### Roman Pot settings during low and high beta\* runs in 2015



R.Bruce, S.Redaelli, **B.Salvachua**, G.Valentino and discussions with M.Deile, P.Fassnacht, S.Jakobsen, B.Salvant, D.Wollmann, J.Wenninger, M.Zerlauth

Machine Protection Panel Meeting (MPP)

Friday 13 February 2015

# XRP settings Run

- Roman Pot settings in 2012:
  - <u>EDMS No. 1225356</u>
  - Scenarios:
    - Alignment: below SBF
    - High luminosity: above SBF
      - Low-β\* optics 60cm standard physics
      - High-β\* optics 90m
      - High-β\* optics 500m or
        1000m (not happened)

Feb 13<sup>rd</sup>, 2015

RN 1211 Geneva 23	LHC M	PP-00xx rev 0.3
zerland	CERN Div./Group or	Supplier/Contractor Document No.
the	50	ts bacument No.
Hadron Collider		1225356
project		Date: 2012-06
The movable Roman pol data taking as part of devices is foreseen for beam intensities. This d for all these conditions.	Abstract ts of the TOTEM and ATLAS-ALFA expe the LHC physics programme in 2012. different machine configurations and ocument describes the operational sett	riments will be used for The operation of these for different ranges of ings of the Roman pots
Prepared by:	Checked by:	Approved by:
Roderik Bruce Stefano Redaelli Daniel Wollmann	rMPP members M. Deile, P. Fassnacht	M. Lamont R. Schmidt
LHC Machine Coordinato team, H. Burkhardt.	<i>Distribution list:</i> ors, LHC Engineers in Charge, LHC oper	rators, TOTEM and ALFA



LHC Collimation



Scenario	HORIZONTAL	VERTICAL
Alignment <sup>**</sup>	TCSG6 + $2\sigma$ = $5\sigma$ + $2\sigma$ = $7\sigma$	$TCP + 0.5\sigma = 4\sigma + 0.5\sigma = 4.5\sigma$
60 cm above SBF	$TCT + 5\sigma = 9\sigma + 5\sigma = 14\sigma$	$TCT + 3\sigma = 9\sigma + 3\sigma = 12\sigma$
90 m above SBF*	$TCSG6 + 3.9\sigma = 7.1\sigma + 3.9\sigma = 11\sigma$	$TCSG7 + 3.2\sigma = 6.3\sigma + 3.2\sigma = 9.5\sigma$
tight ** Collima	ator settings could be tighter but XRP/	Collimator retraction must be respected

#### β\*=60cm

Horizontal: XRP stay at a retraction of  $5\sigma$  that corresponds to less than 600 $\mu$ m. Vertical: this margin is reduced in the vertical plane to  $3\sigma$  because beam size is larger and the asynchronous dump failure scenario does not affect this plane.

#### β\***=90 m:**

Horizontal: XRP stay at a retraction of  $3.9\sigma$  from the TCSP6 Vertical: XRP stay at a retraction of  $3\sigma$  from the TCSP7 so that they do not become the bottleneck (TCTs are open with this configuration). There is a note that this could be reduced to  $2\sigma$ .

CERN







# **6.5TeV High luminosity run (above SBF) with small beta-star (β\*=80cm)**

- The margins of 3σ and 5σ for Vertical and Horizontal pots used in 2012 were established after a good understanding of the machine, this retraction corresponds to less than 600µm in some cases. We do not have the same experience for Run 2 yet.
- We propose to start with settings that respect the same retraction XRP/TCT in [mm] unless we have an immediate indication that that the IRs are less stable than in 2012, in that case we must add margins.
- Expressed in beam size ( $\sigma$ ) this comes to:
  - **Horizontal**:  $7\sigma$  retraction from the TCTH
  - Vertical: 4.5 $\sigma$  retraction from the TCTV

