

Summary of Florence meeting

- Experimentalist's wish-list
- Salient issues (as far as we understand!)
- TO DO for ATLAS and CMS

Electroweak corrections

- The forthcoming DY report (*Alessandro*) and mW theory systematics evaluation (*Homero*) will constitute a very powerful benchmark.
 - Is it possible to implement a repository of codes, for reproducibility?
 - Z also important, as the energy / momentum scale extraction is affected (*Maria*)
- Two important reference points (used by the collaborations):
 - Powheg QCD + Photos
 - Powheg EW + Photos

(Photos = iterative emission of real photons; each emission is treated according to a full NLO QED matrix element : <http://arxiv.org/abs/0906.4052v2>)
- Estimation of residual uncertainties (beyond NLO)
 - From differences among the various codes?
 - From missing final states, e.g emission of fermion pairs (radiation of $\gamma^* \rightarrow e+e^-$)?

Polarization coefficients

- The Powheg prediction of $A_0 \sim -0.05$ at low p_T corresponds to a shift of ~ 40 MeV in M_W , when compared to $A_0 \sim 0$. It's a large effect (larger than most other uncertainties!)
- How to address this:
 - Can one define predictions and uncertainties from the QCD point of view (with and without parton shower)?
 - Is there an issue with Powheg?
- Given the lever arm of this effect, need to define a way to control this using data
 - Usefulness of the Z sample (allows an accurate measurement)
 - How to extrapolate a control measurement on Z events to the W sample?
 - Some coefficients (in particular A_4 , which is $\sim F/B$ asymmetry) are directly affected by the PDFs. How to avoid double counting these uncertainties, and PDF uncertainties evaluated separately?

$p_T(W,Z)$: Z tuning & Z \rightarrow W extrapolation

- Parton shower tuning
 - Current approaches (*Stefano, Luca*)
 - Powheg+Pythia (ATLAS)
 - RESBOS; DYRES (CMS)
 - Enough? Other important attempts?
 - Ensure a common PDF at this level. A first exercise would consist in comparing the tuned $p_T(W)$ predictions in all approaches
 - Relation between p_T spectrum and incoming quark masses:
 - As threshold effects in the evolution
 - Mass effects in the PS branchings
- how to evaluate this specific uncertainty?

$p_T(W,Z)$: Z tuning & Z \rightarrow W extrapolation

- First-principles prediction of W or Z p_T distributions gives $\sim 5\%$ accuracy. Need $\sim 0.5\%$ on the W p_T spectrum
- What is the uncertainty on the prediction of $p_T(W) / p_T(Z)$?
 - How to define scale uncertainties in a ratio of cross sections?
 - DYRES plot (*Giancarlo*) shows $\sim 1\%$ agreement, assuming identical QCD scales, but still reflecting the expected differences in the initial state
- Z \rightarrow W extrapolation : is it possible to define a toy process, used to evaluate the universality between W and Z production, purely from the pQCD point of view, and removing parasitic differences (different incoming partons / PDFs, heavy quark masses)?
 - e.g compare the p_T distribution of W and Z, produced at given rapidity, from 1st generation quarks only?
 - If possible / well defined, this would be a starting point on top of which one would include the effects of different PDFs, heavy quark contributions, etc

PDF \otimes Parton shower

- Issue : when tuning PS parameters using Z events, PDF biases can distort $p_T(Z)$, be absorbed in the PS parameters and incorrectly transmitted to the W.
 - Example : an excess of $bb \rightarrow Z$ events enhances the $p_T(Z)$ tail and would be transmitted to the W model via the PS parameters, although b-quarks do not contribute to W production
- How to address this point
 - Consistently?
 - Efficiently? (→ risk of having to generate numerous samples / variations)
- Combined PDF + PS/resummation fits : is this realistic on a fairly short timescale?

For the experiments

- Main point : ensure we can compare measurement results in a consistent way. Two complementary approaches:
 - ➔ Compare existing measurement results :
 - W, Z pT spectra
 - Z rapidity; W charge asymmetry and/or $\eta(\text{lepton})$Difficulty : extrapolation to a common fiducial phase space (and sometimes c.o.m energy)
 - ➔ Generator-level kinematic distributions for given boson mass?
 - Lineshape
 - $p_T(W,Z)$
 - ...with uncertainties corresponding to the corresponding quoted M_W systematic?
- can we take this on-board for a future meeting?
- can we envisage to perform these comparisons ahead of publishing results?