The Standard Model Hypercharge: From Superconnection to Noncommutative Geometry

Chen Sun

Virginia Tech

May 4, 2015

In collaboration with Ufuk Aydemir, Djordje Minic, Tatsu Takeuchi

Previous work:

arXiv:1409.7574 Ufuk Aydemir, Djordje Minic, CS, Tatsu Takeuchi.

and review paper on NCG in preparation.

< 円∛

Outline

1 The Superconnection Formalism

- A brief review of superconnection formalism
- The output and problems
- 2 The Noncommutative Geometry
 - A brief introduction of NCG
 - The hypercharge and LRSM

- Extend the gauge connection from su(2) + u(1) to su(2|1), while leaving the gauge group still $SU(2) \times U(1)$, the even part of SU(2|1).
- Has no relation to SUSY whatsoever.
- The two sheet picture: as the representation space, the LH fermions live on one sheet while the RH fermions live on the other.
- The Higgs is part of the extended gauge, the off diagonal part of the connection.
- With the two sheet picture, the Higgs field connects the fermions in the two sheets, while ordinary gauge field corresponds to the transformations in the continuous direction.
- Mass of fermions= VEV = (Separation of the two sheets)⁻¹.

$$J = J^a \lambda_a,$$

where $\lambda_a \in su(2|1)$, is the basis.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回

- Extend the gauge connection from su(2) + u(1) to su(2|1), while leaving the gauge group still $SU(2) \times U(1)$, the even part of SU(2|1).
- Has no relation to SUSY whatsoever.
- The two sheet picture: as the representation space, the LH fermions live on one sheet while the RH fermions live on the other.
- The Higgs is part of the extended gauge, the off diagonal part of the connection.
- With the two sheet picture, the Higgs field connects the fermions in the two sheets, while ordinary gauge field corresponds to the transformations in the continuous direction.
- Mass of fermions= VEV = (Separation of the two sheets) $^{-1}$.

$$J = J^a \lambda_a$$

where $\lambda_a \in su(2|1)$, is the basis.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

- Extend the gauge connection from su(2) + u(1) to su(2|1), while leaving the gauge group still $SU(2) \times U(1)$, the even part of SU(2|1).
- Has no relation to SUSY whatsoever.
- The two sheet picture: as the representation space, the LH fermions live on one sheet while the RH fermions live on the other.
- The Higgs is part of the extended gauge, the off diagonal part of the connection.
- With the two sheet picture, the Higgs field connects the fermions in the two sheets, while ordinary gauge field corresponds to the transformations in the continuous direction.
- Mass of fermions = VEV = (Separation of the two sheets)⁻¹.

$$J = J^a \lambda_a,$$

where $\lambda_a \in su(2|1)$, is the basis.

A B F A B F

Image: A matrix

- Extend the gauge connection from su(2) + u(1) to su(2|1), while leaving the gauge group still $SU(2) \times U(1)$, the even part of SU(2|1).
- Has no relation to SUSY whatsoever.
- The two sheet picture: as the representation space, the LH fermions live on one sheet while the RH fermions live on the other.
- The Higgs is part of the extended gauge, the off diagonal part of the connection.
- With the two sheet picture, the Higgs field connects the fermions in the two sheets, while ordinary gauge field corresponds to the transformations in the continuous direction.

• Mass of fermions= $VEV = (Separation of the two sheets)^{-1}$.

$$J = J^a \lambda_a,$$

where $\lambda_a \in su(2|1)$, is the basis.

< 3 > < 3 >

- Extend the gauge connection from su(2) + u(1) to su(2|1), while leaving the gauge group still $SU(2) \times U(1)$, the even part of SU(2|1).
- Has no relation to SUSY whatsoever.
- The two sheet picture: as the representation space, the LH fermions live on one sheet while the RH fermions live on the other.
- The Higgs is part of the extended gauge, the off diagonal part of the connection.
- With the two sheet picture, the Higgs field connects the fermions in the two sheets, while ordinary gauge field corresponds to the transformations in the continuous direction.
- Mass of fermions= VEV = (Separation of the two sheets)⁻¹.

$$J = J^a \lambda_a$$

where $\lambda_a \in su(2|1)$, is the basis.