# Beam Size 80cm at XRP



		1.4	-						
BEAM 1: IP1 and IP5 0.8 m beta star optics			TCT 9 sigma			TCT 11.5 sigma	Hor TCT + 7sig, Vert TCT + 4.5sig	Assuming same locations in Run 1	
Layout Name	Effective Sigma [um]		60 cm [sigma] Retraction from TCT	60 cm [um] Retraction from TCT	Run 1, 60 cm (um) Half-gap	Equivalent Run 1 retraction from TCT [sigma]	Run 2, 80cm [um] Half-gap	Diff	Run 2, 80cm, Final settings [sigma]
XRPV.A7R1.B1	281.92		3.0	1096.68	4386.73	3.9	4510.70	124.0	16.00
XRPV.B7R1.B1	232.74		3.0	901.24	3604.97	3.9	3723.89	118.9	16.00
XRPV.C6R5.B1	417.59		3.0	1781.28	7125.13	4.3	6681.42	-443.7	16.00
XRPH.C6R5.B1	164.72		5.0	1185.54	3319.50	7.2	3047.36	-272.1	18.50
XRPH.D6R5.B1	116.62		5.0	823.59	2306.05	7.1	2157.44	-148.6	18.50
XRPV.D6R5.B1	386.06		3.0	1600.17	6400.68	4.1	6176.94	-223.7	16.00
XRPV.A6R5.B1	380.76		3.0	1569.64	6278.56	4.1	6092.21	-186.4	16.00
XRPH.A6R5.B1	104.54	2	5.0	727.35	2036.59	7.0	1933.97	-102.6	18.50
XRPH.E6R5.B1	101.72		5.0	704.24	1971.87	6.9	1881.79	-90.1	18.50
XRPH.B6R5.B1	87.01		5.0	576.37	1613.84	6.6	1609.64	-4.2	18.50
XRPV.B6R5.B1	363.38		3.0	1469.18	5876.73	4.0	5814.03	-62.7	16.00
BEAM 2: IP1 and IP5 at 80cm beta-							Hor TCT + 7sig,	Assuming same	
star optics			TCT 9 sigma	1		TCT 11.5 sigma	Vert TCT + 4.5sig	locations in Run 1	
Layout Name	Effective Sigma [um]		60 cm [sigma] Retraction from TCT	60 cm [um] Retraction from TCT	Run 1, 60 cm (um) Half-gap	Equivalent Run 1 retraction from TCT [sigma]	Run 2, 80cm [um] Half-gap	Diff	Run 2, 80cm, Final settings [sigma]
XRPV.B6L5.B2	345.23		3.0	1518.71	6074.82	4.4	5523.64	-551.2	16.00
XRPH.B6L5.B2	91.19	ú	5.0	584.13	1635.56	6.4	1687.10	51.5	18.50
XRPH.E6L5.B2	106.20		5.0	701.62	1964.55	6.6	1964.75	0.2	18.50
XRPH.A6L5.B2	109.02		5.0	723.02	2024.46	6.6	2016.91	-7.5	18.50
XRPV.A6L5.B2	365.44		3.0	1624.48	6497.94	4.4	5846.97	-651.0	16.00
XRPV.D6L5.B2	371.59		3.0	1656.62	6626.47	4.5	5945.45	-681.0	16.00
XRPH.D6L5.B2	121.00		5.0	812.50	2275.01	6.7	2238.57	-36.4	18.50
XRPH.C6L5.B2	168.15		5.0	1152.59	3227.24	6.9	3110.75	-116.5	18.50
XRPV.C6L5.B2	408.22		3.0	1847.15	7388.58	4.5	6531.56	-857.0	16.00
XRPV.B7L1.B2	221.10	1	3.0	947.94	3791.77	4.3	3537.64	-254.1	16.00
XRPV.A7L1.B2	265.01		3.0	1140.91	4563.65	4.3	4240.16	-323.5	16.00

