Supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory in higher dimensions

Dmitry Vlasenko

in collaboration with L. Bork, D. Kazakov, M. Kompaniets and

D.Tolkachev

Based on: JHEP 1311 (2013) 065, arXiv:1308.0117 [hep-th] JHEP 1404 (2014) 121, arXiv:1402.1024 [hep-th] Phys.Lett. B 734 (2014) 111, arXiv:1404.6998 [hep-th] To appear, 2015

Motivation



Object: Helicity Amplitudes on mass shell with arbitrary number of legs and loops

<u>The case</u>: Planar limit $N_c \to \infty, g_{YM}^2 \to 0 \text{ and } g_{YM}^2 N_c$ - fixed

The aim: to get all loop (exact) result

SFedU

UV & IR Divergences

D=4 N=4

- No UV divergences in all loops
- IR & Collinear Divs on shell

BDS conjecture

Bern, Dixon, Smirnov 05

$$\mathcal{M}_{n} \equiv \frac{A_{n}}{A_{n}^{tree}} = 1 + \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{g^{2} N_{c}}{16\pi^{2}} \right)^{L} M_{n}^{(L)}(\epsilon) = \exp\left[\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{g^{2} N_{c}}{16\pi^{2}} \right)^{l} \left(f^{(l)}(\epsilon) M_{n}^{(1)}(l\epsilon) + C^{(l)} + E_{n}^{(l)}(\epsilon) \right) \right]$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{n}(\epsilon) = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{8} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{g^{2} N_{c}}{16\pi^{2}} \right)^{l} \left(\frac{\gamma_{cusp}^{(l)}}{(l\epsilon)^{2}} + \frac{2G_{0}^{(l)}}{l\epsilon} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{-s_{i,i+1}} \right)^{l\epsilon} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{g^{2} N_{c}}{16\pi^{2}} \right)^{l} \gamma_{cusp}^{(l)} F_{n}^{(1)}(0) + C(g) \right]$$

IR & Collinear Divs in dimensional regularization

Cusp anom dim

$$M_4^{(1-loop)}(\epsilon) = A_4^{(1-loop)} / A_4^{(tree)} = \frac{\Gamma(1-\epsilon)^2}{\Gamma(1-2\epsilon)} [\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left((\frac{\mu^2}{s})^{\epsilon} + (\frac{\mu^2}{-t})^{\epsilon} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \log^2 \left(\frac{s}{-t} \right) - \frac{\pi^2}{3}] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$$

SFedU

UV & IR Divergences



N = (1, 1)

- No IR & Collinear divergences in all loops
- UV Divs starting from L=6/(D-4)=3 loops

$$[g^2] \sim \frac{1}{M^2}$$

Toy model for gravity

SFedU

- No IR & Collinear divergences in all loops
- UV Divs starting from L=[6/(D-4)]=1 loops

Compactification on a torus of higher dim maximal SYM theories gives lower dimensional maximal SYM theories

D.Vlasenko Dubna 2015 SYM in higher dimensions

Used techniques

Spinor helicity formalism

Cheung, O'Connell 09, Bern&Co 10, R.H.Boles D O' Connell 12, S.Caron-Huot D. O'Connell 10

On-shell momentum superspace for N=(1,1) SYM

Dennen, Huang, Siegel 10

. . .

Color decomposition

Color ordered amplitude

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_n^{a_1\dots a_n}(p_1^{\lambda_1}\dots p_n^{\lambda_n}) &= \sum_{\sigma\in S_n/Z_n} Tr[\sigma(T^{a_1}\dots T^{a_n})]A_n(\sigma(p_1^{\lambda_1}\dots p_n^{\lambda_n})) + \mathcal{O}(1/N_c) \\ \\ \hline \\ \underline{Planar \ Limit} \qquad N_c \to \infty, \ g_{YM}^2 \to 0 \ \text{and} \ g_{YM}^2 N_c - \text{fixed} \end{aligned}$$
 This is what we calculate

Four-point amplitude

$$\begin{aligned} A_4^{(l),phys.}(1,2,3,4) &= T^1 A_4^{(0)}(1,2,3,4) M_4^{(l)}(s,t) \\ &+ T^2 A_4^{(0)}(1,2,4,3) M_4^{(l)}(s,u) \\ &+ T^3 A_4^{(0)}(1,4,2,3) M_4^{(l)}(t,u) \end{aligned}$$

Tree level amplitude usually has a simple universal form proportional to the delta function (conservation of momenta), in SUSY case - conservation of supercharge in on shell momentum superspace