3 🕨 🖌 🖻

A brief review of superconnection formalism The output and problems

Image: A matrix and a matrix

3 🕨 🖌 3

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J} &= \mathcal{J}^{a} \lambda_{a}, \\ &= i \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{W} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} B \cdot \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} & \sqrt{2}\phi \\ \sqrt{2}\phi^{\dagger} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}B \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \qquad \Psi = \begin{bmatrix} \nu_{L} \\ \mathbf{e}_{L} \\ \mathbf{e}_{R} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\overline{\Psi}\mathcal{J}\Psi = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\nu}_L & \overline{e}_L \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{W} \begin{bmatrix} \nu_L \\ e_L \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \overline{\nu}_L B \nu_L - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \overline{e}_L B e_L - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \overline{e}_R B e_R + \sqrt{2} \overline{e}_R \phi^{\dagger} \begin{bmatrix} \nu_L \\ e_L \end{bmatrix} + \sqrt{2} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\nu}_L & \overline{e}_L \end{bmatrix} \phi e_R .$$
(1)

Problems:

- Conceptually, how can we interpret the mixing between differential forms of different degrees?
- Even we stand with that, the Higgs potential does not have the proper Mexican hat shape for SSB.

Image: Image:

The Matrix Derivative

Introducing the matrix derivative to the total differential operator,

$$\mathbf{d}_{\mathcal{S}} = \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{d}_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

The matrix derivative transforms between odd and even part of su(2|1)

$$su(2/1)_0 \stackrel{d_M}{\longleftrightarrow} su(2/1)_1$$

by

$$(d_M X) = i [\eta, X]_s.$$

A brief review of superconnection formalism The output and problems

Image: Image:

The Matrix Derivative

Introducing the matrix derivative to the total differential operator,

$$\mathbf{d}_{\mathcal{S}} = \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{d}_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

The matrix derivative transforms between odd and even part of su(2|1)

$$su(2/1)_0 \stackrel{d_M}{\longleftrightarrow} su(2/1)_1,$$

by

$$(d_M X) = i [\eta, X]_s.$$

With super commutator,

$$[X, Y]_{s} = [X_{0} + X_{1}, Y_{0} + Y_{1}]_{s}$$
$$= [X_{0}, Y_{0}] + [X_{0}, Y_{1}] + [X_{1}, Y_{0}] + \{X_{1}, Y_{1}\}.$$

3 1 4

A brief review of superconnection formalism The output and problems

Image: Image:

The Matrix Derivative

Introducing the matrix derivative to the total differential operator,

 $\mathbf{d}_{\mathcal{S}} = \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{d}_{\mathcal{M}}.$

The matrix derivative transforms between odd and even part of su(2|1)

$$su(2/1)_0 \stackrel{d_M}{\longleftrightarrow} su(2/1)_1,$$

by

$$(d_M X) = i [\eta, X]_s.$$

The gauge is

$$\mathcal{J} \sim \mathcal{W}_{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} + B_{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} + \phi \mathrm{d}_{M},$$

where, $d_M \sim \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

< ∃ > <

A brief review of superconnection formalism The output and problems

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathbf{d}\mathcal{J} + \mathbf{d}_M \mathcal{J} + \frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}] s$$

$$= i \begin{bmatrix} F_W - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} F_B \cdot \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} - 2\hat{\phi}\hat{\phi}^{\dagger} + \xi\xi^{\dagger} & \sqrt{2} D\hat{\phi} \\ \sqrt{2}(D\hat{\phi})^{\dagger} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} F_B - 2\hat{\phi}^{\dagger}\hat{\phi} + v^2 \end{bmatrix}$$
(2)

In analogue to

$$F_{G} = dG + \frac{1}{2}[G, G],$$

$$S_{QCD} = \frac{1}{4} \langle F_{G}, F_{G} \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \int \text{Tr} \Big[*F_{G} \wedge F_{G} \Big] = -\frac{1}{4} \int G^{a}_{\mu\nu} G^{a\mu\nu} d^{4}x.$$
 (3)