# Assuming TCTs at $11.5\sigma$ the smallest gap allowed at the pots is 1.6mm for Beam 1 and 1.9mm for Beam 2

Feb 13<sup>rd</sup>, 2015

# Beam Size 80cm at XRP



		1.4	-						
BEAM 1: IP1 and IP5 0.8 m beta star optics			TCT 9 sigma			TCT 11.5 sigma	Hor TCT + 7sig, Vert TCT + 4.5sig	Assuming same locations in Run 1	
Layout Name	Effective Sigma [um]		60 cm [sigma] Retraction from TCT	60 cm [um] Retraction from TCT	Run 1, 60 cm (um) Half-gap	Equivalent Run 1 retraction from TCT [sigma]	Run 2, 80cm [um] Half-gap	Diff	Run 2, 80cm, Final settings [sigma]
XRPV.A7R1.B1	281.92		3.0	1096.68	4386.73	3.9	4510.70	124.0	16.00
XRPV.B7R1.B1	232.74		3.0	901.24	3604.97	3.9	3723.89	118.9	16.00
XRPV.C6R5.B1	417.59		3.0	1781.28	7125.13	4.3	6681.42	-443.7	16.00
XRPH.C6R5.B1	164.72		5.0	1185.54	3319.50	7.2	3047.36	-272.1	18.50
XRPH.D6R5.B1	116.62		5.0	823.59	2306.05	7.1	2157.44	-148.6	18.50
XRPV.D6R5.B1	386.06		3.0	1600.17	6400.68	4.1	6176.94	-223.7	16.00
XRPV.A6R5.B1	380.76		3.0	1569.64	6278.56	4.1	6092.21	-186.4	16.00
XRPH.A6R5.B1	104.54	2	5.0	727.35	2036.59	7.0	1933.97	-102.6	18.50
XRPH.E6R5.B1	101.72		5.0	704.24	1971.87	6.9	1881.79	-90.1	18.50
XRPH.B6R5.B1	87.01		5.0	576.37	1613.84	6.6	1609.64	-4.2	18.50
XRPV.B6R5.B1	363.38		3.0	1469.18	5876.73	4.0	5814.03	-62.7	16.00
BEAM 2: IP1 and IP5 at 80cm beta-							Hor TCT + 7sig,	Assuming same	
star optics			TCT 9 sigma	1		TCT 11.5 sigma	Vert TCT + 4.5sig	locations in Run 1	
Layout Name	Effective Sigma [um]		60 cm [sigma] Retraction from TCT	60 cm [um] Retraction from TCT	Run 1, 60 cm (um) Half-gap	Equivalent Run 1 retraction from TCT [sigma]	Run 2, 80cm [um] Half-gap	Diff	Run 2, 80cm, Final settings [sigma]
XRPV.B6L5.B2	345.23		3.0	1518.71	6074.82	4.4	5523.64	-551.2	16.00
XRPH.B6L5.B2	91.19	ú	5.0	584.13	1635.56	6.4	1687.10	51.5	18.50
XRPH.E6L5.B2	106.20		5.0	701.62	1964.55	6.6	1964.75	0.2	18.50
XRPH.A6L5.B2	109.02		5.0	723.02	2024.46	6.6	2016.91	-7.5	18.50
XRPV.A6L5.B2	365.44		3.0	1624.48	6497.94	4.4	5846.97	-651.0	16.00
XRPV.D6L5.B2	371.59		3.0	1656.62	6626.47	4.5	5945.45	-681.0	16.00
XRPH.D6L5.B2	121.00		5.0	812.50	2275.01	6.7	2238.57	-36.4	18.50
XRPH.C6L5.B2	168.15		5.0	1152.59	3227.24	6.9	3110.75	-116.5	18.50
XRPV.C6L5.B2	408.22		3.0	1847.15	7388.58	4.5	6531.56	-857.0	16.00
XRPV.B7L1.B2	221.10	1	3.0	947.94	3791.77	4.3	3537.64	-254.1	16.00
XRPV.A7L1.B2	265.01		3.0	1140.91	4563.65	4.3	4240.16	-323.5	16.00