SFedUD.VlasenkoDubna 2015SYM in higher dimensions

Perturbation Expansion for the Amplitudes for any D

 $A_4(s,t) = A_4^{(0)}(s,t) \left[1 + \text{loop corrections}\right]$



- No Bubbles
- No Triangles

First UV div at L=[6/(D-4)] loops
IR finite

Universal expansion for any D in maximal SYM due to **Dual conformal invariance**

T. Dennen Yu-yin Huang 10, S.Caron-Huot D.O'Connell 10

SFedU

Diagrams which contain leading divergence



8/18

D.Vlasenko

SFedU

Calculated leading divergences

MI	Comb	D = 6	D = 8	D = 10
N_1	st	conv	$\frac{1}{3!\epsilon}$	$\frac{s+t}{5!\epsilon}$
J_1	s^2t	conv	$-\frac{s^2}{3!4!\epsilon^2}$	$\frac{-s^2(8s+2t)}{5!7!\epsilon^2}$
K_1	s^3t	conv	$rac{s^3}{4!5!\epsilon^3}$	$\frac{-2s^4(135s+11t)}{5!7!7!3\epsilon^3}$
K_2	$2s^2t$	$-\frac{1}{6\epsilon}$	$\frac{s(3s^2 - 2st + t^2)}{3!4!5!9\epsilon^3}$	$\frac{-s^2 \left(14 s^4 - 10 s^3 t + \frac{33}{5} s^2 t^2 - \frac{19}{5} s t^3 + \frac{8}{5} t^4\right)}{5! 7! 7! 9 \epsilon^3}$
L_1	s^4t	conv	$-\frac{210s^4}{3!4!5!6!\epsilon^4}$	$\frac{-32s^6(99s+2t)}{5!7!7!3\epsilon^4}$
L_2	s^3t	$\frac{1}{48\epsilon^2}$	$\frac{s^2 \left(-\frac{20}{3} s^2 + \frac{8}{9} s t - \frac{1}{9} t^2\right)}{3! 4! 5! 6! \epsilon^4}$	$\frac{-28s^4 \left(\frac{8512s^4 - 1043s^3t + \frac{876}{5}s^2t^2 - }{-\frac{143}{5}st^3 + \frac{16}{5}t^4} \right)}{5!7!7!7!3\epsilon^4}$
L_3	$2s^3t$	$\frac{1}{24\epsilon^2}$	$\frac{s^2 \left(-\frac{430}{21} s^2 + \frac{4}{9} s t - \frac{1}{18} t^2\right)}{3! 4! 5! 6! \epsilon^4}$	$\frac{-2s^4 \left(\frac{\frac{1502144}{33}s^4 - \frac{1085791}{33}s^3t}{+\frac{2044}{5}s^2t^2 - \frac{1001}{15}st^3 + \frac{112}{15}t^4}\right)}{5!7!7!7!\epsilon^4}$
L_4	$2s^2t$	$\sim \frac{1}{\epsilon}$	$\frac{s \left(\frac{-\frac{45}{14}s^4 + \frac{18}{7}s^3t - \frac{27}{14}s^2t^2}{+\frac{9}{7}st^3 - \frac{9}{14}t^4}\right)}{3!4!5!6!\epsilon^4}$	$\frac{-s^2 \left(-\frac{7504}{1287} s^7 + \frac{7819}{1716} s^6 t - \frac{1475}{429} s^5 t^2 + \frac{12745}{5148} s^4 t^3 \right)}{-\frac{716}{429} s^3 t^4 + \frac{1747}{1716} s^2 t^5 - \frac{673}{1287} s t^6 + \frac{105}{572} t^7 \right)}{5!7!7!7! \epsilon^4}$
L_5	$4s^2t$	$\frac{t-s}{3\cdot 48\epsilon^2}$	$\frac{s\left(\frac{-\frac{15}{28}s^4 + \frac{25}{63}s^3t - \frac{65}{252}s^2t^2}{+\frac{5}{42}st^3 - \frac{1}{28}t^4}\right)}{3!4!5!6!\epsilon^4}$	$\frac{-4s^2 \Bigg(\frac{-\frac{95200}{143}s^7 + \frac{67634}{143}s^6t - \frac{225008}{715}s^5t^2 + \frac{136514}{715}s^4t^3}{-\frac{6608}{65}s^3t^4 + \frac{6706}{143}s^2t^5 - \frac{7420}{429}st^6 + \frac{1715}{429}t^7}{5!7!7!7!\epsilon^4} \Bigg)}{5!7!7!7!\epsilon^4}$

