



Inclusive W, Z and W/Z+jets Production at the LHC

Benjamin Brau On behalf of the ATLAS and CMS collaborations

Blois Conference on Particle Physics and Cosmology June 3, 2015



Introduction

- Studies of Vector boson production play several important roles in the physics program of the LHC:
 - Provide important tests of QCD calculations.
 - Constrain parton distribution functions.
 - Non-negligible backgrounds for many searches.
 - Valuable control samples.
- First time probing:
 - Jet multiplicities up to 7.
 - Jet p_T in TeV range.
- V+jets cross sections span 5 orders of magnitude.
- Will summarize a selection of recent results.



Introduction

Vector Boson + X Cross Section Measurements (L dt Recent advances in Status: March 2015 Reference $[fb^{-1}]$ = 236.0 ± 2.0 + 13.0 - 9.0 pb (data) JETPHOX (theory) predictions: $\sigma^{\text{fid}}(\gamma + X) [|\eta^{\gamma}| < 1.37]$ 0 4.6 PRD 89, 052004 (2014) $-[1.52 < |\eta^{\gamma}| < 2.37]$ r = 123.0 ± 1.0 + 9.0 - 7.0 pb (data) JETPHOX (theory) 0 PRD 89, 052004 (2014) 4.6 r = 479.0 ± 3.0 ± 17.0 pb (data) FEWZ+HERAPDF1.5 NNLO (theory) $\sigma^{\rm fid}(\mathsf{Z} \to \mathrm{ee}, \mu\mu)$ 0 PRD 85, 072004 (2012) 0.035 **ATLAS** Preliminary New higher-= 68.84 ± 0.13 ± 5.15 pb (data) Blackhat (theory) $-[n_{iet} \ge 1]$ Ó 4.6 JHEP 07, 032 (2013) $= 15.05 \pm 0.06 \pm 1.51$ pb (data) Blackhat (theory) $-[n_{iet} \ge 2]$ 0 JHEP 07, 032 (2013) 4.6 $\sqrt{s} = 7.8 \text{ TeV}$ multiplicity Run 1 = 3.09 ± 0.03 ± 0.4 pb (data) Blackhat (theory) $-[n_{iet} \ge 3]$ Ö JHEP 07, 032 (2013) 4.6 $r = 0.65 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.11 \text{ pb} \text{ (data)}$ Blackhat (theory) calculations at NLO $-[n_{iet} \ge 4]$ Ø 4.6 JHEP 07, 032 (2013) = 4820.0 ± 60.0 + 360.0 - 380.0 fb (data) MCFM (theory) $-[n_{b-iet} \ge 1]$ Ō 4.6 JHEP 10, 141, (2014) available in recent LHC pp $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV $-[n_{b-iet} \ge 2]$ r = 520.0 ± 20.0 + 74.0 - 72.0 fb (data) MCFM (theory) JHEP 10, 141, (2014) 4.6 $r = 54.7 \pm 4.6 + 9.9 - 10.5$ fb (data) PowhegBox (theory) $-\sigma^{\text{fid}}(\text{Zijewk})$ Theory Δ 20.3 JHEP 04, 031 (2014) years Observed $\sigma^{\rm fid}(\mathsf{Z} \to \tau \tau)$ = 1690.0 ± 35.0 + 95.0 - 121.0 fb (data) MC@NLO + HERAPDENLO (theory) 4.6 arXiv:1407.0573 [hep-ex] 0 stat stat+syst $\sigma^{\text{fid}}(\mathsf{Z} \rightarrow \mathsf{bb})$ $r = 2.02 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.26$ pb (data) Powheg (theory) 4 19.5 PLB 738, 25-43, (2014) r = 5.127 ± 0.011 ± 0.187 nb (data) FEWZ+HERAPDF1.5 NNLO (theory) $\sigma^{\text{fid}}(W \rightarrow ev, \mu v)$ 0 PRD 85, 072004 (2012) 0.035 Many aspects need LHC pp $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV $= 493.8 \pm 0.5 \pm 45.1 \text{ pb} \text{ (data)}$ Blackhat (theory) $-[n_{iet} \ge 1]$ arXiv:1409.8639 [hep-ex] 0 4.6 data to validate and Theory $= 111.7 \pm 0.2 \pm 12.2 \text{ pb} \text{ (data)}$ Blackhat (theory) $-[n_{iet} \ge 2]$ 4.6 arXiv:1409.8639 [hep-ex] $-[n_{iet} \ge 3]$ r = 21.82 ± 0.1 ± 3.23 pb (data) Blackbat (theory) Observed 4.6 arXiv:1409.8639 [hep-ex] tune, e.g. choice of stat stat+syst = 4.241 ± 0.056 ± 0.885 pb (data Blackhat (theory) $-[n_{iet} \ge 4]$ 4.6 arXiv:1409.8639 [hep-ex] = 0.877 ± 0.032 ± 0.301 pb (data) Blackhat (theory) scale, matrix element/ $-[n_{iet} \ge 5]$ 4.6 arXiv:1409.8639 [hep-ex] $-[n_{iet}=1, n_{b-iet}=1]$ r = 5.0 ± 0.5 ± 1.2 pb (data) MCFM+D.P.I. (theory JHEP 06, 084 (2013) 4.6 parton shower · = 2.2 ± 0.2 ± 0.5 pb (data) MCFM+D.P.I. (theory) $-[n_{iet}=2, n_{b-iet}=1]$ 0 4.6 JHEP 06, 084 (2013) Ratio = $10.7 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.11$ (data) FEWZ+HERAPDF1.5 NNLO (theory) d $\sigma^{\rm fid}(W \rightarrow e\nu, \mu\nu)/\sigma^{\rm fid}(Z \rightarrow ee, \mu\mu)$ PRD 85, 072004 (2012) 0.035 matching, flavor Ratio = 8.54 ± 0.02 ± 0.25 (data) Blackhat (theory) $-[n_{iet} \geq 1]$ o 4.6 Eur. Phys. J. C 74: 3168 (2014) schemes Ratio = $8.64 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.32$ (data) Blackhat (theory) $-[n_{iet} \geq 2]$ 0 4.6 Eur. Phys. J. C 74: 3168 (2014) Ratio = $8.18 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.51$ (data) Blackhat (theory) $-[n_{iet} \geq 3]$ Ō 4.6 Eur. Phys. J. C 74: 3168 (2014) Ratio = $7.62 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.94$ (data) Blackhat (theory) $-[n_{iet} \ge 4]$ 0 4.6 Eur. Phys. J. C 74: 3168 (2014) Overall good agreement $\sigma^{\rm fid}(W+Z \rightarrow qq)$ $= 8.5 \pm 0.8 \pm 1.5 \text{ pb} \text{ (data}$ MCFM (theory) 4.6 New J. Phys. 16, 113013 (2014) over many measurements 0.6 1.2 1.6 1.8 2.0 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.8 1.0 1.4 2.2 and jet multiplicities observed/theory

