

## CMS Monte Carlo plans for Run2

## Efe Yazgan for the CMS Top PAG

TOPLHCWG Open Meeting 20-21 May 2015

## Outline

- Run I Monte Carlo
- Monte Carlo plans for Run II
  - Signal Generation
  - Systematics
- Future plans for tuning

- Underlying event studies

## Run I MC

 MadGraph (w/ 3 additional partons)+Pythia6 describes most of the differential distributions from data reasonably well except top p<sub>T</sub>.



## Run I MC

• **Powheg+Herwig** and **approx. NNLO calculation** provide the best description of the data.



4

Efe Yazgan (UGent) – TOP LHCWG May 2015

## Run I MC

 For all distributions the trend is the same for 7 and 8 TeV and in lepton+jets and dilepton measurements.



CMS-TOP-12-028, arXiv:1505.04480

# Differential Measurements in the single top t-channel

- Different implementations for b-quark modeling in the initial state for NLO generators.
- CompHep: combination of 2→2 and 2→3 processes based on the p<sub>T</sub> spectrum of the second b quark (as an NLO approximation).
- Data distributions (corrected to parton level) are described well by both NLO and LO MCs + Pythia6.



## Signal Samples for Run II

- Run II measurements at ultimate precision require dedicated effort in top quark event modeling.
- CMS Run II measurements being exercised using new generation of NLO+PS MCs (in parallel, validation ongoing using Run I data)
  - Expect to provide better understanding of signal and backgrounds.
  - Reduce (dominant) systematic uncertainties from theory w.r.t. Run I.
    - Smaller scale uncertainties.
    - Smaller k-factors
    - Smaller/negligible matching uncertainties.
  - Matrix element Q<sup>2</sup> scale and PDF variations through weights.
  - New parton showers: Herwig++ and P8, improved B-decay tables
  - ttbar samples sensitive to issues in jet matching.

## Signal Samples for Run II

- Main signal samples at 13 TeV
  - Powheg\_v2 + Pythia 6/8
  - MG5\_aMC@NLO + Pythia8 [LO]: tt+0,1,2,3 jets: MLM
  - MG5\_aMC@NLO + Pythia8 [NLO]: tt+0,1,2 jets: FxFx merging
  - Single top: 4 flavor-scheme → for event generation in general for any b-initiated process at the LHC - see e.g. Maltoni et al. JHEP 04 (2013) 095.
  - PDFs: NNPDF2.3 (LO), NNPDF3.0 (NLO) with LHAPDF6.
  - New tunes: CUETP8, 4C



- Inclusive ttbar sample.
- p<sub>T</sub>(jet) > 30 GeV.

Transverse momentum distribution for jet 1





- PowhegV2+Pythia8 (hdamp=m<sub>t</sub>) with CT10 PDF.
- MadGraph5+Pythia6 with CTEQ6L1.
- "Parton level top quarks" before decay after radiation.
- Preliminary conclusion: Powhegv2/Data ~ 1 w/o a slope.



- "Parton level top quarks" before decay after radiation.
- Preliminary conclusion: PowHegv2/Data OK.



- b-jet defined in fiducial volume: two b-jets, matched to B-hadrons (matched to its mother top quark) with pT > 30 GeV within |η|<2.4.</li>
- Preliminary conclusion: PowHegv2/Data ~OK.
  - Possible discrepancy at high  $p_T$  to be investigated.



• Reco-level comparison.

## ME and PS Scales and Variations

#### Scale definitions:

$$Q = \sqrt{\frac{p_{T,t}^{2} + p_{T,\bar{t}}^{2}}{2} + m_{t}^{2}} (MG5\_aMC@NLO),$$
  

$$Q = \sqrt{m_{t}^{2} + p_{T,t}^{2}} (Powhegv2),$$
  

$$Q = \sqrt{m_{t}^{2} + \sum p_{T}^{2}} (j) (MadGraph)$$

- Matrix element Q<sup>2</sup> scale variations through weights in MG5\_aMC@NLO and in Powhegv2.
  - In Powheg not to underestimate scale variations hdamp = finite.
    - hdamp separates the singular (R<sub>s</sub>) and finite (R<sub>f</sub>) fractions of the real matrix element by setting

$$R_f \rightarrow \frac{p_T^2}{hdamp + p_T^2}$$
 (see ATL-PHYS-PUB-2014-005)

