International Workshop on Hadron Nuclear Physics 2015 Krabi, Thailand (7th-11th, July, 2015)

Theoretical Interpretation of J-PARC E27 Data with a $\Lambda(1405)$ -p Model

Wai Mu Mu PHYO, Khin Swe MYINT

Yoshinori AKAISHI and Toshimitsu YAMAZAKI

J-PARC E27 EXPERIMENT

A Search for the simplest kaonic nuclei K⁻pp using d(π^+ , K⁺) reaction.



J-PARC E27 experiment to search for K-pp bound state Y. Ichikawa Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan JAEA, Tokai, Ibaraki 319-1195, Japan

Fig.1 Schematic view of the K1.8 beam line, the target area and SKS spectrometer.





T. Yamazaki & Y. Akaishi, Phys. Lett. B <u>535</u> (2002) 70

Experimental Observation of K⁻ pp

FINUDA

M. Agnello et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>94</u> (2005) 212303



Stopped K⁻ on ⁶Li, ⁷Li and ¹²C targets and observed back to back Λp pairs

(В Е, Г) 115⁺⁶₋₅, 67⁺¹⁴₋₁₁ MeV



Study of Kaonic nuclei by the d (π^+ , K⁺) reaction at J-PARC

Ichikawa al, XV International Conference on Hadron Spectroscopy-Hadron 2013 Nara, Japan

Simplest kaonic nucleus K⁻ pp bound state is searched at J-PARC K 1.8

beam line (J-PARC E27 experiment)

d (π^+ , K⁺) X at the beam momentum 1.7 GeV/c

X strangeness (-1), singly charged, double baryon

bound state 📥 K⁻ pp

quasi free $\implies \Lambda p, \Sigma^0 p, \Lambda^* p, \Sigma^* p$

JPARC-E27 Inclusive spectrum

Y. Ichikawa et al., Proc. Science (Nara Conf. 2013)



Three-Body Coupled Channel Rearrangement Gaussian Basis Treatment

(1) and (2) are $\Lambda^* - p$ structure, strong KN interaction. Major contribution

(3) is K-(pp) structure with p-p repulsive interaction

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_{\Lambda^*} + \mathbf{H}_{\Lambda^* p}$$
$$\mathbf{H} = \left\{ T(\vec{r}) + V_{K^- p}(\vec{r}) \right\} + \left\{ T(\vec{\rho}) + V_{\Lambda^* p}(\vec{\rho}) \right\}$$

On the missing mass spectrum from $D(\pi^+, K^+)$ E27 experiment

Differential cross section contains kinematical factor and spectral function S(E) where E is the missing mass variable

$$S(E) = \left(-\frac{1}{\pi}\right) \operatorname{Im}\left[\int d\vec{r}\,' \int d\vec{r}\, f^{*}(\vec{r}\,') \left\langle \vec{r}\,' \right| \frac{1}{E - H_{\Lambda^{*}p} + i\epsilon} \left| \vec{r} \right\rangle f(\vec{r}) \right]$$
$$G^{+}(\vec{r},\vec{r}\,') = \left\langle \vec{r} \left| \frac{1}{E - H_{\Lambda^{*}p} + i\epsilon} \left| \vec{r}\,' \right\rangle \right.$$

Transition Matrix

$$T_{fi}^{(n)} = \int d\vec{q}_{1} \int d\vec{q}_{2} \int d\vec{q}_{0}' \left\langle \Psi_{f}^{n} \middle| \vec{\tilde{q}}' \right] \delta(\vec{q}_{0}' + \vec{q}_{2} - \vec{K}) \left[\vec{\tilde{q}}_{0}' \middle| t \middle| \vec{\tilde{q}}_{0} \right] \delta(\vec{q}_{0}' + \vec{k}_{1} - \vec{k}_{0} - \vec{q}_{1}) \,\delta(\vec{q}_{1} + \vec{q}_{2}) \left[\vec{\tilde{q}} \middle| \Psi_{i} \right\rangle$$

Differential Cross Section

$$d^{3}\sigma = \frac{(2\pi)^{4}}{(\hbar^{2}k_{0}c^{2})}E_{0}\left|\left\langle t_{\pi n}\right\rangle\right|^{2}d\vec{k}_{1}\sum_{n}\delta(E_{i}-E_{f}^{n})\left|\int d\vec{q}_{0}'\left\langle \Psi_{f}^{n}\right|\vec{\tilde{q}}'\right\rangle\left\langle \vec{\tilde{q}}\right|\Psi_{i}\right\rangle\right|^{2}$$

