first coined by Dr. Bruno Toucschek to describe the interaction rate in a collider [http://arxiv.org/pdf/1103.2727.pdf]

> Now assume that we have succeeded to build a storage ring in which N. electrons and N. positrons are circulating on a track of ix circunference u. Assume further that one can observe every backward forward event in a length a of track. If θ' is the cross section for the process in question and q is the erossection of overlap of the positive and nametive been, the luminosity L is given by

> > $L = N_1 N_2(\frac{\sigma}{\alpha}) \frac{c}{\alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha} \eta$

- Dr. Touschek was a particle physicist in INFN, Italy. Born and raised in Vienna, he became a physicist in Germany. A survivor of Kiel concentration camp, he was also known as the father of $e+e-$ collider. In February 1960, he proposed and designed the first e+e- collider AdA with beam energy of 250MeV. His proposal was accepted, and funding of 8 million Lire was assigned.
- In 1963, he first observed the limitation of beam lifetime due to Coulomb scattering at AdA, aka Touschek effect $[Phys.$ Rev. Lett., vol 10, $\#9$, May 1963].

Thanks to Dr. Botlo(QuantBot LLC, who provided some materials on Dr. Tousch[ek's](#page-6-0) [work](#page-8-0) \oplus

 (1)

What is Luminosity?

Typically, the unit of instant luminosity is $cm^{-2}s^{-1}$. For two round relativistic bunches head-on collision, the maximum peak luminosity is

$$
L = \frac{f_{rev} N_{col} N_1 N_2}{2\pi \sqrt{\sigma_{x_1}^2 + \sigma_{x_2}^2} \sqrt{\sigma_{y_1}^2 + \sigma_{y_2}^2}}
$$

where f_{rev} is the orbital revolution frequency, N_{col} is the number of bunches in collision and $N_{1,2}$ is the bunch intensity for the two colliding beams, respectively. $\sigma_{\mathsf{x}_{1,2},\mathsf{y}_{1,2}}$ is the transverse beam size of the two beams, respectively.

 200

What are the event rates?

In a collider, the detector at each collision point is designed to detect certain interaction events when two beams are in collision and the event rate is the product of luminisoty and cross section of the interaction. For LHC, the total cross section is about 100 mbarns at 7 TeV, among which about 60 mbarns are inelastic interactions. This means at luminosity of 10^{34} cm⁻²s⁻¹, the detector seems about 600 million events per sec!

Luminosity monitor

- **•** indirect measurement by measuring all relevant beam parameters \triangleright very difficult to have all precisely measured
- **o** direct measurement by measuring the event rate of a well-known interaction process with known/calculable cross-section
	- \triangleright for e+e- collider, often use the small angle electron elastic scattering, aka Bahaha scattering
	- \triangleright for hadron collider, often use Zero Degree Calorimeter to detect the neutrons from collisions

[http://ab-dep-bi-pm.web.cern.ch/ab-dep-bi-pm/?n=Activities.BRAN"](http://ab-dep-bi-pm.web.cern.ch/ab-dep-bi-pm/?n=Activities.BRAN)

 Ω

 \triangleright and \triangleright and \triangleright

4 D F

A typical store of RHIC polarized proton

A typical store of RHIC polarized proton

Luminosity performance of colliders: RHIC

Luminosity performance of colliders: LHC

Integrated luminosity

is defined as total number of collision events within the duration of a store

$$
L_{int} = \int_0^{T_{store}} L(t) dt
$$

The unit of integrated luminosity is the inverse of cross-section unit, and typically expressed in inverse barn $(10^{-24} cm^{-2} s^{-1})$. For instance, RHIC delivered about 540 pb^{-1} of about 4 month polarized proton operation in 2013.

