The ultralight mechanics and cooling system of a DEPFET-based pixel detector for future colliders

L. Andricek, I. García García, C. Marinas, M.A. Villarejo and M. Vos

(On behalf of the DEPFET collaboration)



Forum on Tracking Detector Mechanics 2015, 15-17 June Nikhef-Amsterdam

Outline

Micro Channel Cooling on all-silicon ladders

- Motivation: The DEPFET Ladder and cooling strategies
- MCC concept and prototypes

Experimental test

- Experimental setup
- 3D-printed inlet/outlet connector

Thermo-mechanical measurements and results

- MCC and MCC+air thermal measurements
- Vibrations and deformations

Next steps and conclusions

The DEPFET Ladder



The DEPFET Ladder

The DEPFET Ladder: applications

New tracker detectors require **ultra-thin** sensors to reduce multiple scattering:

- e.g. DEpleted P-channel Field Effect Transistor (DEPFET)
- Chosen technology for Belle II PXD
- Candidate for ILC
- The thinning technique is not bound to any particular sensor technology

Layout designed with the highest power dissipating elements in the end flanges

All-silicon modules allow for sensors integrated on a self supporting silicon structure

Cooling strategies

Cooling strategies

Start with oxidized handle wafer

Start with oxidized handle wafer

Define lithographically µ-channels, etch oxide

Define lithographically µ-channels, etch oxide

Etch micro-channels

Ultra-thin self-supporting Silicon dummies: concept

The re

- Homogeneous thickness (thinned sensor area not needed)
- Modules do not include the real electronics
- Aluminum layer with resistor meanders on thin top wafer -> simulate the power distribution

Forum on Tracking Detector Mechanics 2015, 15-17 June Nikhef-Amsterdam

3D-printed adaptor

Design to join the high pressure commercial *Swagelok* elements to the 350x350 µm holes of the Silicon module

Built by 3D-printer (stereolithography technology)

- 15 µm precision
- 300 µm per layer

Alignment

1) Self aligning: 3D adaptor fits accurately

2) Sealed with glue

3) Success rate 3/3

Experiment conditions

- For all-Silicon resistive dummies operating above 0°C mono-phase fluid is chosen (H₂O)
- Possibility of use CO₂ at high pressure but not necessary at the power densities we have to manage
- Controlled environment to quantify cooling performance. Room temperature stable at 25°C
- Operated non-stop for a week with **no leaks, no clogging**

Thermal measurements: MCC

- Low cost mono-phase fluid: H₂O
- Low volumetric flows (~1l/h) and low pressure (<1bar) are enough to dissipate the heat in the front end
- Good agreement with the FE simulation inside an error area of 10%

Measurement data errors

- P ±1% W
- T ± 1 °C
- → ΔT/P ± 0,15 °C/W
- flow ± 0,03 l/h

Thermal measurements: MCC

- Low cost mono-phase fluid: H₂O
- Additional coolant simulated (*PWG6040*) (60% glycol + 40 % H₂O)

Measurement data errors

- ► P ±1% W
- T ± 1 °C
- → ΔT/P ± 0,15 °C/W
- → flow ± 0,03 l/h

FE simulation with other fluids in progress

Thermal measurements: Maximum Power vs Volumetric flow

Maximum power supported for a ΔT of 10 °C as a function of the volumetric flow

- Temperature stable even with 25 W/cm²
- Power vs vol. flow at max. pump power (~ 3 l/h)
- Low pressure needed: 0.2 1.5 bar

Thermal measurements: MCC+air

Cooling strategy: micro-channels running under the front end and gentle air flow on the sensor part

- There is not big difference between MCC and MCC+air at the DCD hottest point
- Farthest regions to the air inlet are less affected
- Even with low volumetric water flow, high cooling
- 93% of total heat removed by MCC

Thermal measurements: MCC + air

Cooling strategy: micro-channels running under the front end and gentle air flow on the sensor part

- Big difference between MCC and MCC+air at the sensor area hottest point
- Nearest regions to air input are efficiently cooled even with low air flow
- MCC has less impact in away points as expected and great cooling locally

Vibrations and deformations

Clamped-free (CF) configuration: One extreme of the dummy is clamped to the 3D adaptor while the other is free of movement

Vibrations and deformations

Fluid circulation 50% (1.47 l/h)

No fluid circulation and no air flowing

Fluid circulation 1,47 l/h

5.5

Air flowing 3 m/s

Peak to peak of the signal ~0,7 μm **RMS ~0,3 μm**

Peak to peak of the signal ~0,1 μm RMS ~0,4 μm Peak to peak of the signal ~130 μm RMS ~57 μm

MCC has no significant impact on mechanical stability in the clamped-free configuration but air deformations are more than 100 μ m if v=3m/s (could be reduced a factor 10 for velocities under ≤ 0.5 m/s)

Vibrations and deformations

- Minor deformations observed in transitions regions
- Mechanical stability after cycles
- \bullet Maximum deformation ~20 μm

Optimized MCC geometry

More homogenous flow

- Reduce pressure gradients
- Minimize and confine the heat spread

Towards a low mass interconnection

PEEK tubes with 360 μm OD

Forum on Tracking Detector Mechanics 2015, 15-17 June Nikhef-Amsterdam

Next steps

Built new samples with the optimized geometry

Redesign the 3D-printed adaptor (make it flat, use peek tubes...)

Simulate and measure **new fluids**

Conclusions

MCC shows very efficient cooling; **up to 25 W/cm²** with minimal temperature increase (10°C) even with a mono-phase fluid at low pressure

Thermal measurements are in good agreement with the FE simulation

MCC has a minimal impact on the mechanical stability

The assembly with the 3D-printed adaptor was done successfully in 3/3

MCC embedded in all-silicon ladders is a real option

Thank you for your attention

Forum on Tracking Detector Mechanics 2015, 15-17 June Nikhef-Amsterdam

Thermal measurements: cold water

Thermal measurements: cold water

due to the water on the soldering)

Thermal measurements: cold water

Amplitude vs vair

- Peak-to-peak amplitude is the change between peak (highest amplitude value) and trough (lowest amplitude value)
- RMS \simeq (PeaktoPeak/2) * 0.707 (approximation)
- For v= 2.5 m/s the amplitude of vibration is:
 - ~19 µm for clamped-free configuration
 - ~2.8 μm for clamped-clamped configuration

Micro-channel Cooling

Tests made: 5W and water cooling

LCWS 2014 Belgrade: https://agenda.linearcollider.org/event/6389/session/4/contribution/172/material/slides/0.pdf

Micro-channel setup 2.0

X-ray images

New 3D printed piece

(with a precision of $15\mu m$) to adapt the *swagelok* standard connexion to the micro-channel inlet/outlet

Different Micro-channels geometries

test: velocity of the fluid, cooling...

For the development of the new setup we have AIDA2020 funding