Finite time calculations for hard parton production relevant to the QGP

Ben Meiring & W A Horowitz

University of Cape Town

mrnben002@myuct.ac.za

11 Feb, 2015



SA CERN



What is the Quark Gluon Plasma?

- A new Fundamental state of matter, formed in heavy ion collisions
- ► We think it behaves like a strongly coupled fluid



What is the Quark Gluon Plasma?

- A new Fundamental state of matter, formed in heavy ion collisions
- ▶ We think it behaves like a strongly coupled fluid



What is the Quark Gluon Plasma?

- A new Fundamental state of matter, formed in heavy ion collisions
- ► We think it behaves like a strongly coupled fluid













Figure: Proton-proton collision and resulting Jet

 $\langle \psi | \phi(x) | \psi \rangle$

 $\langle \psi | \phi(x) | \psi \rangle$

The expectation value of the ϕ field, given an initial state of a single ψ particle at $t = -\infty$.

 $\langle \psi | \phi(x) | \psi \rangle$

The expectation value of the ϕ field, given an initial state of a single ψ particle at $t = -\infty$.



Figure: Schwinger-Keldysh Contour

$$\left| \langle \phi(x) \rangle = -ig \int d^4 z \, D_R(x-z) \langle \mathsf{in} | \psi(z) \psi(z) | \mathsf{in} \rangle \right|$$

$$\left|\langle\phi(x)\rangle = -ig\int d^4z \, D_R(x-z)\langle \mathsf{in}|\psi(z)\psi(z)|\mathsf{in}\rangle\right.$$



Figure: Leading order diagram for $\langle \phi(x) \rangle$

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial \psi \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m_{\psi}^2 \psi^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial \phi \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m_{\phi}^2 \phi^2 - g \psi \phi \psi$$



Figure: Large mass analytic and numerical results for $\langle \phi(x) \rangle$ in time (in 1+1 D)



Figure: Evolution of $\langle \phi(x) \rangle$ in time (in 1+1 D)

Yes and Yes. We take ϕ^4 theory, and calculate $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle$ to leading order.

Yes and Yes. We take ϕ^4 theory, and calculate $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle$ to leading order.



Figure: Leading order diagrams for $\langle T_{\mu\nu}\rangle$

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi - g_{\mu\nu}(\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m_{\phi}^2\phi^2 - \lambda\phi^4)$$

Yes and Yes. We take ϕ^4 theory, and calculate $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle$ to leading order.



Figure: Leading order diagrams for $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle$

 $T_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi - g_{\mu\nu}(\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m_{\phi}^2\phi^2 - \lambda\phi^4)$ When we tally these up, the answer is ∞ !

We need to include an improvement term

$$\Theta_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{(n-2)}{(n-1)} \left(\partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} - g_{\mu\nu} \right) \phi^2$$

Callan, Coleman, Jackiw. ANNALS OF PHYSICS: 59, 42-73 (1970)

We need to include an improvement term

$$\Theta_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{(n-2)}{(n-1)} \left(\partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} - g_{\mu\nu} \right) \phi^2$$

Callan, Coleman, Jackiw. ANNALS OF PHYSICS: 59, 42-73 (1970)

$$\langle \Theta_{\mu\nu} \rangle \sim \text{finite stuff} + \lambda (k_{\mu}k_{\nu} - g_{\mu\nu}k^2) \int_0^1 dx \left(\frac{1}{6} - x(1-x)\right) \ln\left(\frac{m^2 - k^2x(1-x)}{\mu}\right)$$

We need to include an improvement term

$$\Theta_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{(n-2)}{(n-1)} \left(\partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} - g_{\mu\nu} \right) \phi^2$$

Callan, Coleman, Jackiw. ANNALS OF PHYSICS: 59, 42-73 (1970)

$$\begin{split} \langle \Theta_{\mu\nu} \rangle &\sim \text{finite stuff} \\ + \lambda (k_{\mu}k_{\nu} - g_{\mu\nu}k^2) \int_{0}^{1} dx \left(\frac{1}{6} - x(1-x)\right) \ln\left(\frac{m^2 - k^2 x(1-x)}{\mu}\right) \end{split}$$

So we can make sense of the Energy Momentum Tensor in QFT to leading order.

- Specifying only the initial state does not break angular symmetry
- ► We want to refine our result by specifying the final state

- Specifying only the initial state does not break angular symmetry
- ▶ We want to refine our result by specifying the final state

- Specifying only the initial state does not break angular symmetry
- ► We want to refine our result by specifying the final state

- Specifying only the initial state does not break angular symmetry
- ► We want to refine our result by specifying the final state



- Specifying only the initial state does not break angular symmetry
- ► We want to refine our result by specifying the final state

- Specifying only the initial state does not break angular symmetry
- ► We want to refine our result by specifying the final state



- Specifying only the initial state does not break angular symmetry
- ► We want to refine our result by specifying the final state



- Specifying only the initial state does not break angular symmetry
- ▶ We want to refine our result by specifying the final state



- Specifying only the initial state does not break angular symmetry
- ▶ We want to refine our result by specifying the final state



- Specifying only the initial state does not break angular symmetry
- ► We want to refine our result by specifying the final state



- Specifying only the initial state does not break angular symmetry
- ► We want to refine our result by specifying the final state



Figure: Proton-proton collision and resulting Jet

We define the Conditional Expectation Value

We define the Conditional Expectation Value $E[\hat{O}(x)| |\text{in}\rangle, |\text{out}\rangle] = \frac{\langle \text{in}|\hat{\Theta}_M \hat{O}(x)\hat{\Theta}_M |\text{in}\rangle}{\langle \text{in}|\hat{\Theta}_M |\text{in}\rangle}$

where $\hat{\Theta}_M = |\text{out}\rangle\langle \text{out}|$ is the projection operator built from the out states.