In additional to the direct burn-off rate of collisions, the integrated luminosity is directly affected by

- how effective is the detector: vertex distribution, detector ramp-up time, etc.
- beam emittance growth during store due to various diffusion mechanisms such as intra-beam scattering, beam-beam effect, orbital resonance, etc.

o overall percentage of time-in-store

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

 QQ

- 30

hour-glass effect

In reality, bunch has non-zero length and hour-glass shaped transverse beam profile, the effective luminosity is further reduced. The beam transverse size evolves as

$$
\sigma(s)=\sigma^\star\sqrt{1+(\frac{s}{\beta^\star})^2}
$$

and for Gaussian distributed round beam, the effective luminosity then becomes

$$
L = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2\sigma^2}} \frac{1}{1 + (\frac{u}{u_0})^2} du = \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\beta^*}{\sigma^*} e^{(\frac{\beta^*}{\sigma^*})^2} erfc(\frac{\beta^*}{\sigma^*}) L_0
$$

where L_0 is the luminosity with out hour glass.

Effect of collisions on Beam Dynamics

Beam-beam effect

$$
2\pi r E_r = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \int_0^r 2\pi r' \rho(r') dr'
$$

and

$$
2\pi rB_{\phi}=\mu_0\int_0^r 2\pi r'\beta c\rho(r')dr'
$$

• in the case of round beam with Gaussian distribution $\rho(x,y) = \frac{nq}{2\pi\sigma^2}e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2}}$ 2

$$
2\pi rE_r = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{2\pi nqr'}{2\pi\sigma^2} e^{-\frac{r'^2}{2\sigma^2}} dr' = \frac{nq}{\epsilon_0} [1 - e^{-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2}}]
$$

4 D F

 Ω

э

Beam-beam force

For a Gaussian beam, the beam-beam force is given by

- nearby beam center, beam-beam force is rather linear, quadrupole-like
- away from beam center, beam-beam effect becomes rather non-linear, which introduces betatron amplitude dependent focusing force
- **o** beam-beam force is independent to beam energy

 200

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

beam dynamics in the presence of beam-beam
• Beam-beam tune shift

$$
\Delta Q = \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint \beta(s)k(s)ds \qquad \Delta Q = \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint \beta^* \frac{nq^2(1+\beta^2)}{4\pi \varepsilon_0 \sigma^2 p} ds
$$

For the case of relativistic:

$$
\Delta Q = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\beta^* N}{\gamma \sigma^2} \frac{q^2}{4\pi \varepsilon_0 mc^2} = \xi
$$

Luminosity in terms of beam-beam tune shift \bullet

$$
L = kf_{rev} \frac{N^2}{4\pi\sigma^2} = kf_{rev} \frac{N}{r_0\beta^*} \xi \gamma
$$

k is number of bunches in collision M. Bai (Forschungszentrum, Juelich) [Colliding Beams](#page-0-0) BND School, Sept. 2015 20 / 43

Beam Transfer Function with beam-beam

M. Bai (Forschungszentrum, Juelich) [Colliding Beams](#page-0-0) BND School, Sept, 2015 21 / 43

目

 QQ

イロト イ母 トイヨ トイヨト

Beam Transfer Function of a typical RHIC store

Blue ring

Illiamacs successfully read and displayed

It arrays successfully read and displayed

イロト イ部 トメ ヨ トメ ヨト

M. Bai (Forschungszentrum, Juelich) [Colliding Beams](#page-0-0) BND School, Sept, 2015 22 / 43

 299

÷

Challenge in reaching high luminosity

• increase bunch intensity, which results in higher beam-beam tune shift/spread. This can then cause either poor beam lifetime or less brighter beam due to emittance growth, and result in lower luminosity

Betatron resonance: $MQ_x + NQ_y = K$

Resonance Mechanism

- **e** errors in an accelerator perturbs beam motion
- coherent buildup of these perturbations can lead to the instability of beam motion, resonant condition

 QQ

化重新润滑脂

4 D F

Driven harmonic oscillator

• Equation of motion

$$
\frac{d^2x(t)}{dt^2} + \omega^2x(t) = f(t) = \sum_{m=0} C_m e^{i\omega_m t}
$$

for $f(t)=\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{M}e^{i\omega_m t}$

$$
\frac{d^2x(t)}{dt^2} + \omega^2x(t) = f(t) = C_m e^{i\omega_m t}
$$

- Assume solution is like $x(t) = A e^{i\omega t} + A_m e^{i\omega_m t}$
- and the response of the harmonic oscillator is

$$
x(t) = Ae^{i\omega t} + \frac{C_m}{\omega^2 - \omega_m^2}e^{i\omega_m t}
$$

4 D F

 200

Betatron oscillation

in the presence of errors including field errors, the equation of motion becomes

$$
x'' + K(s)x = -\frac{\Delta B_y}{B\rho}
$$

where, $\Delta B_{\mathsf y} = B_0 (b_0 + b_1 \mathsf x + b_2 \mathsf x^2 + \dots)$. And, b_0 is the dipole error, b_1 is the quadrupole error and b_2 is the sextupole error. Here, $K(s+L_p) = K(s)$