# Assuming TCTs at $11.5\sigma$ the smallest gap allowed at the pots is 1.6mm for Beam 1 and 1.9mm for Beam 2

Feb 13<sup>rd</sup>, 2015

# Beam Size 80cm at XRP



			1						
BEAM 1: IP1 and IP5 0.8 m beta star optics			TCT 9 sigma			TCT 11.5 sigma	Hor TCT + 7sig, Vert TCT + 4.5sig	Assuming same locations in Run 1	
Layout Name	Effective Sigma [um]		60 cm [sigma] Retraction from TCT	60 cm (um) Retraction from TCT	Run 1, 60 cm (um) Half-gap	Equivalent Run 1 retraction from TCT [sigma]	Run 2, 80cm [um] Half-gap	Diff	Run 2, 80cm, Final settings [sigma]
XRPV.A7R1.B1	281.92		3.0	1096.68	4386.73	3.9	4510.70	124.0	16.00
XRPV.B7R1.B1	232.74		3.0	901.24	3604.97	3.9	3723.89	118.9	16.00
XRPV.C6R5.B1	417.59		3.0	1781.28	7125.13	4.3	6681.42	-443.7	16.00
XRPH.C6R5.B1	164.72		5.0	1185.54	3319.50	7.2	3047.35	-272.1	18.50
XRPH.D6R5.B1	116.62		5.0	823.59	2306.05	7.1	2157.44	-148.6	18.50
XRPV.D6R5.B1	386.06						76.94	-223.7	16.00
XRPV.A6R5.B1	380.76			topc t	han in	Dun	92.21	-186.4	16.00
XRPH.A6R5.B1	104.54			zaps u	111 III	NUII	33.97	-102.6	18.50
XRPH.E6R5.B1	101.72		C C				81.79	-90.1	18.50
XRPH.B6R5.B1	87.01			<b>ff</b> _			99.64	-4.2	18.50
XRPV.B6R5.B1	363.38	e	xcedt	TOP TE	ew sta	τιοης	11.03	-62.7	16.00
BEAM 2: IP1 and								1	Í
IP5 at 80cm beta-							Hor TCT + 7s g,	Assuming same	
star optics			TCT 9 sigma	1		TCT 11.5 sigma	Vert TCT + 4.5 sig	locations in Run 1	
Layout Name	Effective Sigma [um]		60 cm [sigma] Retraction from TCT	60 cm [um] Retraction from TCT	Run 1, 60 cm (um) Half-gap	Equivalent Run 1 retraction from TCT [sigma]	Run 2, 80cm [um] Half-gap	Diff	Run 2, 80cm, Final settings [sigma]
XRPV.B6L5.B2	345.23	1	3.0	1518.71	6074.82	4.4	5523.64	-551.2	16.00
XRPH.B6L5.B2	91.19	í.	5.0	584.13	1635.56	6.4	1687.10	51.5	18.50
XRPH.E6L5.B2	106.20		5.0	701.62	1964.55	6.6	1964.75	0.2	18.50
XRPH.A6L5.B2	109.02		5.0	723.02	2024.46	6.6	2016.91	-7.5	18.50
XRPV.A6L5.B2	365.44		3.0	1624.48	6497.94	4.4	5846.97	-651.0	16.00
VODU DOLE DO									
XKPV.D6L5.8Z	371.59		3.0	1656.62	6626.47	4.5	5945.45	-681.0	16.00
XRPV.D6L5.B2 XRPH.D6L5.B2	371.59 121.00		3.0 5.0	1656.62 812.50	6626.47 2275.01	4.5 6.7	5945.45 2238.57	-681.0 -36.4	16.00 18.50
XRPV.D6L5.B2 XRPH.D6L5.B2 XRPH.C6L5.B2	371.59 121.00 168.15		3.0 5.0 5.0	1656.62 812.50 1152.59	6626.47 2275.01 3227.24	4.5 6.7 6.9	5945.45 2238.57 3110.75	-681.0 -36.4 -116.5	16.00 18.50 18.50
XRPH.D6L5.B2 XRPH.C6L5.B2 XRPV.C6L5.B2	371.59 121.00 168.15 408.22		3.0 5.0 5.0 3.0	1656.62 812.50 1152.59 1847.15	6626.47 2275.01 3227.24 7388.58	4.5 6.7 6.9 4.5	5945.45 2238.57 3110.75 6531.56	-681.0 -36.4 -116.5 -857.0	16.00 18.50 18.50 16.00
XRPV.D6L5.B2 XRPH.D6L5.B2 XRPH.C6L5.B2 XRPV.C6L5.B2 XRPV.B7L1.B2	371.59 121.00 168.15 408.22 221.10		3.0 5.0 5.0 3.0 3.0	1656.62 812.50 1152.59 1847.15 947.94	6626.47 2275.01 3227.24 7388.58 3791.77	4.5 6.7 6.9 4.5 4.3	5945.45 2238.57 3110.75 6531.56 3537.64	-681.0 -36.4 -116.5 -857.0 -254.1	16.00 18.50 18.50 16.00 16.00

