# Leptogenesis

Yuval Grossman

Cornell



# What is the problem?



# Open questions

Why there is basically only matter in the universe?

 $n(B) \ll n(B)$ 

Quantitatively, can we explain $\bullet$ 

$$
\eta \equiv \frac{n(B) - n(\overline{B})}{N(\gamma)} \approx 6 \times 10^{-10}
$$

*B* stands for Baryons. *γ* stands for CMB photons



#### Remarks

$$
n(\overline{B}) \ll n(B) \& \eta \equiv \frac{n(B) - n(\overline{B})}{N(\gamma)} \approx 6 \times 10^{-10}
$$

- Rather amazing that we can even ask this question
- The SM predicts an hierarchy, but it falls short ingetting the numerical value of *<sup>η</sup>*
- Many explanations beyond the SM. Nothing confirmed
- Not easy to probe any of these ideas as there is onlyone number to explain
- The solution must involve interplay of particle physics and cosmology
- Maybe neutrinos are the key to the solution

#### Some vocabulary

- Bryogenesis: The creation of net baryon number in theuniverse
- **•** Leptogenesis: A nickname for "baryogenesis via leptogenesis" where lepton number is generated andthis what drives baryogenesis

What about the lepton number of the universe?



#### **Outline**

- **•** Very basic cosmology
- The Sakharov's conditions $\bullet$
- **CPV**
- **GUT Baryogenesis**
- **SM Baryogenesis**
- **C** Leptogenesis

# Very basic cosmology



# The very basic of early cosmology

- Recall statistical mechanics
- The early universe was very hot
- While the universe expands it cools down
- While cooling down, when  $T < M$  the equilibrium<br>density of particles reduce exponentially density of particles reduce exponentially

$$
n \propto \exp(-T/M)
$$

- The actual density does not follow the equilibrium one
- How close it does? It depends on the mass andstrength of interactions
- The weaker the interaction, it deviates more from its equilibrium density

# How the baryon density is measured?

Two ways: CMB and BBN. I will only talk about BBN

- **At high temperature baryons are not binded**
- When the universe cooled below about 1 MeV

 $n+p\rightarrow$ 2 $^{2}H$   $^{2}H + ^{2}$  $^2\text{H}\rightarrow{}^4\text{He}$ 

- The ratio of  ${}^{4}$ He to H depends on
	- Neutron life time (Which we can measure)
	- The baryon to photon ratio (What we are after)
	- The rate of expansion (Depend on the number of fields)
- Few other elements are also produced, like  $^3{\rm He},\,^7{\rm Li}$
- More predictions than parameters! It can be tested

#### Data

Baryon density  $\Omega_{\rm b}$  h mponongonongonong He−−−−− $\%$ CMB  $DH|_p$ , He $H|_p$  $\mathop{\rm Li}\nolimits\mathop{\rm H}\nolimits|_p$ 

Baryon to photon ratio η

#### ● BBN and CMB combined

$$
\eta = \frac{n(B)}{n(\gamma)} \sim 6 \times 10^{-10}
$$

#### The Sakharov's conditions



#### Sakharov's conditions

Sakharov's conditions for dynamically generated baryonasymmetry

Baryon number violating process

$$
X \to p^+ e^-
$$

● C and CP violation

$$
\Gamma(X \to p^+e^-) \neq \Gamma(\overline{X} \to p^-e^+)
$$

Deviation from thermal equilibrium

$$
\Gamma(X \to p^+e^-) \neq \Gamma(p^+e^- \to X)
$$

### Sakharov's conditions: Remarks

- B violation requires for microscopic baryogenesis
- C and CP violation and out of equilibrium require formacroscopic baryogenesis
- **Out of equilibrium condition: Make sure nothing can** bring it back to equilibrium

Eugene Wigner on Baryon conservation : "I can feel it in mybones"

- It is <sup>a</sup> proof that Baryon number is <sup>a</sup> very good $\bullet$ symmetry today
- In <sup>a</sup> way, it is also <sup>a</sup> proof that Baryon number must bebroken

#### Total asymmetry

Consider decays of particle  $X$  that generate baryons

 $η = N_I \epsilon \, η_a$ 

- $N_I$  is the initial density of  $X$  at  $T \gg m_X$
- $\epsilon < 1$  is the CP violation asymmetry. This factor is from particle physics
- *ηa<*1 is an efficiency factor due to "washout" effects. Th. This factor is from cosmology





#### What is CP

- A symmetry between <sup>a</sup> particle and its anti-particle
- **•** CP is violated if we have

$$
\Gamma(A \to B) \neq \Gamma(\bar{A} \to \bar{B})
$$

- **It is not easy to detect CPV** 
	- Always need interference of two (or more) diagrams
	- These two amplitudes must differ in both their weakand strong phases

# All these phases

Weak phase (CP-odd phase) changes sign under CP. It is a phase in  $\mathcal L$ 

$$
CP(Ae^{i\phi}) = Ae^{-i\phi}
$$

■ Strong phase (CP-even phase) is invariant under CP

$$
CP(Ae^{i\delta}) = Ae^{i\delta}
$$

- $\bm{\mathsf{Due}}$  to time evolution  $\psi(t) = e^{\theta}$  intermediate real states−*iHt ψ*(0) and thus needs
- Check for yourself that to get CPV we need both weak $\bullet$ and strong phases