+ Data

Ζ→μμ

Ζ→ττ

WW/WZ/ZZ

- Uncertainties ~1% for $p_T < 100 \text{ GeV}$ Used to tune parton shower model in
 - generators



- Useful to constrain parton shower
- •
- parameters

unfolded in |y| < 1, 1 < |y| < 2, & 2 < |y| < 2.4

Needed for W mass measurement After background subtraction, data



ATLAS √s=7 TeV; ∫Ldt=4.7 fb⁻¹



٠

regions

Z рт

Relative uncertainty (%)

10⁸

10⁷

10⁶



Z p_т



- Overall good agreement with predictions; see a few known features
 of predictions
- Unfolded results used to tune Pythia8 and Powheg+Pythia8 parton shower
- Tuned predictions agree to within 2% in range used for tuning

	Pythia8	Powheg+Pythia8	Base tune
Tune Name	\mathbf{AZ}	AZNLO	$4\mathrm{C}$
Primordial $k_{\rm T}$ [GeV]	1.71 ± 0.03	1.75 ± 0.03	2.0
ISR $\alpha_{\rm S}^{\rm ISR}(m_Z)$	0.1237 ± 0.0002	0.118 (fixed)	0.137
ISR cut-off $[GeV]$	0.59 ± 0.08	1.92 ± 0.12	2.0
$\chi^2_{ m min}/ m dof$	45.4/32	46.0/33	-





(Z+γ*)/γ Ratio

- Ratio expected to be constant in limit of high p_T where Z mass term can be neglected - plateau at high p_T
- Provides information useful to inform about possible log contributions in calculations at higher $p_{\rm T}$
- Analysis performed for p_T(Z/γ) > 100 GeV and then four kinematic regimes considered: nJets ≥ 1, 2, 3, and H_T > 100 GeV
- Result compared to LO MadGraph+Pythia6 prediction
- Observe ~20% normalization difference in ratio. Higher-order corrections expected to be smaller than experimental uncertainties