## Powhegv2 ME Q<sup>2</sup> Scale Variations

//<weightgroup name='hdamp\_variation' combine='envelope'>

//<weight id='3010'> muR=1 muF=1 hdamp=86.25 </weight>
//<weight id='3011'> muR=1 muF=2 hdamp=86.25 </weight>
//<weight id='3012'> muR=1 muF=0.5 hdamp=86.25 </weight>
//<weight id='3013'> muR=2 muF=1 hdamp=86.25 </weight>
//<weight id='3014'> muR=2 muF=2 hdamp=86.25 </weight>
//<weight id='3015'> muR=2 muF=0.5 hdamp=86.25 </weight>
//<weight id='3016'> muR=0.5 muF=1 hdamp=86.25 </weight>
//<weight id='3017'> muR=0.5 muF=2 hdamp=86.25 </weight>
//<weight id='3018'> muR=0.5 muF=0.5 hdamp=86.25 </weight></weight id='3018'> muR=0.5 muF=0.5 hdamp=86.25 </weight></weight></weight id='3018'> muR=0.5 muF=0.5 hdamp=86.25 </weight></weight></weight id='3018'> muR=0.5 muF=0.5 hdamp=86.25 </weight></weight></weight id='3018'> muR=0.5 muF=0.5 hdamp=86.25 </weight></weight></weight></weight id='3018'> muR=0.5 muF=0.5 hdamp=86.25 </weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight></weight

→8 (+1 default) variations for each hdamp choice  $(0, m_t/2, m_t, 2m_t)$ .

• Plan to use the envelope of  $\mu_R$  and  $\mu_f$  variations (marked blue), i.e. all except the anti-diagonal extremes.

## Preliminary ME Q<sup>2</sup> Scale Variations

- ME scale variations in Powhegv2+Pythia8 (@8 TeV)
- hdamp =  $m_t$



## **Matching Systematics**



- p<sub>T</sub>(jet)>30 GeV
- Expected behavior at LO and NLO.
- Ignore matching scale uncertainties at NLO?

### Plans for Tuning

- Underlying event and charmed meson properties studies for improved description of ttbar events → more precise top mass determination with better understood systematics.
- Dilepton channel with 2 b-tags  $\rightarrow$  96% purity
- Hard-process and pile-up subtracted.
  - All particle-flow candidates that is used in the reconstruction of b-tagged jets and leptons are removed.
- Recoil effects factorized event-by-event

 $\vec{p}_T(t\vec{t}) \approx \vec{p}_T(b_1) + \vec{p}_T(b_2) + \vec{p}_T(\ell) + \vec{p}_T(\ell') + \vec{p}_T^{miss}$ 

- Fair agreement between MG +P6 tune Z2\* predictions and data in the variables to characterize the soft charged activity.
- Higher multiplicity predicted in the away region.
  - Correlated with the presence of an additional jet in the event.







#### Plans for Tuning

• Charmed mesons ( $D^0$ ,  $D^{+/-}$ ,  $J/\psi$ ) reconstructed in b-jets from ttbar events  $\rightarrow$  sensitive to uncertainties in the description of b-fragmentation and tunes.



19.7 fb<sup>-1</sup> (8 TeV) д Бо 0.6 Data CMS MadGraph+Pythia6+Z2\* Preliminary 1/o do/d MadGraph+Pythia6+P11 0.5 POWHEG+Herwig+AUET2 D<sup>0</sup> (Κ<sup>-</sup>π<sup>+</sup>) POWHEG+Pythia6+Z23 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 0.2  $\overline{R_{p_{T}}^{ch}} = p_{T}^{1} / \Sigma p_{T}^{ch}$ 0.4 0.6

 $\sigma(D^0)$  vs  $p_T(D^0)/(\Sigma p_T of the charged particles clustered in the jet).$ 

CMS-TOP-13-007

All opposite-charged pairs among the 3 leading- $p_T$  charged hadrons.

Identify  $D^0$  flavour  $\rightarrow$  require an additional soft lepton in the same jet, as originating from semi-leptonic decays of

 $B^{\pm}$  and  $B^{0}$ .

- Good agreement
  - with MG+P6 w/ Z2\* and P11 tunes.
  - with Powheg+Herwig w/ AUET2 tune and +Pythia6+Z2\* tune.
- With more statistics and precision at Run II, we might have significant constraints on tunes using ttbar events.

## Summary and Conclusions

- New generation of NLO+PS MCs.
  - Default generators Powhegv2+Pythia8 and/or MG5\_aMC@NLO [NLO] + Pythia 8.
  - ME scale and PDF variations through weights in the samples.
  - Minimal matching uncertainty in NLO samples.
  - + Herwig++ and other generators being commissioned.
- Tuning: use 13 TeV data with the methods developed at 8 TeV in CMS.