Then we have

$$S_{YM+Higgs} = \frac{1}{4} \langle \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F} \rangle_{S} = \frac{1}{4} \int \operatorname{Tr} \left[*\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{F} \right]$$
$$= -\frac{1}{4} \left(F_{W\mu\nu}^{i} F_{W}^{i\mu\nu} + F_{B\mu\nu} F_{B}^{\mu\nu} \right) d^{4}x$$
$$+ (D_{\mu}\hat{\phi})^{\dagger} (D^{\mu}\hat{\phi}) d^{4}x - 2 \left(\hat{\phi}^{\dagger}\hat{\phi} - \frac{v^{2}}{2} \right)^{2} d^{4}x , \qquad (4)$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

A brief review of superconnection formalism The output and problems

The Output

$$\mathcal{J} = i \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{W} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} B \cdot \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} & \sqrt{2}\phi \\ \sqrt{2}\phi^{\dagger} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}B \end{bmatrix} \,.$$

- The Lagrangian for YM + Higgs, with Higgs potential.
- The coupling relation a theory at a certain scale.
- The correct hypercharge from the algebraic structure.

But that is not the end of the story, while there are questions demanding an answer.

- How can we extend the gauge connection without introducing extra symmetry?
- How can we have d_M without a geometry?
- How about the hypercharge of the quarks?

A brief review of superconnection formalism The output and problems

The Output

$$\mathcal{J} = i \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{W} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} B \cdot \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} & \sqrt{2}\phi \\ \sqrt{2}\phi^{\dagger} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}B \end{bmatrix} \,.$$

- The Lagrangian for YM + Higgs, with Higgs potential.
- The coupling relation a theory at a certain scale.
- The correct hypercharge from the algebraic structure.

But that is not the end of the story, while there are questions demanding an answer.

- How can we extend the gauge connection without introducing extra symmetry?
- How can we have d_M without a geometry?
- How about the hypercharge of the quarks?

What NCG gives us

The NCG formalism gives us

- gauge-Higgs unification,
- the SM minimally coupled to gravity,
- gauge coupling relations,
- mass relation between (top) quark and gauge bosons,
- the Higgs mass,
- the correct SM hypercharge.

What is NCG

 $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$

- Replace the algebra $\mathcal{A} = C^{\infty}(M)$ with $\mathcal{A} = C^{\infty}(M, M_N(\mathbb{C}))$.
- Generalize the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(S)$ to $\mathcal{H} = L^2(S) \otimes (\mathcal{H}^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}^-)$.
- Generalize the Dirac operator $\partial to \partial + \gamma^5 \otimes D$, where $D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & T \\ T^{\dagger} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

 \sim now plays the role of Differential operator, and the metric of NC space.

A brief introduction of NCG The hypercharge and LRSM

$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M) \otimes (\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H} \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}))$

The gauge field

$$\begin{split} A = & \sum_{i} a_{i} [D, b_{i}] \\ & \sim & \mathcal{W}_{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} + B_{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} + \phi \mathrm{d}_{M}, \end{split}$$

The symmetry,

$$SU(\mathcal{A}) = \{u \in \mathcal{A} | \det(a) = 1, aa^* = a^*a = 1\}.$$

$$\bullet A = A_{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} = A^{a}_{\mu} T^{a} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}.$$

• The idea of superconnection formalism is changing T^a , to get a larger connection space.

- Instead, NCG changes dx^{μ} instead, 'd' to be specific, to generate a larger connection.
- This partly fulfills our demand for an explanation in the superconnection formalism.

∃ ▶ ∢

The hypercharge and LRSM

 $\mathcal{A}_F = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H} \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C})$. This gives the flavor sector action

$$\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H} \sim U(1)_{a} \times SU(2)_{L},$$

and the color sector action

 $\mathbb{C} \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}) \sim U(1)_b \times U(3) \sim U(1)_b \times U(1)' \times SU(3)_c$.

- $U(1)_a$ and $U(1)_b$ are identified naturally since they are both generated by the same \mathbb{C} .
- Requiring the symmetry to be $SU(\mathcal{A}_F)$ identifies U(1) and U(1)' in a certain way that the whole theory is anomaly free.
- det = 1 guarantees there is no 'net' U(1) charge left in the calculation of determinant, which means the two U(1)'s cancel each other.
- $U(1)_Y = U(1) + U(1)'$, the SM hypercharge is produced.