Missing Mass Spectrum

$$V_0 = -211 \text{ MeV}, W_0 = -21 \text{ MeV} \qquad (\text{YA } \Lambda^* \text{-p potential}) \qquad V_{\Lambda^* p} = (V_0 + iW_0) \left(\frac{r}{c}\right)^2 \exp\left(-\frac{r}{c}\right)^2 V_0 = -394 \text{ MeV}, W_0 = -95 \text{ MeV} \qquad (\text{DISTO } \Lambda^* \text{-p potential})$$

Coincidence study

$$\begin{split} & \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{\pi}^{-}, \quad \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\mathbf{p}} = 100.5 \; \text{MeV} \, / \, \boldsymbol{c} \\ & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{\pi}^{0}, \quad \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\mathbf{p}} = 189.0 \; \text{MeV} \, / \, \boldsymbol{c} \\ & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{0} + \mathbf{p} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\Lambda} + \mathbf{p}, \quad \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\mathbf{p}} = 282.7 \; \text{MeV} \, / \, \boldsymbol{c} \\ & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{N} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{N} \; \text{conversion} \\ & \textbf{Kpp}^{\text{DISTO}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\Lambda} + \mathbf{p}, \quad \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\mathbf{p}} = 476.0 \; \text{MeV} \, / \, \boldsymbol{c} \\ & \quad \text{"K}^{\circ} \mathbf{p} \mathbf{p}^{\text{"}} = \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{*} \cdot \mathbf{p} \; \text{QBS} \end{split}$$

Inclusive spectrum

QF Λ -p production and Fermi motion

Deuteron momentum distribution $\rho_d(k_n) = N \exp\left(-\frac{2}{a}k_n^2\right)$ where a=0.1994 fm⁻¹

Neutron distribution is largest at $p_n = 0$;

QF Σ -p production and Fermi motion

Deuteron momentum distribution $\rho_d(k_n) = N \exp\left(-\frac{2}{a}k_n^2\right)$ where a=0.1994 fm⁻¹

Quasi-free Λ^* **-p** production

Blue curve: Fermi motion included, $\Gamma_{\Lambda}^{*} = 50$ MeV;

Peak position=2401.4 MeV/c², Γ = 160 MeV

Red curve: Fermi motion included, ${\Gamma_{\Lambda}}^* = 0$;

Peak position=2439.4 MeV/ c^2 , Γ = 100 MeV

Gray curve: Fermi motion suppressed, ${\Gamma_{\!\Lambda}}^*=0$

The large background of QF Y^* -p production originates from combined effect of decay width of Λ^* and Fermi motion of neutrons in the target deuteron.

$$\rho_{\rm d}(k_{\rm n}) = N \exp\left(-\frac{2}{a}k_{\rm n}^2\right)$$

Conclusion

✓ The $\Lambda^* = \Lambda(1405)$ plays an essential role in forming "anti-Kaonic Nuclear Clusters", the simplest one of which is K⁻pp=(K⁻p)-p= Λ^* -p.

- ✓ The origin of QF Y-p peak are the resultant of kinematics of the reaction.
- The Y*-p are admixture of decay width of Y* and the reaction kinematics.

Thank You very much!

In the region of Λ-p and Σ-p, the following optical potential is used; $V_{opt}(r) = 5000.0 \text{ e}^{-\left(\frac{r}{0.4}\right)^2} - (272.6 \text{ f}_R + i47.4 \text{ f}_I) \text{ e}^{-\left(\frac{r}{1.0}\right)^2}$

with $f_R=0.5$, $f_I=0.0$ for Λ -p and $f_R=0.9$, $f_I=1.0$ fpr Σ -p.

In order to get Y*-p quasi free part, we switch off the interaction in our calculation and used
BE_{Λ*}=26.8 MeV, Γ_{Λ*=}50 MeV and BE_{Σ*}=49.0 MeV, Γ_{Σ*}=36.0MeV

Phenomenological p-p interaction of Tamagaki is

$$V_{pp}(r) = \sum_{n=1}^{3} V_n \exp\left[-(r/\mu_n)^2\right]$$

$$V_1 = 2000.0 \text{ MeV}, \mu_1 = 0.547 \text{ fm},$$

$$V_2 = -270.0 \text{MeV}, \mu_2 = 0.942 \text{fm},$$

$$V_3 = -5.0 \text{MeV}, \mu_3 = 2.5 \text{fm}$$

R. Tamagaki, Prog. Theor. Phys, **39** (1968) 91.