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## Where MCNPX is very strong

- Neutronics (table-based)
- Inherent difficulty with correlations (ORNL Polimi patch - need analog processes)
- Few exceptions hardwired in.
- Criticality
- Transmutation
- Burnup
- Enables delayed particle production
- Variance Reduction
- Next-event-estimators
- $\quad$ Statistical Convergence Checks (Figure of Merit $=1 /\left(T \sigma^{2}\right)$
- 10 separate checks (not just the standard deviation)
- Ease of Use
- Don't have to code anything
- All standard shapes + torus, lattices, repeated structures, basically no limit on geometry. (no formally released magnetic fields)
- 2 Commercial GUIs
- Quality Assurance, Security, Support
- Extension outside of traditional neutronics arena
- 30 particles, 4 light ions, all heavy ions
- Models in addition to libraries (solves the correlation problem)


## MCNPX Particle and Energy Acceptance



## Selecting Tables or Models in MCNPX

mode n h p


## Matching Tables and Models



Neutrons in aluminum, flux


Neutrons in aluminum, energy deposition

Black- 20 MeV library, Blue, 150 MeV library

## Model Choices in MCNPX



## Model Choices in MCNPX

| Physics Process | Bertini | ISABEL | CEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{INC}+E Q \text { or } \\ & \operatorname{INC}+\mathrm{PE}+E Q \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INC + EQ or } \\ & \text { INC + PE + EQ } \end{aligned}$ | $I N C+P E+E Q$ |
| Intranuclear Cascade Model | Bertini INC | ISABEL INC | improved Dubna INC |
| Monte Carlo Technique | "spacelike" | "timelike ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | "spacelike" |
| Nuclear Density Distribution | $\begin{aligned} & \rho(\mathrm{r})=\rho_{0}\{\exp [(\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{c}) / \mathrm{a}]+1\} \\ & \mathrm{c}=1.07 \mathrm{~A}^{1 / 3} \mathrm{fm} \\ & \mathrm{a}=0.545 \mathrm{fm} \\ & \rho(\mathrm{r})=\alpha_{i j}(0) ; \mathrm{i}-1, \ldots, 3 \\ & \alpha_{1}=0.9, \alpha_{2}=0.2 \alpha_{3}=0.01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \rho(r)=\rho_{0}\{\exp [(r-c) / a]+1\} \\ & c=1.07 A^{1 / 3} \mathrm{fm} \\ & a=0.545 \mathrm{fm} \\ & \rho(r)=a_{0} \rho(0) ; i-1, \ldots, 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \rho(r)=\rho_{0}\{\exp [(\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{c}) / \mathrm{a}]+1\} \\ & \mathrm{c}=1.07 \mathrm{~A}^{1 / 3} \mathrm{fm} \\ & \mathrm{a}=0.545 \mathrm{fm} \\ & \rho_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{r}) / \rho_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{r})=\mathrm{N} / Z \\ & \rho(\mathrm{r})=\alpha_{i} \rho(0) ; i=1, \ldots, 7 \\ & \alpha_{1}=0.95, \alpha_{2}=0.8 \alpha_{3}=0.5 \\ & \alpha_{4}=0.2 \alpha_{5}=0.1 \alpha_{8}=0.05 \\ & \alpha_{7}=0.01 \end{aligned}$ |
| Nucleon Potential | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}+\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{N}}$ | Nucleon kinetic energy ( $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) dependent potential $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(1-T_{\mathrm{n}} / T_{\text {max }}\right)$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}+\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{N}}$ |
| Pion Potential | $\mathrm{V}_{\pi}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{N}}$ | $V \pi=0$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\pi}=25 \mathrm{MeV}$ |
| Mean Nucleon Binding Energy | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{N}} \sim 7 \mathrm{MeV}$ | initial $B_{N}$ from mass table; the same value is used throughout the calculation | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{N}} \sim 7 \mathrm{MeV}$ |
| Elementary Cross Sections | standard BERTINI INC <br> (old) | standard ISABEL (old) | new CEM97, last update March 1999 |

## Model Choices in MCNPX

| Physics Process | Bertini | ISABEL | CEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A + A interactions | not considered | allowed | not considered |
| $\gamma \mathrm{A}$ interactions | not considered | not considered | may be considered |
| Condition for passing from the INC stage | cutoff energy $\sim 7 \mathrm{MeV}$ | different cutoff energies for p and n , as in VEGAS code | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P}=\left(\left(W_{\text {mod }}-W_{\text {exp }}\right) / W_{\text {exp }} \mid\right. \\ & P=0.3 \end{aligned}$ |
| Nuclear density depletion | not considered | considered | not considered |
| Pre-equilibrium stage | MPM (LAHET) model | MPM (LAHET) model | Improved MEM (CEM97) |
| Equilibrium stage | Dresner model for $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{d}$, <br> $t,{ }^{3} \mathrm{He},{ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ emission <br> (+ fission) (+ $\gamma$ ) | Dresner model for $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{d}$, <br> $\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{He},{ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ emission <br> (+ fission) (+ $\gamma$ ) | CEM97 model for $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{d}$, <br> $\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{He}{ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ emission <br> (+ fission) (+ $\gamma$ ) |
| Level density | 3 LAHET models for $a=a\left(Z, N, E^{*}\right)$ | 3 LAHET models for $a=a\left(Z, N, E^{\star}\right)$ | CEM97 models for $a=a\left(Z, N, E^{*}\right)$ |
| Multifragmentation of light nuclei | Fermi breakup as in LAHET | Fermi breakup as in LAHET | Fermi breakup as in LAHET |
| Fission models | ORNL or RAL models | ORNL or RAL models | CEM model for $\sigma_{\text {f }}$, RA.L fission fragmentation |