# Assuming TCTs at $11.5\sigma$ the smallest gap allowed at the pots is 1.6mm for Beam 1 and 1.9mm for Beam 2

Feb 13<sup>rd</sup>, 2015



## 6.5TeV High luminosity run (above SBF) with large beta-star ( $\beta$ \*=90m or other scenario like ~20m)

- Same philosophy as in 2012 we should respect the full hierarchy IR3/IR6/IR7
- This comes to:
  - TCTs will be open at ~20σ
  - Horizontal: 4σ retraction from the TCSG6 or larger than aperture
  - Vertical: 3.5 $\sigma$  retraction from the TCSG7
  - We have not studied the aperture, but we assume to be  $13.5\sigma$

CERN



### Beam Size at 90m at XRP



BEAM 1: IP1 and IP5 at 90m beta- star optics							
Layout Name	Effective Sigma [um]		90 m [sigma] 4sig XRP-H from TCSG6 7.1, and 3sig XRP- V from TCSG7 6.3	Run 1, 90m (um) Half-gap	90 m [sigma] 4sig XRP-H from TCSG6 9.1 or larger than aperture 13.5, and 3.5sig XRP-V from TCSG7 8.3	Run 2, 90m [um] Half-gap	Diff
XRPV.A7R1.B1	666.87	1	9.5	8075.94	11.8	7869.09	-206.84
XRPV.B7R1.B1	604.34		9.5	7318.72	11.8	7131.27	-187.45
XRPV.C6R5.B1	437.33	1	9.5	5296.10	11.8	5160.45	-135.65
XRPH.C6R5.B1	588.87	í	11.0	8257.32	13.5	7949.75	-307.57
XRPH.D6R5.B1	485.45		11.0	6807.15	13.5	6553.60	-253.55
XRPV.D6R5.B1	545.36		9.5	6604.41	11.8	6435.25	-169.16
XRPV.A6R5.B1	563.65		9.5	6825.96	11.8	6651.13	-174.83
XRPH.A6R5.B1	455.54	0	11.0	6387.70	13.5	6149.77	-237.93
XRPH.E6R5.B1	448.04		11.0	6282.62	13.5	6048.61	-234.02
XRPH.B6R5.B1	402.60		11.0	5645.40	13.5	5435.12	-210.28
XRPV.B6R5.B1	624.05		9.5	7557.31	11.8	7363.75	-193.56

#### • Settings assumed:

- Horizontal: TCSG6 9.1 $\sigma$  + 4 $\sigma$  = 13.1 $\sigma$  This is smaller than the aperture at 13.5 $\sigma$  so we take the most conservative approach.
- Vertical: TCSG7 8.3 $\sigma$  + 3.5 $\sigma$  = 11.8 $\sigma$
- With this collimator settings, for all XRP stations (TOTEM and ALFA) the pots are allowed smaller gaps in [mm] than in Run 1.
- Beam 2 is very similar (see backup-slides)







#### Proposed XRP settings for 2015 in sigma for 6.5TeV

Scenario	HORIZONTAL	VERTICAL
Alignment	$TCSG6 + 2\sigma = 9.1\sigma + 2 = 11.1\sigma$	$TCP + 0.5\sigma = 5.5\sigma + 0.5\sigma = 6\sigma$
80 cm above SBF	$TCT + 7\sigma = 11.5\sigma + 7\sigma = 18.5\sigma$	$TCT + 4.5\sigma = 11.5\sigma + 4.5 = 16.0\sigma$
90 m above SBF	(aperture) 13.5σ	$TCSG7 + 3.5\sigma = 8.3\sigma + 3.5\sigma = 11.8\sigma$
19-20 m above SBF	(aperture) 13.5σ	$TCSG7 + 3.5\sigma = 8.3\sigma + 3.5\sigma = 11.8\sigma$

Compared to Run I settings, **most of** the pots are same or closer position in absolute value but **NOTICE** that the 2015 collimator settings are not yet approved by the LMC. EDMS note on preparation but is almost ready, it could be circulating next week if all the parts agree with this proposal.