Drell-Yan AFB

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d(\cos\theta)} = A(1+\cos^2\theta) + B\cos\theta$$

$$A_{\rm FB} = \frac{\sigma_F - \sigma_B}{\sigma_F + \sigma_B}$$

- Sensitive to $sin^2\theta_W$
- "Forward" direction most likely to be along direction of Z boson z-momentum direction (quark vs. gluon PDF)
- Collins-Soper frame used to reduce effects of p_T of incoming quarks
- Weak-EM interference Expect:
 - AFB < 0 below Z pole
 - AFB > 0 above Z pole
- Interference from NP would alter the expected asymmetry

CMS-PAS-SMP-14-004

Atlas 7TeV Sin2thetaW: CERN-PH-EP-2014-259





Z Angular Coefficients

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\cos\theta^*\mathrm{d}\phi^*} \propto \left[(1+\cos^2\theta^*) + A_0 \frac{1}{2} (1-3\cos^2\theta^*) + A_1 \sin(2\theta^*) \cos\phi^* + A_2 \frac{1}{2}\sin^2\theta^* \cos(2\phi^*) \right]$$

 $+A_3\sin\theta^*\cos\phi^*+A_4\cos\theta^*+A_5\sin^2\theta^*\sin(2\phi^*)+A_6\sin(2\theta^*)\sin\phi^*+A_7\sin\theta^*\sin\phi^*\Big].$

- First measurement at LHC
- Play important role in future measurements of W mass and weak mixing angle
- A_i(q_T) are related to Z polarization, V-A structure of fermion-boson couplings, and electroweak parity violation
- Template fits for A₀-A₄ performed in Collins-Soper frame
- Performed as a function of boson transverse momentum q_T and rapidity y
- A₀(q_T) and A₂(q_T) larger than in ppbar collisions due to qg process at LHC
- Results compared to a variety of calculations and used to improve predictions



CERN-PH-EP-2015-046



W Asymmetry

$$\mathcal{A}(\eta) = \frac{\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\eta} (\mathrm{W}^+ \to \mu^+ \nu) - \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\eta} (\mathrm{W}^- \to \mu^- \overline{\nu})}{\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\eta} (\mathrm{W}^+ \to \mu^+ \nu) + \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\eta} (\mathrm{W}^- \to \mu^- \overline{\nu})}$$

- W⁺ produced more than W⁻ in pp collisions
- Sensitive to both valence and sea quark Parton Distribution Functions
- Measured as a function of η_{μ}
- Asymmetry corrected for different efficiencies for μ⁺ and μ⁻
- Result used along with HERA data to improve valence quark PDFs



9



W+Jets

- Useful to validate pQCD calculations over large kinematic range
- Dominant background for many SM ٠ measurements and Exotica searches
- Unfolded to particle level up to 7 jets
- Differential distributions studied for approximately 40 observables
- Data are compared with variety of NLO predictions ٠
- Signal and Background modeled with MC, except for data-driven ttbar and multijet estimates
- Electron and Muon channels show agreement and are combined

Eur. Phys. J. C (2015) 75:82 CMS 7 TeV: Phys. Lett. B 741 (2015) 12





W+Jets

- Large statistics sample allows thorough exploration of many distributions
- Jet p_T explored to 1 TeV
- Various predictions show better agreement in different kinematic observables
- Valuable comparison between data and predictions for Monte Carlo developers
- Level of agreement varies somewhat across observables and predictions





- Useful to validate pQCD calculations over large kinematic range
- Background for many SM measurements and Exotica searches
- Full 8 TeV 20/fb dataset allows comparison over 20+ observables
- Predictions from MadGraph +Pythia normalized to NNLO from FEWZ and Sherpa2+Blackhat for 1-loop corrections
- Unfolded differential distributions in inclusive and exclusive jet multiplicities, p_T, H_T, eta, for nJets up to 5



Z+jets Double-differential σ

$$rac{d^2\sigma}{dp_T^j dy^j} = rac{1}{\mathcal{L} imes \epsilon} imes rac{N}{2 imes \Delta |y^j| imes \Delta p_T^j}$$

- Muon channel unfolded doubledifferential distribution in p_T and y to 4.7
- Overall good agreement of MC predictions with data
- Discrepancies (10%) of MadGraph with measurement at higher p_T (> 100 GeV)
- Overall agreement with Sherpa except in a few p_T and y ranges
- Discrepancy seen in 7 TeV data (both CMS and ATLAS) in 1-jet bin from 100-450 GeV remains





W+jets/Z+jets (R-jets)