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

 $\mathcal{A}_F = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H} \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C})$. This gives the flavor sector action

 $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H} \sim U(1)_a \times SU(2)_L$,

and the color sector action

 $\mathbb{C} \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}) \sim U(1)_b \times U(3) \sim U(1)_b \times U(1)' \times SU(3)_C.$

- $U(1)_a$ and $U(1)_b$ are identified naturally since they are both generated by the same \mathbb{C} .
- Requiring the symmetry to be $SU(\mathcal{A}_F)$ identifies U(1) and U(1)' in a certain way that the whole theory is anomaly free.
- det = 1 guarantees there is no 'net' U(1) charge left in the calculation of determinant, which means the two U(1)'s cancel each other.
- $U(1)_Y = U(1) + U(1)'$, the SM hypercharge is produced.

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} |\uparrow\rangle \otimes \mathbf{1}^{0} & |\uparrow\rangle \otimes \mathbf{1}^{0} & |\downarrow\rangle \otimes \mathbf{3}^{0} & |\downarrow\rangle \otimes \mathbf{3}^{0} \\ \mathbf{2}_{L} & -1 & -1 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \mathbf{2}_{R} & 0 & -2 & \frac{4}{3} & -\frac{2}{3} \end{array}$$

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

A brief introduction of NCG The hypercharge and LRSM

The extension to left-right symmetric model

$$\mathcal{A}_{LR} = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H}_L \oplus \mathbb{H}_R \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}).$$

The $U(1)_{B-L}$ is reproduced:

	$\left \uparrow\right\rangle\otimes1^{0}$	$\left \uparrow\right\rangle\otimes1^{0}$	$\left \downarrow\right\rangle\otimes{\bf 3}^{0}$	$\left \downarrow\right\rangle\otimes3^{0}$
2 _L	-1	-1	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$
2 _R	-1	-1	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$

Outlook:

- the promotion of certain Dirac operator parameter to dynamical field,
- the breaking of LR symmetry,
- the energy scale.

(5)

Summary

- The superconnection formalism of su(2|1) and the output.
 - The gauge-Higgs unification, together with Higgs potential for SSB.
 - The emergent scale.
 - The correct hypercharge from the algebraic structure.
- The conceptual and practical problems arise from it.
 - Extension of gauge connection without extra symmetry.
 - The geometry lurking behind d_M .
 - The hypercharge for quarks sector.
- Take NCG as a solution and extension to superconnection.
 - The geometry of d_M is studied.
 - The hypercharge for all SM particles.
 - Possible extension to LRSM.

A brief introduction of NCG The hypercharge and LRSM

Back up

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{1}^{s} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ \lambda_{2}^{s} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ \lambda_{3}^{s} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \lambda_{4}^{s} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ \lambda_{5}^{s} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ \lambda_{6}^{s} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \lambda_{7}^{s} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ \lambda_{8}^{s} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}. \\ & \frac{1}{i} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{i}^{s}, \lambda_{j}^{s} \end{bmatrix} = 2f_{ijk}\lambda_{k}^{s}, \\ & [\lambda_{i}^{s}, \lambda_{8}^{s}] = 0, \\ & \frac{1}{i} [\lambda_{i}^{s}, \lambda_{m}^{s}] = 2f_{iml}\lambda_{l}^{s}, \\ & \frac{1}{i} [\lambda_{8}^{s}, \lambda_{m}^{s}] = 2f_{mnl}\lambda_{l}^{s}, \\ & \{\lambda_{m}^{s}, \lambda_{n}^{s}\} = 2d_{mnk}\lambda_{k}^{s} - \sqrt{3}\delta_{mn}\lambda_{8}^{s}, \\ \\ & \text{Normalization: } \operatorname{Tr}(\lambda_{s}^{s}\lambda_{b}^{s}) = 2\delta_{ab}, \end{split}$$

Chen Sun @Pheno 2015

May 4, 2015 12 / 12

2

(6)

(7) (8)