### Additional Information 90 m



Layout Name	From IP	Marker	Position [m]	Beta-H [m]	Beta-V [m]	Sigma-H [um]	Sigma-V [um]	Effective Sigma [um]	Run 1, 90m (um) Half-gap	90 m [sigma] 4sig XRP-H from TCSG6 9.1 or larger than aperture 13.5, and 3.5sig XRP-V from TCSG7 8.3	Run 2, 90m (um) Half-gap	Diff
XRPV.A7R1.B1	237.40	0.000	237.40	137.77	880.24	263.82	666.87	666.87	8075.94	11.8	7869.09	-206.84
XRPV.B7R1.B1	245.66	0.000	245.66	109.60	722.91	235.32	604.34	604.34	7318.72	11.8	7131.27	-187.45
XRPV.C6R5.B1	203.38	13329.289	13532.67	698.84	378.55	594.20	437.33	437.33	5296.10	11.8	5160.45	-135.65
XRPH.C6R5.B1	203.83	13329.289	13533.12	686.37	387.32	588.87	442.36	588.87	8257.32	13.5	7949.75	-307.57
XRPH.D6R5.B1	212.55	13329.289	13541.84	466.45	577.85	485.45	540.32	485.45	6807.15	13.5	6553.60	-253.55
XRPV.D6R5.B1	213.00	13329.289	13542.29	456.29	588.69	480.13	545.36	545.36	6604.41	11.8	6435.25	-169.16
XRPV.A6R5.B1	214.63	13329.289	13543.92	420.38	628.84	460.85	563.65	563.65	6825.96	11.8	6651.13	-174.83
XRPH.A6R5.B1	215.08	13329.289	13544.37	410.74	640.15	455.54	568.70	455.54	6387.70	13.5	6149.77	-237.93
XRPH.E6R5.B1	215.71	13329.289	13545.00	397.34	656.27	448.04	575.82	448.04	6282.62	13.5	6048.61	-234.02
XRPH.B6R5.B1	219.55	13329.289	13548.84	320.82	758.39	402.60	619.00	402.60	5645.40	13.5	5435.12	-210.28
XRPV.B6R5.B1	220.00	13329.289	13549.29	312.42	770.82	397.29	624.05	624.05	7557.31	11.8	7363.75	-193.56

Layout Name	From IP	Marker	Position [m]	Beta-H [m]	Beta-V [m]	Sigma-H [um]	Sigma-V [um]	Effective Sigma [um]	Run 1, 90m (um) Half-gap	90 m [sigma] 4sig XRP-H from TCSG6 9.1 or larger than aperture 13.5, and 3.5sig XRP-V from TCSG7 8.3	Run 2, 90m [um] Half-gap	Diff
XRPV.B6L5.B2	-220.00	13329.594	13109.59	301.64	810.80	390.38	640.03	640.03	7750.85	11.8	7552.33	-198.52
XRPH.B6L5.B2	-219.55	13329.594	13110.04	309.70	797.72	395.56	634.84	395.56	5546.62	13.5	5340.02	-206.60
XRPH.E6L5.B2	-215.71	13329.594	13113.88	382.99	690.15	439.88	590.49	439.88	6168.11	13.5	5938.36	-229.75
XRPH.A6L5.B2	-215.08	13329.594	13114.52	395.82	673.18	447.19	583.18	447.19	6270.60	13.5	6037.03	-233.57
XRPV.A6L5.B2	-214.63	13329.594	13114.97	405.05	661.26	452.37	578.00	578.00	6999.70	11.8	6820.42	-179.28
XRPV.D6L5.B2	-213.00	13329.594	13116.59	439.42	618.97	471.18	559.21	559.21	6772.15	11.8	6598.70	-173.45
XRPH.D6L5.B2	-212.55	13329.594	13117.04	449.15	607.55	476.36	554.03	476.36	6679.72	13.5	6430.92	-248.81
XRPH.C6L5.B2	-203.83	13329.594	13125.77	659.53	406.92	577.25	453.41	577.25	8094.31	13.5	7792.81	-301.50
XRPV.C6L5.B2	-203.38	13329.594	13126.22	671.46	397.68	582.44	448.24	448.24	5428.26	11.8	5289.23	-139.03
XRPV.B7L1.B2	-245.66	26658.883	26413.23	110.37	719.67	236.14	602.99	602.99	7302.29	11.8	7115.26	-187.03
XRPV.A7L1.B2	-237.40	26658.883	26421.49	138.73	876.07	264.74	665.29	665.29	8056.78	11.8	7850.43	-206.35