- Systematics significantly reduced in ratio, esp. in dominant jet uncertainties, compared to individual V+jets measurements
- Precision test of pQCD
- Generic sensitivity to New Physics coupling to W or Z
- Updated result using 5/fb allows measurement of many kinematic distributions including p_T, S_T, H_T, y, ΔR, ΔΦ, m₁₂, for nJets = 0-3.
- Signal and Background modeled with MC, except for data-driven ttbar and multijet estimates
- Electron and Muon channels show agreement and are combined

Eur. Phys. J. C (2014) 74: 3168



lyⁱl (leading jet)



W+jets/Z+jets (R-jets)



- All observables studied both exclusively and inclusively to nJets=3
- · Many distributions studied; overall predictions show good agreement with data



Z+b(b)

- Z+b probes b-quark content of proton
- Z+bb background for Higgs associated production and BSM searches
- Jets are tagged with Neural Net btagging using jet kinematics and impact parameter information
- Differential cross sections in 12 observables compared to NLO JHEP10(2014)141
- Iterative Bayesian (1-tag) and fiducial/efficiency (2-tag) unfolding to particle-level
- Fixed-order MCFM discrepant at 5FNS $\Delta \Phi = \pi$. Likely because it includes at most 2 outgoing partons in association with Z 5FNS



	$\sigma(Zb)[{ m fb}]$	$\sigma(Zb) \times N_{b\text{-jet}} \text{ [fb]}$	$\sigma^*(Zb) \times N_{b\text{-jet}}[\text{fb}]$	$\sigma(Zbb)$ [fb]
Data	$4820 \pm 60^{+360}_{-380}$	$5390\pm60\pm480$	$4540\pm55\pm330$	$520\pm20^{+74}_{-72}$
MCFM⊗MSTW2008	$5230 \pm 30^{+690}_{-710}$	$5460 \pm 40^{+740}_{-740}$	$4331 \pm 30^{+400}_{-480}$	$410\pm10^{+60}_{-60}$
$MCFM \otimes CT10$	$4850\pm 30^{+580}_{-680}$	$5070 \pm 30^{+640}_{-710}$	$4030\pm 30^{+350}_{-450}$	$386\pm5^{+55}_{-50}$
$MCFM \otimes NNPDF23$	$5420 \pm 20^{+670}_{-710}$	$5660 \pm 30^{+720}_{-740}$	$4490 \pm 30^{+380}_{-460}$	$420\pm10^{+70}_{-50}$
amc@nlo $4FNS \otimes MSTW2008$	$3390 \pm 20^{+580}_{-480}$	$3910\pm20^{+660}_{-560}$	$3290\pm20^{+580}_{-460}$	$485\pm7^{+80}_{-70}$
amc@nlo 5FNS⊗MSTW2008	$4680 \pm 40^{+550}_{-580}$	$5010 \pm 40^{+590}_{-620}$	$4220 \pm 40^{+460}_{-510}$	$314\pm9^{+30}_{-30}$
$\mathrm{Sherpa} \otimes \mathrm{CT10}$	3770 ± 10	4210 ± 10	3640 ± 10	422 ± 2
Alpgen+HJ⊗CTEQ6L1	2580 ± 10	2920 ± 10	2380 ± 10	317 ± 2

JHEP10(2014)141

Conclusions

- Vector Boson production is one of the most important benchmark channels at the LHC.
- Background for Higgs and many other measurements and searches important to model well.
- Most measurements show good agreement over many observables; a few show some tension in some corners of phase space.
- Many precise measurements enable checks of predictions against many observables should help improve predictions.
- Looking forward to studying V+jets in new energy regime at 13 TeV.

Backup

Particle-Level Final State Kinematics: Born, Bare, Dressed, Unfolded

- Born: Lepton Kinematics before FSR
- Bare: After FSR
- Dressed: Bare + Photons within cone of $\Delta R < 0.1$
- Unfolding: Correcting data for detector resolution, QED FSR, fiducial acceptance back to Born-level in order to facilitate comparison with predictions



W/Z as merged jets

- Proof of principle that boosted hadronic decays of W/Z can be reconstructed with jet substructure algorithms
- High-pT broad (anti-kt R=0.6) jets analyzed with Likelihood discriminant from jet-shape variables
- Jet mass used as discriminant
- Cross section in agreement within 2σ with NLO MCFM prediction:

This measurement:

$$\sigma_{W+Z} = 8.5 \pm 0.8 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 1.5 \text{ (syst.) pb}$$

MCFM:

$$\sigma_{W+Z} = 5.1 \pm 0.5 \,\mathrm{pb}$$

2014 New J. Phys. 16 113013



Jet Mass [GeV]