SFedU

D.Vlasenko

D=6 N=2 case

Leading Divergences for 5loop diagrams

MI	I_1	I_2	I_3	I_4	I_5	I_6	I_7	I_8
comb	$2s^4t$	$2s^4t$	$4s^3t$	$2s^3t$	$4s^2t$	$4s^2t$	$2s^2t$	$4s^2t$
Int	$-\frac{1}{\epsilon^3}\frac{9}{36\cdot 40}$	$-rac{1}{\epsilon^3}rac{3}{36\cdot40}$	$\frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \frac{s - t/4}{36 \cdot 30}$	$\frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \frac{s - t/4}{36 \cdot 15}$	$\frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \frac{s^2 - st + t^2/3}{36 \cdot 80}$	$-rac{1}{\epsilon^3}rac{s^2-st+t^2}{36\cdot 80}$	$-rac{1}{\epsilon^3}rac{s^2-st+t^2}{36\cdot 40}$	$\frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \frac{s^2 - st + t^2/3}{36 \cdot 80}$





D=8,10 N=1 cases

Leading Divergences



Doesn't look like Geom progression anymore, however, coefficients grow slowly

SFedU

Leading Divergences from Generalized «Renormalization Group»

- In renormalizable theories the leading divergences can be found from the 1-loop term due to the renormalization group, in particular, for a single coupling theory the coefficient of $1/\epsilon^n$ in n loops in given by $a_n^{(n)} = (a_1^{(1)})^n$
- In non-renormalizable theories the leading divergences can be also found from 1-loop due to locality and R-operation

$$\mathcal{R}'G = 1 - \sum_{\gamma} K\mathcal{R}'_{\gamma} + \sum_{\gamma,\gamma'} K\mathcal{R}'_{\gamma} K\mathcal{R}'_{\gamma'} - \dots,$$

$$\mathcal{R}'G_n = \frac{A_n(\mu^2)^{n\epsilon}}{\epsilon^n} + \frac{A_{n-1}(\mu^2)^{(n-1)\epsilon}}{\epsilon^n} + \dots + \frac{A_1(\mu^2)^{\epsilon}}{\epsilon^n}$$
All terms like $(\log\mu^2)^m/\epsilon^k$
should cancel
$$A_n = (-1)^{n-1} \frac{A_1}{n}$$
Leading pole Coeff of 1 loop graph

R-operation and Recurrence Relation



- Similar relations one can get for all other series
- All of them have 1/n! behavior

SFedU

R-operation and Recurrence Relation



SFedU

D.Vlasenko

All loop Exact Recurrence Relation

D=6 N=2

t-channel
$$T_n(s,t)$$
 term

term
$$S_n(s,t)$$

$$T_n(s,t) = S_n(t,s)$$

Exact relation for ALL diagrams

$$nS_n(s,t) = -2s \int_0^1 dx \int_0^x dy \, \left(S_{n-1}(s,t') + T_{n-1}(s,t')\right) \qquad \begin{array}{l} n \ge 4 \\ t' = t(x-y) - sy \\ S_3 = -s/3, \ T_3 = -t/3 \end{array}$$

Summation
$$\Sigma_k^s = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} S_n x^n$$
 $\Sigma_k^t = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} T_n x^n$

<u>Diff eqn</u>

$$\frac{d}{dz}\Sigma_3^s = 3S_3z^2 + 2s\int (\Sigma_3^{s'} + \Sigma_3^{t'}) \qquad \Sigma_4^s = \Sigma_3^s - S_3x^3 \qquad \Sigma^s = x^{-2}\Sigma_3^s$$

$$\frac{d}{dx}\Sigma^s = s - \frac{2}{x}\Sigma^s + 2s\int (\Sigma^{s'} + \Sigma^{t'})$$

SFedU

D.Vlasenko

All loop Exact Recurrence Relation

D=8 N=1

t-channel
$$T_n(s,t)$$
 term

s-channel $S_n(z)$

$$(s,t)$$
 $T_n(s,t) = S_n(t,s)$

Exact relation for ALL diagrams

 $\begin{array}{ll} \underline{\text{Summation}} & \Sigma_k^s = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} S_n x^n & \Sigma_k^t = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} T_n x^n & \Sigma_3^s = \Sigma_1^s - S_2 x^2 - S_1 x, \ \Sigma_2^s = \Sigma_1^s - S_1 x \\ \hline \underline{\text{Diff eqn}} & \frac{d}{dx} \Sigma^s & = \ \frac{1}{12} - 2s^2 \int_0^1 dx \int_0^x dy \ y(1-x) \ (\Sigma^s + \Sigma^t)|_{t'=t(x-y)-sy} \\ & + s^4 \int_0^1 dx \ x^2 (1-x)^2 \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!(p+2)!} (\frac{d^p}{dt'^p} (\Sigma^s + \Sigma^t)|_{t'=-sx})^2 \ (tsx(1-x))^p. \end{array}$