# GUT Baryogenesis



#### **GUTs**

An example of <sup>a</sup> way to get Baryogenesis

- I will not get into the full story of GUTs
- For our "story" we need to know that the SU(3) $\times$  $\mathsf{SU}(2)\times\mathsf{U}(1)$  group of the SM is part of a bigger group,<br>sey SU(5) say SU(5)
- Baryons and leptons sit in the same representation. In the SU(5) case, **<sup>5</sup>** and **<sup>10</sup>**
- Breaking of the GUT group results in heavy particlesthat "break" baryon and lepton numbers

# *X* and *<sup>Y</sup>*

**•** Heavy spin one doublet

$$
q(X) = 4/3 \qquad q(Y) = 1/3
$$

• The couplings give rise to

$$
X \to u + u \qquad X \to e^+ + \bar{d}
$$

and

$$
Y \to e^+ + \bar{u} \qquad Y \to d + u \qquad Y \to \bar{d} + \bar{\nu}_e
$$

- Decays with different final state baryon number  $\;\Rightarrow$ *X* and *<sup>Y</sup>* violate baryon number
- B−L is conserved. *<sup>X</sup>* and *<sup>Y</sup>* have charge <sup>2</sup>*/*<sup>3</sup> under it

# GUT diagrams



- $e$  and  $d$  can be on shell  $\implies$  strong phase<br>
- Weak phase from the product of the four vertexes
- Similar diagrams for*Y* decay

# Out of equilibrium



- Blue: equilibrium, red: actual  $\bullet$
- Baryogenesis by decays out of equilibrium $\bullet$

# SM Baryogenesis



# SM baryogenesis

The three Sakharov's conditions are satisfied in the SM

- Baryon number violating process: sphalerons
- The weak interaction violates C and CP
- Out of equilibrium from the electroweak phasetransition

The SM, however, is not enough

$$
\eta_{\rm SM} \sim 10^{-25} \ll 10^{-10}
$$

# Baryon number violation in the SM

- At the classical renormalizable level, baryon and leptonnumbers are conserved in the SM
- Non perturbative operators, however, breaks it in <sup>a</sup>very interesting way
- The processes associate with this breaking are calledsphalerons
- Sphalerons conserve B $-$ L and break B $+$ L

## The very basic of sphalerons

Just the very basic...

- Related to the chiral anomaly of <sup>a</sup> non abelian gaugegroup
- $\bullet$  In the SM it is the SU(2)
- Non-perturbative effect. Similar to tunneling between different vacuua of the theory
- At  $T=0,$  because it is tunneling, it is exponential suppressed and negligible
- At*T*∼*v* no need to tunnel and sphalerons are $\Omega$ important
- At  $10^2$  equilibrium $^2\lesssim T\lesssim 10^{12}$  GeV the sphalerons are in<br>ibrium

### Sphalerons

Non-perturbative, "tunneling", effect which involve 3 leptonsand 9 quarks

Can lead to



$$
p^+p^+ \to p^-e^+e^+e^+
$$

- **•** The rate depend exponentially on the temperature, *<sup>T</sup>*
	- **Too small to see it today**
- Very important in the earlyuniverse
- Conserve B–L

# Leptogenesis



# SM+N leptogenesis

Leptogenesis in decays of heavy singlet neutrino

- Similar to GUT, here  $N$  generate lepton asymmetry
- Sakharov's conditions for generating lepton asymmetry
	- Lepton number violating process: *N*→*H*+*e*−
	- C and CP violation

$$
\Gamma(N \to H^+e^-) \neq \Gamma(N \to H^-e^+)
$$

Deviation from thermal equilibrium. *N* decays when it is no more in contact with the thermal bath

$$
\Gamma(N \to H^+ e^-) \neq \Gamma(H^+ e^- \to N)
$$

Violates B<sup>−</sup>L. Sphalerons convert some L into B

### Diagrams



# Numerical prediction



- The right side of the plot is "theoretically" motivated
- The fact that both leptogenesis and oscillation data areconsistent is not <sup>a</sup> trivial test

# Tests of leptogenesis

- It is not easy to directly test leptogenesis as the heavy neutrino is too heavy,  $m_N>10^{11}$  GeV
- Leptogenesis predicts very small lepton asymmetry inthe universe. Very hard to even detect the neutrinobackground
- **Since leptogenesis requires CP violation, we like to** find CP violation also in neutrino oscillation
- Majorana mass for the neutrinos can be probed withneutrinoless double beta decay
- The big "issue" with LG is that it is not easy to test
- Yet it passed one non trivial test!

### Conclusions



#### **Conclusions**

- **•** Leptogenesis is one possibility to explain baryogenesis
- The fact that it passed one non-trivial test is far fromtrivial
- **If will be so nice if we find other ways to probe this idea**



