Using Track based missing Et tools to reject fake MET background

Muhammad Firdaus Mohd Soberi UMichigan-CERN Semester Program Thursday, 12th February 2015

Introduction

Missing Transverse Energy (E_T^{miss})

- In p-p collision at the LHC, a significant, unmeasured amount of energy escapes in zdirection.
- Total initial and final momentum is zero in transverse direction
- Imbalance of energy in transverse direction signals presence of weakly/non-interacting particles such as neutrinos







Input Variables

- http://atlas-computing.web.cern.ch/atlascomputing/links/buildDirectory/nightlies/dev/AtlasOffline/rel_0/InstallArea/ doc/xAODMissingET/html/index.html#met_edm
- **)** mpx momentum component p_x
- **b** *mpy* momentum component p_y
- > pt tranverse momentum p_T

xAOD::Missing ET

double xAOD::MissingET::mpx () const

 $p_x^{
m miss}~=~-\sum p_x$

double xAOD::MissingET::mpy () const

$$p_y^{
m miss}~=~-\sum p_y$$

double xAOD::MissingET::met () const

 $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}} = \sqrt{(p_x^{\mathrm{miss}})^2 + (p_y^{\mathrm{miss}})^2}.$

double xAOD::MissingET::sumet () const

 $\Sigma E_{
m T} \,=\, \sum p_{
m T}.$

double xAOD::MissingET::phi () const



Goal

- Performance study of track-based MET (trackMET) as opposed to calorimeter based MET (caloMET) for Run2 (Run1 already tested)
- Track selection study to reject tracks coming from background (mostly QCD)
- Using the ZH->vvbb channel and MC14_13tev* samples.

Why Track MET?

Provide an alternative measurement to calorimeter-based MET (caloMET)

- Different detector has different unrelated systematic effect
- Can associate tracks to primary vertex,
 - Calculate MET and SumPt based on primary vertex of the event ,thus more correlated to true MET of the main physics process - important in pileup case
- Will deteriorate less than calorimeter based variables as instantaneous luminosity increase
- Has less effect due to cosmic muon and beam background
- Trackmet tool could be used in rejecting these background in W/Z analysis :
 - QCD jet background
 - Cosmic background
 - Pileup background
 - Beam halo background

Why TrackMET?: Continue

- Some analysis it is used:
 - ► BSM: H->ZZ->vvqq
 - ► SM: ZH->vvbb, H->WW->lvlv
 - ZH->bbxx(invisible)
- Disadvantages :
- --See only charged particles
- --Smaller geometrical coverage (|eta|<2.5 Compared to calorimeter |eta|<4.5)
- --Momentum resolution get worse in higher Pt (expected in Run2, need a way to fix that)

How to reject background using the trackMET info?

- Use correlation between MPT and MET and correlation between MPTphi and METphi to reject QCD background
- Use different track selection to separate unwanted tracks from signal track

Selection Cut in Run1 and Run2

Run1

- Loose track selection/tight track selection
- Select good tracks for this measurement
 - Select tracks from primary interaction
 - Remove tracks from conversion
- Too loose or too tight could worsen Track MET resolution
- Track selection cut for reconstructing track MET (Run1):
 - □ |Track _z0 respect to primary vertex |<20 mm
 - □ |Track _d0 respect to primary vertex |<2 mm
 - Track_Pt>0.5GeV , Track_Pt<300GeV</p>
 - Number of pixel hits >=2
 - Number of SCT hits >=6
 - Track MET = -1 *(Vector sum of the selected track momentum)

Track Selection: Run2

- Mostly follow the same cut as in Run1
- Currently studying suitable cut for Run2

Run 1 Performance (H->ZH->vvbbb)

Pretty successful in providing alternate measurement to caloMET and rejecting fake QCD backgrounds in Run1 (\sqrt{S} =8tev)

Run1Plots:METvsMPT and METphivsMPTphi





Run1Plots: Number of Vertex



Plots Run1: MPT in different NPV (with cut MET>120GeV)



Looking forward to Run2

Performance study of different Run2 MC samples

- ► QCD (JZ3W)
- Higgs/Z+jet(bb/jj)
- Higgs->ZH125 ->nunubb at different pileup effect (mu=30, 50ns trigger vs mu=80, 25ns trigger)

Run2Plot: METMPTdphi



Run2Plots: METJetdphimin



Run2 Plots: METJetdphi_min



Run2 Plots: METvsMPT (non PV)



Run2Plots:METphivsMPTphi







Run2 Issue

Increased number of pileup non-significantly degrade trackMET performance

- Need to apply different track selection to reduce increased pileup effect (since some tracks are 'soft tracks')
- Trigger performance
 - Different trigger affect the performance of trackMET in Run2
 - Trigger group still haven't decided suitable trigger yet, will see confirmation in coming weeks

Conclusion

- TrackMet could help in rejecting processes which are source of fake MET, such as QCD Di-jets, cosmics, pile-up effects... It can be a powerful tool in W/Z analysis, and any other processes with real MET.
- Currently testing the migrated version of xAOD MET package and implementation of xAOD TrackMET is underway.
- Study of improvement on QCD jet rejection as well as Bjet background

Cultural Activity?











Run1Plots: MPT in different NPV (with cut MET>120GeV) (loose selection)



Run 2: Actual Interaction Per Crossing



Run2: Average Interaction Per Crossing



Run2: MPT2



Run2: MPT_PV2



Run2: JetPT



Run2: Nvertex (If Ntrack < 3)