SFedU

D.Vlasenko

Summation of Infinite Series

D=6 N=2

- Equation for the total sum has a fixed point $\Sigma^s = \Sigma^t = -1/2$
- It is stable when $\ \epsilon \to +0$ but depends on kinematics
- Having in mind all channels in full amplitude the fixed point appears to be unstable

D=8 N=1 D=10 N=1

- Due to non-linearity of equation the fixed point analysis is complicated
- Existence of a fixed point does not contradict the equation
- Example of the horizontal boxes demonstrates that the limit $\,\epsilon \to +0\,$ might be similar to a gauge theory in D=4

Conclusion

- It might mean that in nonrenormalizable theories the finite number of PT terms has no meaning while the full theory exists.
- That would imply that severe UV divergences present in any given order of PT are actually artifacts of the weak coupling expansion.
- If this is true, one may try to apply the same arguments to quantum gravity. This would mean that one should not be confused by nonrenormalizability of PT in quantum gravity.
- It may well be that the full theory is meaningful, PT is just not applicable here.
- In order to understand the nonrenormalizable theories one has to find an alternative dual description.
- The result of an alternative approach might be quite different from the PT one.

Thank you for your attention

D=6 N=2

Perturbation Expansion for the Amplitudes

Exact calculation

$$p_i^2 = 0, \ m = 0$$

$$B_1(s,t) = \frac{\pi^3}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{b_2(x)}{s+t}, \quad b_2(x) = \frac{L^2(x) + \pi^2}{2}, \quad L(x) \doteq \log(x), \quad x = \frac{t}{s}$$

$$B_2(s,t) = \left(\frac{\pi^3}{(2\pi)^6}\right)^2 \left(\frac{b_4(x)}{t} + \frac{b_3(x)}{s+t}\right) \qquad \text{Anastasiou, Tausk, Tejeda-Yeomans, 00}$$

$$B_2(s,t) = \left(2\zeta_3 - 2Li_3(-x) - \frac{\pi^2}{3}L(x)\right)L(1+x) + \left(\frac{1}{2}L(x) + \frac{\pi^2}{2}\right)L^2(1+x) + \left(2L(x)L(1+x) - \frac{\pi^2}{3}\right)Li_2(-x) + 2L(x)S_{1,2}(-x) - 2S_{2,2}(-x)$$

$$b_3(x) = -2\zeta_3 + \frac{\pi^2}{3}L(x) - \left(L(x) + \pi^2\right)L(1+x) - 2L(x)Li_2(-x) + 2Li_3(-x)$$

Regge Limit $s \to \infty$, t < 0, fixed

$$B_1(s,t) \sim \frac{1}{2}L^2(x)$$
 $B_2(s,t) \sim \frac{1}{12}L^4(x)$

2 0

Perturbation Expansion for the Amplitudes



UV finite

 $\frac{A_4}{A_4^{(0)}}$

Regge Limit $s \to \infty$, t < 0, fixed

$$B_n(t,s) \simeq rac{1}{s} rac{L^{2n}(x)}{n!(n+1)!}, \quad L \equiv \log(s/t)$$
Bork,Kazakov,Vlasenko, 13

$$\frac{A_4}{A_4^{(0)}} \bigg|_{L.L.} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-g^2 t/2)^n L^{2n}(x)}{n!(n+1)!}, \quad \text{where} \quad g^2 \equiv \frac{g_{YM}^2 N_c}{64\pi^3}$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-g^2 t/2)^n L^{2n}(x)}{n!(n+1)!} = \frac{I_1(2y)}{y}, \quad y \equiv \sqrt{g^2 |t|/2} \ L(x)$$

Regge behaviour

Exact for $N_c \to \infty$

$$\alpha(t) = 1 + 2\sqrt{g^2|t|/2} = 1 + \sqrt{\frac{g_{YM}^2 N_c|t|}{32\pi^3}}$$