## New INCL model, improved H and He emission

## Model Energy Limits

| Variable | Bertini | Isabel | CEM |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lower energy ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $20-150 \mathrm{MeV}$ | $20-150 \mathrm{MeV}$ | $\sim 100 \mathrm{MeV}$ |
| Upper Energy | 3.5 GeV (nucleon- <br> nucleon <br> 2.5 GeV (pion-nucleon) | 1 GeV | 5 GeV |
| Nuclei | all | all | carbon and heavier |
| Incident particles | p, n, pions | A<=4 and antiprotons | p, n, pions |

## FLUKA'89

## LAQGSM

## Charged Particle Transport (not electrons)

- Energy Loss
- Bethe -Bloch
- The ionization potentials have been enhanced to the values and interpolation procedures recommended in ICRU Report 37 (ICR84), bringing the model into closer ICRU compliance.
- The density effect correction now uses the parameterization of Sternheimer and Peierls (STE71).
- For high-energy protons and other light charged projectiles, the approximate SPAR model (ARM73) has been replaced with a full implementation of the maximum kinetic energy transfer.
- For intermediate energies, the shell corrections to the stopping power have been adapted from Janni (JAN82).
- A continuous transition in the stopping power between the ranges $1.31 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{AMU}$ (Atomic Mass Unit) for the high-energy model, and $5.24 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{AMU}$ (the low energy SPAR model) is achieved with a linear interpolation between the two models.
- No very very low (less than 1 MeV for protons) model is present.
- Small angle Coulomb Scattering
- Rossi-Greisen scattering algorithm (Rossi, B. \& Griesen, K., "Cosmic-Ray Theory", Rev. Mod Phys 13, Oct 1941 pp 262-268)
- Overpredicts large angle, high-Z Coulomb scattering
- MCNPX does not yet accommodate transverse displacements in charged-particle substeps


## Charged Particle Transport (not electrons)

- Energy Straggling
- At low energies and large step sizes, the Vavilov distribution approaches a Gaussian.
- At very high energies, or small step sizes (and for electrons in almost all circumstances), the Vavilov distribution approaches a Landau distribution.
- The module implemented in MCNPX to represent the Vavilov model does not currently account for the Gaussian and Landau limits
- Updated logic applies the Vavilov algorithm to each substep and to each partial substep, and makes a better estimate of the continuous-slowing-down energy loss (mean energy loss) across energy-group boundaries.


## Other

- Evaporation
- Dresner
- ABLA (goes with INCL)
- Fission
- Rutherford Appleton (does sub-actinide fission)
- ORNL
- Fission multiplicity (in tabular region)
- Light ion recoil (in tabular region)


## Tallies

- F1-Current = $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}$
- F2 - Surface Flux = W/(| $\left.\left.\right|^{*} A\right)$
- F4 - Flux $=\mathrm{W}^{*} \lambda / \mathrm{V}$
- F5 - Detector Fluence $=W^{*} p(\mu) \& \exp (-s) / 2 \pi R^{2}$
- F6 - Energy Deposition - $W^{*} \lambda^{*} \sigma_{T}(E)^{*} H(E)^{*} \rho_{a} / m$
- +F6, mean to be used in model region, adds $\mathrm{dE} / \mathrm{dx}+$ recoil + cufoff energies
- F7 - Fission Energy Deposition - $W^{*} \lambda^{*} \sigma_{F}(E)^{*} Q^{*} \rho_{a} / m$
- F8 - Pulse Height - $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{s}}$ in bin $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}{ }^{*} \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{s}}$
- Pulse height light coincidence tally


## Benchmark Task 7



Los Alamos

## Input file for Task 7

task 7 energy dep profile in a thick target for proton beam

```
1 1-19.3-1 u=1
20 1 u=1
30 -2 lat=1 fill=1 u=2
40 -3 fill=2
50 3-4
60 4
1 rcc 000 00.51
2 rpp -2 2 -2 2 0 .5
3 rcc 00000102
4 so 100.0
mode n phdts a|/ z kl+ -
Imp:n 111110
phys:n 1000.0
phys:p 1000.0 j j 5
phys:h 1000.0
lca 8j 1
sdef x=0 y=0 z=-10 vec 0 0 1 dir 1 par=h erg=1000.
m174180.1274182 26.37418314.2874184 30.7 74186 28.6
```



```
    (1<3[0 0 4]<4) (1<3[0 0 5]<4) (1<3[0 0 6]<4) (1<3[0 0 7]<4)
    (1<3[0 0 8]<4) (1<3[00 9]<4) (1<3[0 0 10]<4) (1<3[0 0 11]<4)
    (1<3[0 0 12]<4) (1<3[00 0 13]<4) (1<3[0 0 14]<4) (1<3[0 0 15]<4)
    (1<3[0}0016]<4)(1<3[0 0 17]<4) (1<3[0 0 18]<4) (1<3[0 0 19]<4) T 
```


## MCNPX Applications

- Medical
- Design of proton therapy facilities
- Heavy ions
- Space
- Space power reactors
- Cosmic ray shielding
- Comic ray backgrounds, SEE
- Cosmochemistry
- Threat Reduction
- Active Interrogation
- Detector design and performance, espec. neutrons
- Signal backgrounds
- Nonproliferation
- Wide variety of applications


## MCNPX Applications

- Reactors
- Materials damage
- Criticality and burnup
- ASCI, GNEP
- Intermediate Energy Accelerators
- APT, SNS