• let's re-define $\zeta(s) = x(s)/sqrt(\beta_x(s))$, and $\phi(s) = \psi(s)/Q_x$ or $\phi' = 1/(\, Q_\mathsf{x} \beta_\mathsf{x})$, then the equation of motion becomes

$$
\frac{d^2\zeta}{\phi^2} + Q_x^2\zeta = -Q_x^2\beta_x^{3/2}\frac{\Delta B_y}{B\rho}
$$

 $d^2\zeta$ $\frac{d^2\zeta}{\phi^2}+Q_{\mathsf{x}}^2\zeta=-\frac{Q_{\mathsf{x}}^2B_0}{B\rho}$ $\frac{\partial x}{\partial \rho} [b_0 + \beta_x b_1 \zeta + \beta_x^2 b_2 \zeta^2 + \beta_x^n b_n \zeta^n + \ldots]$

 200

KONKAPIK KENYEN E

Betatron oscillation cont'd

 \bullet for each n .

$$
\frac{d^2\zeta}{\phi^2} + Q_x^2\zeta = -\frac{Q_x^2\beta_x^{3/2}}{B\rho}\beta_x^nb_n\zeta^n
$$

put $\zeta = e^{-i Q_\mathsf{x} \phi}$ the solution of the homogeneous differential equation to the right side of the above equation, one then gets

$$
\frac{d^2\zeta}{\phi^2} + Q_x^2\zeta = -\frac{Q_x^2\beta_x^{3/2}}{B\rho}\beta_x^n b_n e^{-inQ_x\phi}
$$

• for an accelerator with periodic structure, one can expand $\beta_{\mathsf{x}}^{(n+3)/2} b_n = \sum_{k} \mathsf{C}_k \mathrm{e}^{i k f},$ and the equation of motion becomes

$$
\frac{d^2\zeta}{\phi^2} + Q_x^2\zeta = -\frac{Q_x^2}{B\rho}\sum_k e^{i(k-nQ_x)\phi}
$$

 $\mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$

Resonance

- **•** this means the betatron motion becomes unstable, i.e. on resonance, when $Q_x = \pm (k - nQ_x)$
	- \blacktriangleright in other words, the resonance locations are $(n + 1)Q_x = k$, and $(n - 1)Q_x = k$, for $k! = 0$
- any error of x^n can drive a $(n+1)^{th}$ order resonance. Dipole error drives the 1^{st} order resonance, quadrupole error drives the 2^{nd} order resonance, sextupole error drives the 3^{rd} order resonance and octupole error drives the 4^{th} order resonance

• and driving term is then given by

$$
C_{k,n} = \frac{1}{B\rho} \frac{1}{2\pi Q_x} \int_0^{circ} \beta_x^{(n+1)/2}(s) b_n(s) e^{ik\phi} ds
$$

Issues of higher bunch intensity

Brighter beam can also include electron cloud, which in turn provides additional non-linear force on each particle in the beam, and can cause beam instability, slow beam loss, emittance growth

Increasing $#$ of bunches

is also limited by

- **a** available RF buckets
- **·** injection kicker

4 **D** F

Issues of further beta squeeze

- large beta functions at triplet, three quadrupoles in series on either side of the interaction point. Further beta squeeze can cause lower luminosity due to
	- \blacktriangleright physical limit of triplet
	- \triangleright particles sample large unwanted magnetic fields, which drive higher order resonances and cause poor beam lifetime and emittance growth
- becomes less effective when beta function at the interaction point becomes less than the bunch length (hour-glass effect)