 $\alpha(t) - 1$

 $\sim \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)$

Perturbation Expansion for the

	Amplitudes									
Leading Powers $B_n(s,t) = \frac{1}{s} \left(C_n + O(t/s) \right), n \ge 2$ 14								Kazakov, 14		
	LIV/ finit/	•	Loops	1	2	3	4	5	6	
	Uv finite		Values	$\frac{\pi^2}{2}$	$rac{\pi^2}{3}$	$-\pi^2 + \frac{31\pi^6}{1890} \\ -8\zeta_3 + 4\zeta_3^2$				
			Numerics	4.93	3.29	2.06	2.05	2.42	3.13	
	$c_{2} = 2\zeta_{2}, \qquad \text{Panzer, 14}$ $c_{3} = 4\zeta_{3}^{2} + \frac{124}{35}\zeta_{2}^{3} - 8\zeta_{3} - 6\zeta_{2}, \qquad \text{Panzer, 14}$ $c_{4} = -56\zeta_{7} - 32\zeta_{2}\zeta_{5} + 32\zeta_{3}^{2} + \frac{8}{5}\zeta_{3}\left(4\zeta_{2}^{2} - 15\right) + \frac{992}{35}\zeta_{2}^{3} - 8\zeta_{2}^{2} - 18\zeta_{2}, \qquad c_{5} = 56\zeta_{7}\left(\zeta_{3} - 5\right) + 26\zeta_{5}^{2} + 4\zeta_{5}\left(8\zeta_{2}\zeta_{3} + 35\zeta_{3} - 40\zeta_{2} - 49\right) + \frac{4}{5}\zeta_{3}^{2}\left(140 - 25\zeta_{2} - 4\zeta_{2}^{2}\right) + \frac{8\zeta_{3}\left(7\zeta_{2} + 4\zeta_{2}^{2} - 14\right) - \frac{1168}{385}\zeta_{2}^{5} - \frac{24}{7}\zeta_{2}^{4} + \frac{496}{5}\zeta_{3}^{2} + 4\zeta_{2}\left(2\zeta_{3,5} - 21\right) + 20\zeta_{3,5} + c_{6} = \frac{18864}{35}\zeta_{2}^{3} + 336\zeta_{3,5} - 12\zeta_{9}\left(20\zeta_{2} + 161\right) + \frac{8}{3}\zeta_{7}\left(104\zeta_{2}^{2} + 35\zeta_{2} + 840\zeta_{3} - 1120\right) + 624\zeta_{5}^{2} + \frac{16}{35}\zeta_{5}\left(1680\zeta_{2}\zeta_{3} - 3675 - 12\zeta_{2}^{3} - 2240\zeta_{2} + 490\zeta_{2}^{2} + 5145\zeta_{3}\right) + 96\left(\zeta_{2}^{2} + \zeta_{3,7}\right) - \frac{48}{5}\zeta_{3}^{2}\left(35\zeta_{2} + 8\zeta_{2}^{2} - 60\right) - \frac{32}{5}\zeta_{3}\left(105 - 32\zeta_{2}^{2} + 3\zeta_{2}^{3} - 75\zeta_{2}\right)$									r,14 $5\zeta_2 - 4\zeta_2^2$) $20\zeta_{3,5} + 4\zeta_3$, 1120)) - 75 ζ_2)
	$c_{6} = \frac{18864}{35}\zeta_{2}^{3} + 336\zeta_{3,5} - 12\zeta_{9}\left(20\zeta_{2} + 161\right) + \frac{8}{3}\zeta_{7}\left(104\zeta_{2}^{2} + 35\zeta_{2} + 840\zeta_{3} - 1120\right)$ $+ 624\zeta_{5}^{2} + \frac{16}{35}\zeta_{5}\left(1680\zeta_{2}\zeta_{3} - 3675 - 12\zeta_{2}^{3} - 2240\zeta_{2} + 490\zeta_{2}^{2} + 5145\zeta_{3}\right)$ $+ 96\left(\zeta_{2}^{2} + \zeta_{3,7}\right) - \frac{48}{5}\zeta_{3}^{2}\left(35\zeta_{2} + 8\zeta_{2}^{2} - 60\right) - \frac{32}{5}\zeta_{3}\left(105 - 32\zeta_{2}^{2} + 3\zeta_{3}^{3} - 75\zeta_{2}\right)$ $+ 24\zeta_{2}\left(8\zeta_{3,5} - 21\right) - \frac{28032}{385}\zeta_{2}^{5} - \frac{288}{5}\zeta_{2}^{4} - 1320\zeta_{11}.$									