We define the Conditional Expectation Value $E[\hat{O}(x)| |\text{in}\rangle, |\text{out}\rangle] = \frac{\langle \text{in}|\hat{\Theta}_M \hat{O}(x)\hat{\Theta}_M |\text{in}\rangle}{\langle \text{in}|\hat{\Theta}_M |\text{in}\rangle}$

where $\hat{\Theta}_M = |\text{out}\rangle \langle \text{out}|$ is the projection operator built from the out states.



Figure: Time contour required for the conditional probability of an operator $\hat{O}(t)$

 We've explored the possibility of spacetime dependent QFT calculations

- We've explored the possibility of spacetime dependent QFT calculations
- \blacktriangleright We found expressions for both $\langle\phi\rangle(x)$ and finite $\langle\Theta_{\mu\nu}\rangle(x)$ for toy models

- We've explored the possibility of spacetime dependent QFT calculations
- \blacktriangleright We found expressions for both $\langle\phi\rangle(x)$ and finite $\langle\Theta_{\mu\nu}\rangle(x)$ for toy models
- We defined a concept of Conditional Expectation Values in QFT

- We've explored the possibility of spacetime dependent QFT calculations
- \blacktriangleright We found expressions for both $\langle\phi\rangle(x)$ and finite $\langle\Theta_{\mu\nu}\rangle(x)$ for toy models
- We defined a concept of Conditional Expectation Values in QFT

The End.

Back up Slides

Data for QGP



Figure: CMS Preliminary data for Jet R_{AA} . Taken from (1409.7545)

Conditional Expectation Value: Example

For $|{
m in}
angle=|\psi
angle$, $|{
m out}
angle=|\psi^{'}
angle$ we find

$$E[\phi(x)| |\psi\rangle, |\psi'\rangle] = \langle \psi'|\phi(x)|\psi'\rangle + 2i \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\langle \psi'|\phi(x)|\psi\rangle}{|\langle \psi'|\psi\rangle|}\right)$$

(At least to first order).

Conditional Expectation Value: Example

For $|{
m in}
angle=|\psi
angle$, $|{
m out}
angle=|\psi^{'}
angle$ we find

$$E[\phi(x)| |\psi\rangle, |\psi'\rangle] = \langle \psi'|\phi(x)|\psi'\rangle + 2i \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\langle \psi'|\phi(x)|\psi\rangle}{|\langle \psi'|\psi\rangle|}\right)$$

(At least to first order).

This says that if $|\psi\rangle\neq|\psi^{'}\rangle,$ the expectation of the field is complex.

Understanding $\langle \phi(x) \rangle$

We found a general expression for $\langle \phi(x) \rangle$ with $H_{\text{int}} = g\psi\phi\psi$



Figure: Typical Diagrams for $\langle \phi(x) \rangle$ for $|{\rm in} \rangle = |\psi \phi \rangle$

$$\langle \phi(x) \rangle = -ig \int d^4 z \, D_R(x-z) \langle in|\psi(z)\psi(z)|in \rangle$$

(Generalizes for arbitrary H_{int})

Understanding $\langle \phi(x) \rangle$



Figure: Analytic expression in 3+1 D, with comparison to $\frac{1}{r}$ potential.

We define the Conditional Expectation Value

We define the Conditional Expectation Value

$$\begin{split} E[\hat{O}(x)| |\mathsf{in}\rangle, |\mathsf{out}\rangle] &= \sum_{i} O_{i} P(|q_{i}\rangle| |\mathsf{in}\rangle, |\mathsf{out}\rangle) \\ &= \frac{\langle \mathsf{in}|\hat{\Theta}_{M}\hat{O}(x)\hat{\Theta}_{M}|\mathsf{in}\rangle}{\langle \mathsf{in}|\hat{\Theta}_{M}|\mathsf{in}\rangle} \end{split}$$

where $\hat{\Theta}_M = |\text{out}\rangle \langle \text{out}|$ is the projection operator built from the out states.

We define the Conditional Expectation Value

$$\begin{split} E[\hat{O}(x)| |\mathsf{in}\rangle, |\mathsf{out}\rangle] &= \sum_{i} O_{i} P(|q_{i}\rangle| |\mathsf{in}\rangle, |\mathsf{out}\rangle) \\ &= \frac{\langle \mathsf{in}|\hat{\Theta}_{M}\hat{O}(x)\hat{\Theta}_{M}|\mathsf{in}\rangle}{\langle \mathsf{in}|\hat{\Theta}_{M}|\mathsf{in}\rangle} \end{split}$$

where $\hat{\Theta}_M = |\text{out}\rangle\langle \text{out}|$ is the projection operator built from the out states. This result is actually a generalization of Baye's Theorem.



How does the Stopping distance in AdS/CFT depend on the initial conditions?



Figure: Stopping Distance as a function of radial u_c . R. Morad & W A Horowitz (1409 7545)