 QQ

mitigate beam-beam limit

- carefully choose working point, i.e. lattice tunes for collision, on the tune diagram in an area with maximum space between harmful betatron resonances
- correct harmful betatron resonances. very difficult due to
	- \triangleright parasitic measure of high order resonance driving terms
	- \triangleright imperfect match between the lattice model and real machine to find the perfect knob
- minimize beam-beam effect
	- \triangleright provide large crossing angle between the two beams. However, this also directly reduces the luminosity by

$$
L \simeq L_0 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + (\frac{\sigma_s}{\sigma_x} \tan \frac{\theta}{\text{den}})^2}} \tag{1}
$$

- compensate beam-beam effect
	- \blacktriangleright electron lens for compensating head-on collisions in a pp collider

 QQ

 \overline{AB} \rightarrow \overline{AB} \rightarrow \overline{AB} \rightarrow

Electron Lens

- not possible to compensate beam-beam with magnet
- o use a low energy matched electron beam at a preferred betatron phase to the collision point to compensate the beam-beam tune spread so that the same area in the tune diagram can accommodate more particles
- was first proposed and tested at Tevatron
- adapted by RHIC, and is under commissioning

beam-beam tune spread w./w.o Elens

– simulation by Y. Luo, BNL

4 0 8 4 母

 \rightarrow

 QQ

э

RHIC electron lenses

Other novel techniques in reaching high luminosity

 299

K ロ ▶ K 個 ▶ K 결 ▶ K 결 ▶ ○ 결

Mitigating E-cloud

- neg-coating beam pipes to reduce secondary electron yield
- **longer bunch length to reduce bunch peak current during** acceleration. For RHIC, an 9 MHz cavity is used to accelerator polarized protons. It not only provides better longitudinal matching at injection, but also provide 3 times longer bucket to avoid emittance growth due to E-cloud

Crab Crossing

- large crossing angle can reduce beam-beam effect. However, it also reduces luminosity
- **•** use RF cavity on either side of the collision point to align the bunch shape of the two beams to recover luminosity reduction due to geometric factor. Such a cavity is called crab cavity

Crab cavity

- First introduced by Dr. R. Palmer (BNL) in 1988 and first demonstrated at KEK B-factory in 2007
- An RF device operates at TM110 mode that provides phase dependent transverse kickes to tilt the bunch. The size of the tilt is proportionally to the strength of the maximum field of the cavity and distance between cavity to IP

KEKB crab cavity

SRF "Squashed cell cavity" at 2.8K with crabbing mode at 500 MHz (2.8 MV defl voltage)

- ~ 3 years operation under high current \circ
- L_{peak} = 21.1 x 10³³ / cm²/s (with crabs) \circ

KEKB operation terminated in June 2010 for the upgrade towards SuperKEKB

Crab waist

- **o** for a collider with two flat beams in collision, one can use large horizontal crossing angle to reduce parasitic collision as well as beam-beam tune shift
- o one can use a sextupole on either side of the collision point to re-distribute the beta squeeze waist in the overlap area of the two beams. The beta function at the waist then becomes

$$
\beta(s) = \beta^* + \frac{(s - x/\theta)^2}{\beta^*}
$$

Future collider projects/proposals

- Higgs factory
	- international linear collider (ILC) to collide $e+e-$ at an energy of 500 GeV
		- \star 16,000 super conducting cavity LINAC

 \star Future Circular Collider (FCC)-ee: CERN proposal of a 100 km collider at energy of z-pole up to top-peak

4 D F

China Electron Positron Collider (CEPC): Chinese propsal of 54 km collider

Future collider projects/proposals

- super proton proton collider
	- ▶ Future Circular Collider (FCC) from CERN: 100 km at energy of 100 TeV
	- \triangleright SPPC: chinese proposal of 54 km at energy of 100 TeV
- **e** electron ion collider
	- \triangleright eRHIC: adding a 23 GeV polarized electron beam using multiturn ERL to existing RHIC polarized proton/heavy ion accelerator
	- \triangleright MEIC: establish Fig-8 ring complex to accelerate and collide polarized electron against polarized protons, deuterons, as well as He-3
	- \blacktriangleright LHeC: adding 60GeV polarized electron beam to the existing LHC proton beams

4 **D** F